

On relative connectedness I.

By BÉLA RENDI (Debrecen)

In their discussion of certain optimization problems, ST. SIMONS (1990) and S. HORVÁTH (1991) are using the following definition of a connected set: “a subset $K \subset X$ of a topological space $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is connected, if the relations $K \subset A \cup B$ and $K \cap A \cap B = \emptyset$ imply $K \subset A$ or $K \subset B$, where $A, B \in \mathcal{T}$.”

This definition of connectedness has been generalized by H. KÖNIG in his conference “The Topological Minimax Theorem ” (Debrecen University, Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, 12 October 1995). Let X be a nonvoid set and $\mathcal{S}$ a family of subset of X . H. König defines connectedness with respect to the family of sets $\mathcal{S}$ as follows: “The subset $K \subset X$ is connected with respect to the family of sets $\mathcal{S}$, if for any sets $A, B \in \mathcal{S}$ the relations $K \subset A \cup B$ and $K \cap A \cap B = \emptyset$ imply $K \subset A$ or $K \subset B$. ”

Remarks. 1. For $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{T}$ we get back the definition used by Simons, i.e. the classical notion of connectedness.

2. If $\mathcal{S}_1 \subset \mathcal{S}_2$ and $K \subset X$ is connected with respect to the family of sets $\mathcal{S}_2$, then K is connected with respect to the family of sets $\mathcal{S}_1$ too.

3. If for $K \subset X$ there do not exist sets $A, B \in \mathcal{S}$ for wich $K \subset A \cup B$ then K is connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$.

The last remark motivates the following

This paper was prepared during the author’s stay at the Institute of Mathematics and Informatics of Debrecen University in 1995–96.

[†]He died on 11 of June, 1996.

Definition 1. Let X be an arbitrary set and $\mathcal{S}$ a given family of subsets. The subset $K \subset X$ is $(n-1)$ -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$, if for any sets $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{S}$ the relations $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ and $K \cap A_{i_0} \cap \left(\bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^n A_i \right) = \emptyset$ imply $K \subset A_{i_0}$ or $K \subset \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^n A_i$.

Remarks. 4. The notion of 1-connected set coincides with that of connected set in the sense of König. The notion just introduced can also be called chain-connectedness.

5. If $\mathcal{S}_1 \subset \mathcal{S}$ and $K \subset X$ is $(n-1)$ -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$, then K is $(n-1)$ -connected also with respect to $\mathcal{S}_1$.

6. The following examples will show that the notion just introduced essentially depends on both the family of sets $\mathcal{S}$, and the natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ and let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of those open intervals the length of which is not greater than 1, and let $K = (0, 2) \cup (2, 3) \cup \{10\}$. One sees that by Remark 3 K is a 1-connected set. Similarly, K is 2-connected and 3-connected too. It can be shown that K is not 4-connected. Indeed, let $A = (0, 1)$, $B = (1/2, 3/2)$, $C = (1, 2)$, $D = (2, 3)$, $E = (19/2, 21/2)$. One verifies that the relations $K \subset A \cup B \cup C \cup D \cup E$ and $K \cap E \cap (A \cup B \cup C \cup D) = \emptyset$ are satisfied, but neither $K \subset E$ nor $K \subset A \cup B \cup C \cup D$ holds.

7. The notion introduced proves helpful in studying classic connectedness in a topological space $(X, \mathcal{T})$ by investigating k -connectedness with respect to a topological base $\mathcal{B}$. This will become clear in the sequel.

Theorem 1. *If $K \subset X$ is an $(n-1)$ -connected set with respect to the family of sets $\mathcal{S}$ and $n \geq 3$, then K is also $(n-2)$ -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$, hence it is connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$.*

PROOF. We suppose that K is $(n-1)$ -connected with respect to the family of sets $\mathcal{S}$. Let the sets $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n-1} \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfy $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i$ and $K \cap A_{i_0} \cap \left(\bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^{n-1} A_i \right) = \emptyset$. Moreover, let $i_1 \neq i_0$, $1 \leq i_1 \leq n-1$ and $A_n = A_{i_1}$. It follows that we also have $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ and $K \cap A_{i_0} \cap \left(\bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^n A_i \right) = \emptyset$. Since K is $(n-1)$ -connected with respect to the family of sets $\mathcal{S}$, we see

that either $K \subset A_{i_0}$ or $K \subset \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^n A_i = \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^{n-1} A_i$ holds, i.e. K is an $(n-2)$ -connected set with respect to the family of sets $\mathcal{S}$.

