

Strictly semiprime ideals and nilpotency in near-rings with a defect of distributivity

By VUČIĆ DAŠIĆ (Titograd)

A. OSWALD, in chapter V of his *Dissertation* [6], considers strictly prime and strictly semiprime ideals and some of their characteristics in distributively generated (d. g.) near-rings. Also, A. OSWALD [6] considers the conditions for which every nil R -subgroup of a d.g. near-ring R is nilpotent.

The purpose of this paper is to extend certain results of OSWALD [6], to near-rings with a defect of distributivity. In this paper by "near-ring" is meant a zero-symmetric (left) near-ring. A set of generators of the near-ring R is a multiplicative subsemigroup $((S, \cdot))$ of a semigroup $(R, \cdot)$, whose elements generate $(R, +)$. For each $x, y \in R$ and $s \in S$ we can determine the element $d = d(x, y, s) \in R$ so that $(x+y)s = xs + ys + d$. A normal subgroup D of the group $(R, +)$ generated by these elements $d \in R$ is called a defect of distributivity of the near-ring R (see [3]). The near-ring R will be denoted by (R, S) when we wish to stress the set of generators S .

1. Strictly semiprime ideals

An ideal A of a near-ring R is a strictly semiprime ideal, if whenever B is an R -subgroup of R with $B^2 \subseteq A$ then $B \subseteq A$. A strictly semiprime near-ring is a near-ring in which (0) is a strictly semiprime ideal. A. OSWALD [6] characterizes strictly semiprime ideals in terms of a strictly semiprime system denoted as an ssp-system. A subset M of a near-ring R is an ssp-system if $a \in M$ implies that there exists $x \in R$ such that $axa \in M$.

Definition. We recall that the defect D of a near-ring (R, S) has a strictly semiprime property (ssp-property), if whenever A is an ideal of R with $xRx \subseteq A$ ($x \in R$), then for each $d \in D$ there exists $r \in R$ such that $xr = d$.

The following three theorems generalize the results of A. Oswald ([6], Thms 1.2, 1.5, 2.2, pp. 56—59).

Theorem 1.1. *Let (R, S) be a near-ring whose defect has the ssp-property. The ideal A of R is strictly semiprime if and only if $x \in R$ and $xRx \subseteq A$ implies $x \in A$.*

PROOF. Let A be a strictly semiprime ideal of R and $xRx \subseteq A$ for $x \in R$. It needs to be shown that $x \in A$. Let B an R -subgroup of R generated by x .

We first need to show that B has consists of elements of the form

$$\sum_i (\pm x r_i + m_i x) \quad (r_i \in R, m_i \text{-integers}).$$

Evidently, these elements form an additive subgroup of $(R, +)$. If $\sum_i (\pm x r_i + m_i x) = b \in B$ then for all $s \in S$ we have $bs = \sum_i (\pm x r_i s + m_i x s) + d$, ($d \in D$). Since for each $d \in D$ there exists $r \in R$ such that $xr = d$, we obtain $bs = \sum_i (\pm x r_i s + m_i x s) + xr + ox$. Thus $bs \in B$, i.e. B is an S -subgroup and hence an R -subgroup.

If $b, c \in B$, then $b = \sum_i (\pm x r_i + m_i x)$ and $c = \sum_j (\pm x r'_j + m'_j x)$, ($r_i, r'_j \in R, m_i, m'_j$ integers). On the other hand we have $m'_j x = \sum_k (\pm s_{jk})$ and $x r'_j = \sum_k (\pm s'_{jk})$, ($s_{jk}, s'_{jk} \in S$). Thus,

$$bc = \sum_i (\pm x r_i + m_i x) \sum_j (\pm x r'_j + m'_j x)$$

$$bc = \sum_j [(\sum_i (\pm x r_i + m_i x))(\pm x r'_j) + (\sum_i (\pm x r_i + m_i x))(m'_j x)]$$

$$bc = \sum_j [\sum_k (\pm \sum_i (\pm x r_i + m_i x) s'_{jk}) + \sum_k (\pm \sum_i (\pm x r_i + m_i x) s_{jk})]$$

$$bc = \sum_j [\sum_k (\pm \sum_i (\pm x r_i s'_{jk} + m_i x s'_{jk})) + \sum_k (\pm \sum_i (\pm x r_i s_{jk} + m_i x s_{jk}))] + d \quad (d \in D).$$

By using the Proposition 2.2a of [3] we have

$$bc = x [\sum_j (\sum_k (\pm \sum_i (\pm r_i s'_{jk} + m_i s'_{jk}))) + \sum_k (\pm \sum_i (\pm r_i s_{jk} + m_i s_{jk}))] + d', \quad (d' \in D).$$

By hypothesis, for any $d' \in D$ there exists $r' \in R$ such that $xr' = d'$. Thus we obtain $bc \in xR$, i.e. $B^2 \subseteq xR$. Since $xR \subseteq A$ it follows that $B^2 \subseteq A$, i.e. $B \subseteq A$. Finally, we have that $x \in A$, because B is an R -subgroup generated by x .

