

Regularity for bitopological spaces

By D. V. THAMPURAN (Stony Brook, NY.)

A topological space X is said to be completely regular iff A is a closed subset of X and x is a point not in A imply there is a continuous function f from X to the closed unit interval $[0, 1]$ such that $f(x)$ is 0 and f is 1 on A . WEIL (4) introduced uniform spaces and showed that a topological space is completely regular iff it is uniformizable. THAMPURAN [1, 2] has proved similar results for regular topological spaces and completely regular bitopological spaces: a topological space is regular iff its topology is that of a regularity (a structure similar to a uniformity) and a bitopological space is completely regular iff it is quasiuniformizable. The object of this paper is to show that a bitopological space is regular iff it is the space of a quasi-regularity.

Let X be a set. If A is a subset of X and U is a subset of $X \times X$, take $AU = \{y: (x, y) \in U \text{ for some } x \text{ in } A\}$ and $UA = \{y: (y, x) \in U \text{ for some } x \text{ in } A\}$; if A contains only one point x then we will write xU and Ux for AU and UA . By xUU is meant $(xU)U$ and $UUx = U(Ux)$. For a subset A of X take $cA = X - A$.

Let X be a set and $\mathcal{U}$ a family of subsets of $X \times X$ such that for x in X

1. (x, x) is in each member of $\mathcal{U}$
2. U in $\mathcal{U}$ implies there are V, W in $\mathcal{U}$ such that $xVV \subset xU$ and $WWx \subset Ux$
3. U, V in $\mathcal{U}$ and x in X imply there are W, W' in $\mathcal{U}$ such that $xW \subset xU \cap xV$ and $W'x \subset Ux \cap Vx$ and
4. $U \subset V \subset X \times X$ and U in $\mathcal{U}$ imply V is in $\mathcal{U}$.

Definition 1. $\mathcal{U}$ as defined above is said to be a quasiregularity for X and $(X, \mathcal{U})$ is said to be a quasiregular space.

Definition 2. Let k, k' be two Kuratowski closure functions for X . Then (X, k, k') is said to be a bitopological space. A statement concerning the topological space (X, k) is said to be a k -statement and a k' -statement will have a similar meaning.

Take $i = ckc, i' = ck'c$.

Let $(X, \mathcal{U})$ be a quasiregular space. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the family of all subsets T of X such that x in T implies $Ux \subset T$ for some U in $\mathcal{U}$; it is obvious that $\mathcal{J}$ is a topology for X . The family $\mathcal{J}'$, of all subsets T of X such that x in T implies $xU \subset T$ for some U in $\mathcal{U}$, is also a topology for X .

Definition 3. $\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}'$ as defined above are said to be the left and right topologies respectively of $\mathcal{U}$. Denote by k, k' the closure functions for $\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}'$; then (X, k, k') is said to be the bitopological space of $\mathcal{U}$.

Theorem 1. Let $(X, \mathcal{U}, k, k')$ be the bitopological space of a quasiregularity $\mathcal{U}$ and let A be a subset of X . Then $iA = \{x: Ux \subset A \text{ for some } U \text{ in } \mathcal{U}\}$ and $i'A = \{x: xU \subset A \text{ for some } U \text{ in } \mathcal{U}\}$.

PROOF. Let $B = \{x: Ux \subset A \text{ for some } U \text{ in } \mathcal{U}\}$. Obviously $iA \subset B \subset A$ and so $iB = B$ implies $iA = B$. Let x be a point of B . Then $Ux \subset A$ for some U in $\mathcal{U}$. There is now V in $\mathcal{U}$ such that $VVx \subset Ux$. Let $y \in Vx$. Then $Vy \subset VVx \subset Ux \subset A$ and so $y \in B$. Hence $Vx \subset B$ which implies $iB = B$. Then proof for $i'A$ is similar.

Corollary. Ux is a k -neighborhood and xU is a k' -neighborhood of x for each U in $\mathcal{U}$.

Definition 4. A bitopological space (X, k, k') is said to be regular iff

1. A is a k -closed subset of X and y is in cA imply A has a k' -neighborhood and y has a k -neighborhood which are disjoint and
2. B is a k' -closed subset of X and x is in cB imply B has a k -neighborhood and x has a k' -neighborhood which are disjoint.

Theorem 2. Let $(X, \mathcal{U})$ be a quasiregular space. Then its bitopological space $(X, \mathcal{U}, k, k')$ is regular.

PROOF. Let A be a k -closed subset of X and let y be in cA . Then there is U in $\mathcal{U}$ such that $Uy \subset cA$. Hence there is V in $\mathcal{U}$ such that $VVy \subset Uy$. This means AV is a k' -neighborhood of A , Vy is a k -neighborhood of y and AV and Vy are disjoint. The other part can be proved similarly.

Definition 5. Let X be a set. A set-valued set-function h mapping the power set, of X , to itself is said to be a neighborhood function for X iff

1. $h\emptyset = \emptyset$
2. $A \subset hA$ for $A \subset X$ and
3. $hA \subset hB$ if $A \subset B \subset X$.

The ordered pair (X, h) is said to be a neighborhood space. A subset A of X is said to be a h -neighborhood or neighborhood of a point x iff $x \in chA$.

Definition 6. Let $(X, h), (Y, p)$ be two neighborhood spaces and f a function from X to Y . We will say f is continuous at a point x of X iff the inverse under f of each neighborhood of $f(x)$ is a neighborhood of x . The function f is said to be (h, p) -continuous iff it is continuous at each point of X .