The above examples show that the converse of the theorem is not true.

A family of sets $\mathcal{S}$ is said to be closed with respect to finite unions, if $A, B \in \mathcal{S}$ always implies $A \cup B \in \mathcal{S}$.

Theorem 2. *If the family of sets $\mathcal{S}$ is closed with respect to finite unions and if $K \subset X$ is $(n-1)$ -connected with respect to the family of sets $\mathcal{S}$, then K is $(k-1)$ -connected with respect to the family of sets $\mathcal{S}$ for any natural number $k \geq 2$.*

PROOF. Suppose that $K \subset X$ is an $(n-1)$ -connected set with respect to $\mathcal{S}$. It follows that K is 1-connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$. Let the sets $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfy the relations $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i$ and $K \cap A_{i_0} \cap \left(\bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^k A_i \right) = \emptyset$. (If no such sets $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{S}$ exist then K is trivially $(k-1)$ -connected.) Let $B_1 = A_{i_0}$ and $B_2 = \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^k A_i$. Let the conditions $K \subset B_1 \cup B_2$ and $K \cap B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$ be satisfied. Since K is connected with respect to the family of sets $\mathcal{S}$ and $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ it follows that either $K \subset B_1 = A_{i_0}$ or $K \subset B_2 = \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^k A_i$ i.e. K is $(k-1)$ -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$.

Theorem 3. *If $K \subset X$ is $(n-1)$ -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$ and the conditions $K \cap A_j \cap \left(\bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n A_i \right) = \emptyset$ and $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ are satisfied for any natural number j ($1 \leq j \leq n$), then there exists a natural number i_0 ($1 \leq i_0 \leq n$) such that $K \subset A_{i_0}$.*

PROOF. On the basis of the given conditions we have either $K \subset A_j$ or $K \subset \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n A_i$ for any natural number $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let us suppose that there does not exist any $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq i_0 \leq n$ for which $K \subset A_{i_0}$. Then, for any natural number $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ we obtain $K \subset \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n A_i$. Hence the conditions $K \cap A_j \subset \left(\bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n A_i \right) \cap A_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are satisfied, i.e. $K \cap A_j \subset$

$\left(\bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n A_i\right) \cap A_j \cap K$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. In view of $K \cap A_j \cap \left(\bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n A_i\right) = \emptyset$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ we have $K \cap A_j = \emptyset$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. From this we get $K \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \emptyset$. Now $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ implies $K = \emptyset$ and so $K \subset A_{i_0}$ holds for any natural number i_0 , $1 \leq i_0 \leq n$ which contradicts our hypothesis. Thus the theorem is proved.

In what follows, let $\mathcal{S}$ be a given family of sets satisfying $\emptyset \in \mathcal{S}$. Let $\mathcal{S}^n$ denote the family of sets

$$\mathcal{S}^1(\cup)\mathcal{S}^{n-1} = \{A \cup B \mid A \in \mathcal{S}^1, B \in \mathcal{S}^{n-1}\}$$

where $\mathcal{S}^1 = \mathcal{S}$, and let $\mathcal{S}^\cup = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}^n$. One sees that $\mathcal{S}^\cup$ is closed with respect to finite unions and

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^1 \subset \mathcal{S}^2 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{S}^n \subset \dots$$

Theorem 4. *If $K \subset X$ is connected (1-connected) with respect to $\mathcal{S}^n$, then K is n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$.*

PROOF. Let us suppose that K is connected with respect to the family of sets $\mathcal{S}^n$. Let the sets $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n+1} \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfy the conditions $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} A_i$ and $K \cap A_{i_0} \cap \left(\bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^{n+1} A_i\right) = \emptyset$ where $1 \leq i_0 \leq n+1$.

Since $A_{i_0}, \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^{n+1} A_i \in \mathcal{S}^n$ and K is connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}^n$, it follows

that $K \subset A_{i_0}$ or else $K \subset \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^{n+1} A_i$ and so we get that K is an n -connected set with respect to $\mathcal{S}$. The converse of the theorem does not hold.

