# On a theorem of Daróczy, Lajkó and Székelyhidi

By JOHN A. BAKER (Waterloo, Canada)

In [2] DARÓCZY, LAJKÓ and SZÉKELYHIDI proved

**Theorem 1.** *Let $P$ denote the set of positive elements of an ordered field $F$ and let $G$ be an additive abelian group. For $t\in P$ and $f\colon P\to G$ define $\delta_t f\colon P\to G$ by $\delta_t f(x)=f(xt)-f(x)$ for all $x\in P$. Then $f\colon P\to G$ satisfies*

$$2\delta_t f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)=\delta_t f(x)+\delta_t f(y) \quad \text{for all} \quad x, y, t\in P$$

*if and only if there exist $\alpha, m\colon P\to G$ such that*

$$f(x)=\alpha(x)+m(x)$$

$$2\alpha\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)=\alpha(x)+\alpha(y)$$

*and*

$$m(xy)=m(x)+m(y) \quad \text{for all} \quad x, y\in P.$$

This theorem has found applications in solving functional equations in [1], [2] and [4]. The aim of this paper is to generalize theorem 1 (see theorem 3 below). The main tools will be some results of DJOKOVIĆ [3].

Thoughout this paper $(G, +)$ denotes an abelian group. We say that $G$ admits division by the positive integer $n$ provided that for every $x\in G$ there is a unique $y\in G$ such that $x=ny$ in which case we write $y=x/n$.

If $S$ is a non empty set, $G^S$ denotes the set of all mappings of $S$ into $G$. If for $f, g\in G^S$ we define $f+g$ by $(f+g)(x)=f(x)+g(x)$ for all $x\in S$ then $G^S$ becomes an abelian group.

Let

$$L(S, G)=\{E\colon G^S\to G^S \mid E(f+g)=E(f)+E(g) \text{ for all } f, g\in G^S\}.$$

If for $E, E'\in L(S, G)$ we define $E+E'$ and $EE'$ by $(E+E')(f)=E(f)+E'(f)$ and $(EE')(f)=E(E'f)$ for all $f\in G^S$ then $L(S, G)$ becomes a ring with identity I-the identity map of $G^S$. For $E\in L(S, G)$ and $f\in G^S$ we usually write $Ef$ instead of $E(f)$. For $E\in L(S, G)$ we let $E^2=EE$, $E^3=E^2E$, etc. Notice that if $G$ admits division by $n$ then so do $G^S$ and $L(S, G)$.

Suppose $(S, +)$ is an abelian semigroup. For $y\in S$ define $\Delta_y\in L(S, G)$ by

$$\Delta_y f(x)=f(x+y)-f(x)$$

for all $x \in S$ and $f \in G^S$. Notice that

$$\Delta_y^n f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k f(x+ky)$$

for all $x, y \in S$, all $f \in G^S$ and every positive integer $n$.

If $k$ is a positive integer let $A^k(S, G)$ denote the set of all $A: S^k \to G$ such that

$$A(s_1+s_1', s_2, \ldots, s_k) = A(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k)+A(s_1', s_2, \ldots, s_k)$$

and

$$A(s_{i_1}, s_{i_2}, \ldots, s_{i_k}) = A(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k)$$

for all $s_1, s_1', s_2, \ldots, s_k \in S$ and for every permutation $(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k)$ of $(1, 2, \ldots, k)$. For $A \in A^k(S, G)$ we let $A^*(s) = A(s, s, \ldots, s)$ for all $s \in S$. We need the following theorem of DJOKOVIĆ [3] (also see [5], [6] and [7]).

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose $G$ admits division by $(n-1)!$ and $f: S \to G$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) $\Delta_y^n f(x) = 0$ *for all* $x, y \in S$,

(ii) $\Delta_{y_1} \Delta_{y_2} \ldots \Delta_{y_n} f(x) = 0$ *for all* $x, y_1, \ldots, y_n \in S$,

(iii) *there exist* $A_0 \in G$ *and* $A_k \in A^k(S, G)$, $1 \leqq k \leqq n-1$,

*such that* $f(x) = A_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} A_k^*(x)$ *for all* $x \in S$.