The converse is immediate.

Corollary. Let (R, S) be a near-ring whose defect has the ssp-property. A near-ring R is strictly semiprime if and only if $xRx = (0)$ implies $x = 0$.

Theorem 1.2. Let (R, S) be a near-ring whose defect has the ssp-property. A near-ring R is strictly semiprime if and only if R contains no nonzero nilpotent R -subgroup.

PROOF. Let R be a strictly semiprime near-ring. Let us suppose that there exists a nilpotent R -subgroup P , i.e. $P^n = (0)$ for some integer n . If $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in P$ and $u = x_1 \dots x_{n-1}$ then $uR \subseteq P$. Since $u \in P^{n-1}$ we have $uRu = (0)$. By the Corollary of Theorem 1.1 it follows that $u = 0$. Thus R has no nilpotent R -subgroups.

The converse is immediate.

Theorem 1.3. Let (R, S) be a near-ring whose defect has the ssp-property. An ideal A of R is strictly semiprime if and only if $C(A) = \{x \in R; x \notin A\}$ is an ssp-system.

PROOF. Let A be a strictly semiprime ideal of R and let $a \in C(A)$, i.e. $a \notin A$. We will show that there exists $x \in R$ such that $axa \in C(A)$, i.e. $axa \notin A$. Let us suppose the opposite: for some $x \in R$, $axa \in A$. From Theorem 1.1, we obtain $a \in A$. This contradicts the above supposition. Thus $C(A)$ is an ssp-system.

Conversely, let $C(A)$ be an ssp-system and $xRx \subseteq A$ ($x \in R$). We will show that $x \in A$. Let us suppose that $x \notin A$, i.e. $x \in C(A)$. Thus, by definition of the ssp-system, there exists $r \in R$ such that $rxr \in C(A)$, hence $rxr \notin A$. This contradicts $xRx \subseteq A$. Consequently, $xRx \subseteq A$ implies $x \in A$. By Theorem 1.1, it follows that A is a strictly semiprime ideal of R .

J. C. BEIDLEMAN [1] defines a strictly prime near-ring as follows: R is strictly prime if, whenever C is an R -subgroup of R and B is a right ideal of R with $CB = (0)$, then either $C = (0)$ or $B = (0)$. A. OSWALD ([6], Prop. 2.4, p. 60) obtains a corresponding symmetry in the definition of this notion for the class of d.g. near-rings. Thus, a d.g. near-ring R is strictly prime if and only if whenever A, B are R -subgroups of R with $AB = (0)$ then either $A = (0)$ or $B = (0)$. This characteristic of strictly prime d.g. near-rings becomes applicable to near-rings with a defect of distributivity in the light of the following proposition.

Proposition 1.4. *Let (R, S) be a near-ring with a defect such that, for any R -subgroups A and B of R with $AB = (0)$, a normal subgroup of $(R, +)$ generated by B contains a relative defect of the subset B with respect to R . Then, R is strictly prime if and only if $AB = (0)$ implies either $A = (0)$ or $B = (0)$.*

PROOF. Let R be strictly prime in the sense of Beidleman's definition and let us suppose that $AB = (0)$ for R -subgroups A and B . Denote by $\bar{B}$ a right ideal of R which is generated by an R -subgroup B . By Lemma 1.1 of [4] the elements of $\bar{B}$ are of the form

$$\bar{b} = \sum_i (r_i \pm b_i s_i + m_i b'_i - r_i) \quad (r_i \in R, s_i \in S, b_i, b'_i \in B, m_i \text{-integers}).$$

If $a \in A$ then $a\bar{b} = \sum_i (ar_i \pm ab_i s_i + m_i ab'_i - ar_i) = 0$, because $ab_i s_i, ab'_i \in AB = (0)$.

Thus, $A\bar{B} = (0)$ implies either $A = (0)$ or $\bar{B} = (0)$. But, if $\bar{B} = (0)$ then $B = (0)$. Therefore, $AB = (0)$ implies either $A = (0)$ or $B = (0)$.

The converse is immediate.

2. Nilpotent and nil R -subgroups

It is interesting to establish when a nil R -subgroup of a near-ring R is nilpotent. For the class of d.g. near-rings, A. OSWALD ([6], Thms 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, pp. 62—65) has considered this problem. Several following theorems refer to near-rings with a defect and generalize the results of Oswald.