Remark 8. If $\mathcal{S}$ is closed with respect to finite unions then $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^1 = \mathcal{S}^2 = \dots = \mathcal{S}^n = \dots$ and so $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^n = \mathcal{S}^\cup$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence we get that the set $K \subset X$ is connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$ if and only if it is n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$ for any natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$.

In what follows we are going to investigate certain properties of n -connectedness, taking into account those of classical connectedness. In order to supplement our notations, let us remark that the closure of a set $L \subset X$ with respect to a family of sets $\mathcal{S}$ will be the set $\tilde{L} \subset X$, defined by $\tilde{L} = \bigcap_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{S}^\cup \\ L \subset S}} S$.

Remark 9. If $\tilde{L}$ is the closure of the set L with respect to $\mathcal{S}$, then $\tilde{L}$ the closure of L also with respect to $\mathcal{S}^n$ for any natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\tilde{L}$ is the closure of L with respect to $\mathcal{S}^\cup$ too.

Theorem 5. *If $K \subset X$ is an n -connected set with respect to $\mathcal{S}$ and $K \subset L \subset \tilde{K}$ then L too is n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$.*

PROOF. Let $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{S}$ be sets satisfying $L \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^n A_i$ and $L \cap A_{i_0} \cap \left(\bigcup_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq i_0}}^n A_i \right) = \emptyset$. Now $K \subset L$ implies that $K \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^n A_i$ and $K \cap A_{i_0} \cap \left(\bigcup_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq i_0}}^n A_i \right) = \emptyset$. Since K is n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$, either $K \subset A_{i_0}$ or $K \subset \bigcup_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq i_0}}^n A_i$. Now $A_{i_0}, \bigcup_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq i_0}}^n A_i \in \mathcal{S}^\cup$ implies that $\tilde{K} \subset A_{i_0}$ or $\tilde{K} \subset \bigcup_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq i_0}}^n A_i$, i.e. we get $L \subset A_{i_0}$ or $L \subset \bigcup_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq i_0}}^n A_i$. Thus L is n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$.

Theorem 6. *If the members of the family of sets $\{K_i \mid i \in I\}$ are n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$ and $\bigcap_{i \in I} K_i \neq \emptyset$ then $K = \bigcup_{i \in I} K_i$ too is n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$.*

PROOF. Let $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{S}$ be sets, such that $K \subset \bigcup_{j=0}^n A_j$ and $K \cap A_{j_0} \cap \left(\bigcup_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq j_0}}^n A_j \right) = \emptyset$. It follows that for any $i \in I$ we also have $K_i \subset \bigcup_{j=0}^n A_j$ and $K_i \cap A_{j_0} \cap \left(\bigcup_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq j_0}}^n A_j \right) = \emptyset$. Since K_i is n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$ for any $i \in I$, it follows that $K_i \subset A_{j_0}$ or $K_i \subset \bigcup_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq j_0}}^n A_j$ for any $i \in I$. Now let $I = I_1 \cup I_2$ with

$$I_1 = \{i \in I \mid K_i \subset A_{j_0}\},$$

$$I_2 = \{i \in I \mid K_i \subset \bigcup_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq j_0}}^n A_j\}.$$

If $I_2 = \emptyset$ (or $I_1 = \emptyset$) then the theorem is true, because $K_i \subset A_{j_0}$ (or $K_i \subset \bigcup_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq j_0}}^n A_j$) for any $i \in I$, and consequently $K \subset A_{j_0}$ (or $K \subset \bigcup_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq j_0}}^n A_j$).

Now suppose $I_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $I_2 \neq \emptyset$. Let $L_1 = \bigcup_{i \in I_1} K_i$, $L_2 = \bigcup_{i \in I_2} K_i$. One sees that $L_1 \cap L_2 \subset \left(\bigcup_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq j_0}}^n A_j \right) \cap A_{j_0}$ and $L_1 \cap L_2 \subset K$, hence $L_1 \cap L_2 \subset$

$K \cap A_{j_0} \cap \left(\bigcup_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq j_0}}^n A_j \right)$ i.e. $L_1 \cap L_2 = \emptyset$. From $\bigcap_{i \in I} K_i \subset K_i$, $\forall i \in I$ we infer

that $\bigcap_{i \in I} K_i \subset L_1$ and $\bigcap_{i \in I} K_i \subset L_2$, hence $\bigcap_{i \in I} K_i \subset L_1 \cap L_2$. Thus we obtain $\bigcap_{i \in I} K_i = \emptyset$ and this contradicts the conditions of the theorem.