Corollary. *Suppose $G$ admits division by $(n-1)!$ and $f: S \to G$ such that $\Delta_y^n f(x) = 0$ for all $x, y \in S$. Then there exists a constant $c \in G$ such that*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k f(ky) = c \quad \text{for all} \quad y \in S.$$

PROOF. By theorem 2 there exist $A_0 \in G$ and $A_j \in A^j(S, G)$, $1 \leqq j \leqq n-1$, such that

$$f(x) = A_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} A_j^*(x) \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in S.$$

Hence, for all $y \in S$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k f(ky) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k \left( A_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} A_j^*(ky) \right) =$$

$$= \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k \right) A_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k k^j \right) A_j^*(y).$$

Since $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k = -1$, to complete the proof it suffices to show that $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k k^j = 0$ whenever $1 \leqq j \leqq n-1$.

Now if $Z$ denotes the integers, $1 \leqq j \leqq n-1$ and $g: Z \to Z$ is defined by $g(x)=x^j$ for $x \in Z$ then, by theorem 2, $\Delta_y^n g(x)=0$ for all $x, y \in Z$. That is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k g(x+ky) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad x, y \in Z.$$

Putting $x=0$ and $y=1$ we find $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k k^j = 0$.

Let $P$ be an additive abelian semigroup in which an associative multiplication $(xy)$ is defined which is distributive over addition. Also assume $P$ has a multiplicative identity $e$. More explicitly we are assuming that for all $x, y, z \in P$,

$$(x+y)+z = x+(y+z), \quad x+y = y+x$$

$$(xy)z = x(yz), \quad xe = x = ex,$$

$$x(y+z) = xy+xz \quad \text{and} \quad (x+y)z = xz+yz.$$

For $t \in P$ define $\delta_t \in L(P, G)$ by

$$\delta_t f(x) = f(xt)-f(x)$$

for all $x \in P$ and $f \in G^P$.

The main result of this paper is

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose $n$ is a positive integer, $G$ admits division by $(n-1)!$ and $f: P \to G$. Then*

(1) $$\Delta_y^n \delta_t f(x) = 0 \quad \textit{for all} \quad x, y, t \in P$$

*if and only if there exist $g, h: P \to G$ such that*

(2) $$f = g+h,$$

(3) $$\Delta_y^n g(x) = 0$$

*and*

(4) $$h(xy) = h(x)+h(y) \quad \textit{for all} \quad x, y \in P.$$

Proof. Suppose (1) holds. According to the corollary there exists $c(t) \in G$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k (\delta_t f)(kx) = c(t) \quad \text{for all} \quad x, t \in P.$$

On the other hand if we let

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k f(kx) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in P$$

then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k (\delta_t f)(kx) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k \{f(kxt)-f(kx)\} =$$

$$= \varphi(xt)-\varphi(x) = \delta_t \varphi(x) \quad \text{for all} \quad x, t \in P.$$

Thus

$$\delta_t \varphi(x) = c(t),$$

i.e.

(5) $$\varphi(xt) = \varphi(x)+c(t) \quad \text{for all} \quad x, t \in P.$$

Since $kx=x(ke)$ for $x\in P$ and $k$ a positive integer, it follows that $\delta_{ke} f(x)= =f(kx)-f(x)$, $x\in P$.

Thus

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k f(kx) =$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k \{f(kx)-f(x)\} + \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k \right\} f(x) =$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k (\delta_{ke} f)(x) - f(x) \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in P.$$

But $\Delta_y^n \delta_{ke} f(x)=0$ for all $x, y\in P$ and every positive integer $k$. Hence, if we let $\tilde{g}= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k \delta_{ke} f$ then $\Delta_y^n \tilde{g}(x)=0$ for all $x, y\in P$ and

(6) $$f = \tilde{g} - \varphi.$$

From (5) it follows that

$$\varphi(x)+c(s)+c(t) = \varphi(xs)+c(t) = \varphi(xst) =$$

$$= \varphi(x)+c(st)$$

so that

(7) $$c(st) = c(s)+c(t) \quad \text{for all } s, t \in P.$$

But, by (5), $\varphi(t)=\varphi(e)+c(t)$, $t\in P$. Hence by (6)

$$f(x) = \tilde{g}(x) - \varphi(e) - c(x) \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in P.$$

Thus (2) holds if we let $g(x)=\tilde{g}(x)-\varphi(e)$ and $h(x)=-c(x)$ for all $x\in P$. Since $\Delta_y^n \tilde{g}(x)=0$ for all $x, y\in P$ and $g$ differs from $\tilde{g}$ by a constant, (3) holds. Since $h=-c$ it follows from (7) that (4) holds as well. Thus (1) implies (2), (3) and (4).