Definition 7. Let h, h' be two neighborhood functions for a set X . Then the ordered triple (X, h, h') is said to be a bineighborhood space. A function f from a bineighborhood space (X, h, h') to a bineighborhood space (Y, p, p') is said to be continuous iff f is both (h, p) and (h', p') -continuous.

Let N denote the set of points $1, 1/2, 1/3, \dots, 0$. Let u, v denote points of N . Define a distance function e for N as follows.

$$e(u, v) = \begin{cases} v - u & \text{if } u < w < v \text{ for some } w \text{ in } N \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $r > 0$ let $V(r) = \{(u, v) : e(u, v) < r\}$. Define the neighborhood functions n, n' for N as follows. For a subset M of N let nM be the set of all points u such that $V(r)u$ intersects M for each $r > 0$ and let $n'M$ be the set of all points u such that $uV(r)$ intersects M for all $r > 0$.

THAMPURAN (3) has proved the following results: Let (X, k, k') be a regular bitopological space. Then

1. B is a k' -closed subset of X and x is in cB imply there is a continuous function f from (X, k, k') to (N, n, n') such that $f(x)$ is 0 and f is 1 on B and
2. A is a k -closed subset of X and y is in cA imply there is a continuous function g from (X, k, k') to (N, n', n) such that $g(y) = 0$ and g is 1 on A .

Theorem 3. *A bitopological space (X, k, k') is regular iff it is the bitopological space of a quasiregularity $\mathcal{U}$ for X .*

PROOF. Let the space be regular. If B is a k' -closed subset of X and x a point of cB , then there is a continuous function f from (X, k, k') to (N, n, n') such that $f(x)$ is 0 and f is 1 on B ; for y, z in X write $d(y, z) = e(f(y), f(z))$. There is such a d for each k' -closed set B and each x in cB ; let D be the family of all such d . For each k -closed set A and each x in cA there is a continuous function f' from (X, k, k') to (N, n', n) such that $f'(x)$ is 0 and f' is 1 on A ; for y, z in X write $d'(y, z) = e(f'(z), f'(y))$. For each k -closed set A and each x in cA there is such a d' ; let D' be the family of all such d' . Take $E = D \cup D'$.

For d in E and $r > 0$ take $V(d, r) = \{(y, z) : d(y, z) < r, y, z \text{ in } X\}$. For d in D , consider a $U = V(d, r)$ and let x be in X . Now xU is a k' -neighborhood of x . Take $cB = i'(xU)$. Then there is a continuous function g from (X, k, k') to (N, n, n') such that $g(x) = 0$ and g is 1 on B ; for y, z in X take $b(y, z) = e(g(y), g(z))$. Let $V = V(b, 1/8)$. Let s be in xV . Then $b(x, s) < 1/8$ and so $g(s) < 1/8$. If t is in sV then $g(t) < 1/4$ and so t is in cB . Hence $xVV \subset xU$. Next, Ux is a k -neighborhood of x and so there is a continuous function g' from (X, k, k') to (N, n', n) such that $g'(x)$ is 0 and g' is 1 on A where $cA = i(Ux)$; for y, z in X take $b'(y, z) = e(g'(z), g'(y))$. Let $V' = V'(b', 1/8)$. Then $V'V'x \subset Ux$.

Similarly we can prove that x in X and $U = V(d, r)$ for d in D' imply there are $W = (p, 1/8)$, $W' = V(q, 1/8)$ for some p, q in E such that $xWW \subset xU$ and $W'W'x \subset Ux$.

Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the family of all subsets U of $X \times X$ such that U contains the intersection of a finite number of the sets $V(d, r)$ for d in E and $r > 0$. It is obvious that $\mathcal{U}$ is a quasiregularity for X .

Finally, let $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}'$ be the left and right topologies respectively of $\mathcal{U}$. We know that $x \in X$ and $V = V(d, r)$, for d in E and $r > 0$, imply that xV is a k' -neighborhood and Vx is a k -neighborhood of x . Hence x is in X and U is in $\mathcal{U}$ imply xU and Ux are k' and k -neighborhoods respectively of x . Let $S \in \mathcal{S}$ and $x \in S$; then $Ux \subset S$ for some U in $\mathcal{U}$ and so S is k -open. If T is k -open and $x \in T$ then there is d in D' such that $Ux \subset T$ where $U = V(d, 1/4)$ and so $T \in \mathcal{S}$. Similarly, a subset S of X is k' -open iff $S \in \mathcal{S}'$. Hence (X, k, k') is the bitopological space of $\mathcal{U}$.

It has already been proved that the bitopological space of a quasiregularity is regular. This completes the proof.

It may be noted that the $\mathcal{U}$ obtained in the proof of Theorem 3 is much more than a quasiregularity. The intersection of two members of $\mathcal{U}$ is a member of $\mathcal{U}$ and U in $\mathcal{U}$ and x in X imply there is V in $\mathcal{U}$ such that $xVV \subset xU$ and $VVx \subset Ux$.

References

- [1] D. V. THUMPURAN, Regularity Structures (to appear).
- [2] D. V. THAMPURAN, Bitopological Spaces and quasiuniformities (to appear.)
- [3] D. V. THAMPURAN, Regular bitopological spaces (to appear).
- [4] A. WEIL, Sur les espaces à structure uniforme et sur la topologie générale, *Act. Sci. Ind.*, 551 Paris, (1937).

(Received April 17, 1970,)