Theorem 7. *If for any two points $x, y \in X$ there exists a set $K_{xy} \subset X$ which is n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$ and satisfies $x, y \in K_{xy}$ then the space X is n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$.*

PROOF. Let $x \in X$ be a fixed point and $y \in X$ a variable point whose range is the whole space. For any point $y \in X$ let K_{xy} be the set, n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$, the existence of which is postulated in the theorem. Since $\bigcap_{y \in X} K_{xy} \supset \{x\} \neq \emptyset$ the previous theorem implies that $X = \bigcup_{y \in X} K_{xy}$ is an n -connected set with respect to $\mathcal{S}$.

Remark 10. Let X be an arbitrary set and $\mathcal{S}$ an arbitrary family of subset of X . For any natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any point $x \in X$ the sets $K_0 = \emptyset$ and $K_x = \{x\}$ are n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$.

In what follows, let X and Y be two arbitrary sets and $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$, $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{P}(Y)$ two given families of sets, where $\mathcal{P}(U) = \{A \subset U\}$.

Theorem 8. *If X is n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$ and if there exists a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\bigcup_{R \in \mathcal{R}} R = f(X)$ and $f^{-1}(R) \in \mathcal{S}$ for any $R \in \mathcal{R}$ then Y is n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{R}$.*

PROOF. It will be sufficient to prove that $f(X) \subset Y$ is n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{R}$. Indeed, if $f(X) \neq Y$ is n -connected then Y too is n -connected (since it has no covering by elements of $\mathcal{R}$), and whenever $Y \supset Z \supset f(X)$ holds, Z is also n -connected.

On the basis of this it suffices to prove the theorem for a surjective function.

Let now be $R_0, R_1, \dots, R_n \in \mathcal{R}$ and we suppose that $Y \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^n R_i$ and $Y \cap R_{i_0} \cap \left(\bigcup_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq i_0}}^n R_i \right) = \emptyset$. We put $S_i = f^{-1}(R_i) \in \mathcal{S}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ and we get $X \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^n S_i$ and $X \cap S_{i_0} \cap \left(\bigcup_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq i_0}}^n S_i \right) = \emptyset$. Since X is an n -connected space with respect to $\mathcal{S}$, it follows that $X \subset S_{i_0}$ or $X \subset \bigcup_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq i_0}}^n S_i$ hence $Y = f(X) \subset f(S_{i_0}) = R_{i_0}$ or $Y = f(X) \subset f\left(\bigcup_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq i_0}}^n S_i\right) = \bigcup_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq i_0}}^n R_i$. Thus the space Y is n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{R}$.

In what follows, let $\{X_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a given family of sets, and for any $i \in I$ let $\mathcal{S}_i \subset \mathcal{P}(X_i)$ be given. Let $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ denote the cartesian product of the family of sets, and let

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \prod_{i \in I} A_i \mid A_i \in \mathcal{S}_i \cup \{X_i\}, \mid \{i \in I, A_i \neq X_i\} \mid \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

One sees that $x \in I$.

Theorem 9. *If the space X_i is n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}_i$ for any $i \in I$, then X is n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$.*

PROOF. For any $i \in I$, let us fix a point $x_i \in X_i$. Let $x = (x_i) \in \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ and let us denote by C the set of those points $y \in \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ which have only finitely many of their coordinates different from the corresponding coordinate of x . If $A \in \mathcal{S}^\cup$ then it is easy to see that $C \subset A$ if and only if $A = X$. Let $\tilde{C}$ denote the closure of the set C with respect to the family of sets $\mathcal{S}^\cup$. There follows that $\tilde{C} = X$. By Theorem 6 it will be sufficient to prove that C is n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}^\cup$, i.e. with respect to $\mathcal{S}$. By Theorem 7 it suffices to prove that for any point $y \in C$ there exists a subset $C_y \subset C$ such that $x, y \in C_y$ and which is n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$. Therefore let $y = (y_i) \in C$. There exist indices $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \in I$ such that $x_i = y_i, i \in I \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$. For any natural number $k \leq n$ let