Conversely, suppose (2), (3) and (4) hold. Then for every $x, t\in P$,

$$\delta_t f(x) = \delta_t g(x)+\delta_t h(x)$$

$$= g(xt)-g(x)+h(xt)-h(x)$$

$$= g(xt)-g(x)+h(t)$$

where we have used (2) and (4). Hence

$$\Delta_y^n \delta_t f(x) = \Delta_y^n \delta_t g(x) \quad \text{for all} \quad x, y, t \in P.$$

But

$$\Delta_y^n \delta_t g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k \{g((x+ky)t) - g(x+ky)\} =$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k g(xt+kyt) - \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k g(x+ky) =$$

$$= \Delta_{yt}^n g(xt) - \Delta_y^n g(x) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad x, y, t \in P \quad \text{by} \quad (3).$$

Hence (1) holds and the proof is complete.

The case $n=2$ of theorem 3 generalizes theorem 1. To see this suppose $P$ is the set of positive elements of an ordered field $F$ and $\alpha: P \to G$. Notice that $P$ admits division by 2. We claim that $\Delta_y^2 \alpha(x)=0$ for all $x, y \in P$ if and only if $2\alpha\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)=\alpha(x)+\alpha(y)$ for all $x, y \in P$.

Indeed, suppose $\Delta_y^2 \alpha(x)=0$ for all $x, y \in P$, i.e.

$$\alpha(x+2y)-2\alpha(x+y)+\alpha(x) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad x, y \in P.$$

Let $u, v \in P$ and assume without loss of generality that $u<v$. Then $v=u+p$ for some $p \in P$. But $p=2w$ for some $w \in P$ so $v=u+2w$. Hence $\alpha(u+2w)-2\alpha(u+w)+$ $+\alpha(u)=0$ or $2\alpha(u+w)=\alpha(u)+\alpha(v)$. But $u+w=u+\frac{v-u}{2}=\frac{u+v}{2}$ so

$$2\alpha\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) = \alpha(u)+\alpha(v).$$

Conversely, if $2\alpha\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)=\alpha(x)+\alpha(y)$ for all $x, y \in P$ then replacing $x$ by $x+2y$ and $y$ by $x$ we find

$$\Delta_y^2 \alpha(x) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad x, y \in P.$$

Hence, if $f: P \to G$ then the following are equivalent for all $x, y, t \in P$:

(a) $2\delta_t f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)=\delta_t f(x)+\delta_t f(y)$,

(b) $\Delta_y^2 \delta_t f(x)=0$,

(c) $f=\alpha+m$ where $\Delta_y^2 \alpha(x)=0$ and $m(xy)=m(x)+m(y)$,

(d) $f=\alpha+m$ where $2\alpha\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)=\alpha(x)+\alpha(y)$ and $m(xy)=m(x)+m(y)$.

## References


[1] Baker, John A., A Generalized Pexider Equation, *submitted to Publ. Math. (Debrecen)*.

[2] Daróczy, Z., Lajkó, K. and Székelyhidi, L., Functional Equations on Ordered Fields, *to appear in Publ. Math. (Debrecen)*.

[3] Djoković, D. Ž., A representation theorem for $(X_1-1)(X_2-1)-(X_n-1)$ and its applications, *Ann. Polon. Math.* **22**, (1969), 189—198.

[4] Lajkó, K., Remark on a paper of J. A. Baker, *Aequationes Math.* **19** (1979), 227—231.

[5] MAZUR, S. and ORLICZ, W., Grundlegende Eigenschaften der Polynomischen Operation, *Studia Math.* **5** (1934), 50—68 and 179—189.
[6] MCKIERNAN, M. A., On vanishing $n$-th ordered differences and Hamel bases, *Ann. Polon. Math.* **19** (1967), 331—336.
[7] VAN DER LIJN, G., La définition fonctionnelle des polynômes dans les groupes abeliens, *Fund. Math.* **33** (1945), 42—50.

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO
WATERLOO, ONTARIO, CANADA.

*(Received September 29, 1977.)*