$$B_k = \left\{ z = (z_i) \in \prod_{i \in I} X_i \mid \begin{array}{ll} z_{i_\ell} = x_{i_\ell}, & 1 \leq \ell < k; \\ z_{i_\ell} = y_{i_\ell}, & k < \ell \leq n; \end{array} \begin{array}{l} z_{i_k} \in X_{i_k} \\ z_i = x_i, i \in I \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_n\} \end{array} \right\}.$$

It follows that $y \in B_1$, $x \in B_n$, $B_k \cap B_{k+1} \neq \emptyset$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ (indeed let $z_i = x_i$, $i = i_\ell$, $\ell \leq k$ and for $i \in I \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$, let $z_i = y_i$, $i = i_\ell$, $k+1 \leq \ell \leq n$. With these notations we obtain $z = (z_i) \in B_k \cap B_{k+1}$).

There exist bijective mappings $h_k : X_{i_k} \rightarrow B_k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let $\mathcal{S}'_k = \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{P}(B_k)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. It can be shown that for any set $L \in \mathcal{S}'_k$ one has $h_k^{-1}(L) \in \mathcal{S}_{i_k}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and consequently $B_k = h_k(X_{i_k})$ is n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}'_k$. It can easily be shown that the sets B_k are n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$ too. This implies that all the sets B_1 , $B_1 \cup B_2, \dots, \bigcup_{k=1}^n B_k$ are n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$ hence $C_y = \bigcup_{k=1}^n B_k$ is the set we wanted to obtain. This completes the proof of the theorem.

In what follows, we are going to determine the connected components of the space X .

Definition 2. The set $C \subset X$ is an n -connected component with respect to $\mathcal{S}$ of the space X , if for any set $C_1 \subset X$, n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$, $C \subset C_1$ implies $C = C_1$.

Theorem 10. Any two noncoinciding components, n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$, are disjoint.

PROOF. Let K_1, K_2 be two components, n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$, for which $K_1 \cap K_2 \neq \emptyset$. Thus $K_1 \cup K_2$ is also an n -connected set with respect to $\mathcal{S}$. The inclusions $K_1 \subset K_1 \cup K_2$ and $K_2 \subset K_1 \cup K_2$ imply $K_1 = K_1 \cup K_2 = K_2$, and the theorem is proved.

Theorem 11. If the set $K \subset X$ is n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$, then the space has a component $C \subset X$, n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$, such that $K \subset C$.

PROOF. Let C be a component, n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$, for which $C \cap K \neq \emptyset$. It follows that $C \cup K$ too is n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$, hence $C = C \cup K$ i.e. $K \subset C$. (C is the union of those sets, n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$, which have nonvoid intersection with K .)

Theorem 12. The space X can be represented as the union of its components, n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$.

PROOF. Let $x \in X$ be an arbitrary point. The fact that the one-point set $\{x\}$ is n -connected with respect to $\mathcal{S}$, implies the existence of a component C_x such that $x \in C_x$. Hence $X = \bigcup_{x \in X} C_x$ is the desired representation.

Acknowledgement. I wish to express my gratitude to Professor A. CSÁSZÁR for his help and advice in preparing this paper.

References

- [1] Á. CSÁSZÁR, Foundations of General Topology, *Pergamon Press, Oxford – London – New York – Paris*, 1963.
- [2] H. KÖNIG, A General Minimax Theorem based on Connectedness, *Arch. Math.* **59** (1992), 55–64.
- [3] H. KÖNIG, Addendum to “A General Minimax theorem based on Connectedness”, *Arch. Math.* **64** (1995), 139–143.
- [4] H. KÖNIG, The Topological Minimax Theorem, 1995, *preprint*.
- [5] ST. SIMONS, Minimax Theorems and their Proofs, 1994, *preprint*.

BÉLA RENDI
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY LAJOS KOSSUTH
H-4010 DEBRECEN
HUNGARY

(Received May 7, 1996)