Theorem 2.1. *Let (R, S) be a near-ring whose defect has the ssp-property. If R is strictly semiprime and R has the maximum condition on right annihilators, then R contains no nonzero nil R -subgroups.*

PROOF. Let B be a nil R -subgroup of R and $b \in B$, where $b \neq 0$. Because R is strictly semiprime, we have $Rb \neq (0)$. Let us denote by $A(x)$ a right annihilator

of $x \in R$. A set of right annihilators of nonzero elements from Rb has a maximal element, say $A(tb)$ ($tb \neq 0$). For all $y \in R$ and $t \in R$ we obtain

$$(ytb)^m = ytb \cdot ytb \dots ytb = yt \cdot b_1 \dots b_1 \cdot b = yt \cdot b_1^{m-1} \cdot b,$$

where $byt = b_1 \in B$. Since the elements from B are nilpotent, there exists an integer $k > 0$ such that $b_1^k = 0$ and $b_1^{k-1} \neq 0$. Hence for $m = k + 1$, $(ytb)^m = 0$. If $x \in A(c)$ then $x \in A((yc)^{m-1})$, where $c = tb$. Therefore, $A(c) \subseteq A((yc)^{m-1})$. Since the right annihilator is maximal for $c = tb$, we have $A(c) = A((yc)^{m-1})$. On the other hand, $yc \in A((yc)^{m-1})$, i.e. $yc \in A(c)$. Thus, $cyc = 0$ for each $y \in R$. Consequently $cRc = (0)$. From the Corollary of Theorem 1.1 it follows that $c = 0$, i.e. $tb = 0$. This is contradictory to the supposition that $tb \neq 0$ which follows from the supposition that $b \neq 0$. Therefore $b = 0$, i.e. $B = (0)$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let (R, S) be a near-ring whose defect has the ssp-property. If a maximal nilpotent right ideal contains all the nilpotent R -subgroups of R and R has the maximum condition on right ideals, then every nil R -subgroup of R is nilpotent.*

PROOF. Let M be a maximal nilpotent right ideal of R . By Theorem 2.6 of [3], $\bar{R} = R/M$ is a near-rings with the defect $\bar{D} = \{d + M : d \in D\}$. Because of the natural homomorphism $h: R \rightarrow \bar{R}$, the defect $\bar{D}$ of $\bar{R}$ has an ssp-property. By Theorem 1.2 $\bar{R}$ is a strictly semiprime near-ring, because $\bar{R}$ has no nonzero nilpotent $\bar{R}$ -subgroups. From Theorem 2.1 it follows that $\bar{R}$ has no nil $\bar{R}$ -subgroups. Therefore, if A is a nil R -subgroup of R then $A \subseteq M$. Thus A is a nilpotent R -subgroup.

Theorem 2.3. *Let R be a near-ring whose defect D is contained in the commutator subgroup of $(R, +)$ and has the ssp-property. If $(R, +)$ is a solvable group and R has maximum condition on right ideals, then every nil R -subgroup of R is nilpotent.*

PROOF. Let R' be a commutator subgroup of $(R, +)$. By Theorem 3.7 of [3], R' is a nilpotent ideal of R . Thus, if M is a maximal nilpotent right ideal of R , then $R' \subseteq M$. Also, we have $D \subseteq R'$. On the other hand, by the Corollary of Theorem 2.6 of [3] and by Theorem 4.4.3 of [5], it follows that $\bar{R} = R/M$ is a ring. Thus, every $\bar{R}$ -subgroup of $\bar{R}$ is a right ideal of $\bar{R}$. Let A be a nilpotent R -subgroup of R . Since the ring $\bar{R}$ has no nonzero nilpotent right ideals, it follows that $A \subseteq M$. Therefore, every nilpotent R -subgroup is contained in a maximal nilpotent right ideal of R . Because of Theorem 2.2, every nil R -subgroup of R is nilpotent.

Definition. Let (R, S) be a near-ring with the defect D . A subset $B \subseteq R$ is D -nilpotent in case there is an integer $k > 0$ such that $x_1 \dots x_k \in D$ for every sequence $x_1, \dots, x_k$ in B .

Clearly, if a subset is nilpotent then it is D -nilpotent. By using the notion of D -nilpotency, the last two theorems obtain the following form.

Theorem 2.2'. *Let (R, S) be a near-ring with the defect D . If a maximal D -nilpotent right ideal of R contains all D -nilpotent R -subgroups of R and R has the maximum condition on right ideals of R , then every nil R -subgroup of R is D -nilpotent.*

PROOF. If M is a maximal D -nilpotent right ideal of R , then $D \subseteq M$. By Corollary of Theorem 2.6 of [3] it follows that $\bar{R} = R/M$ is a d.g. near-ring, whereby $\bar{R}$ has no nonzero nilpotent $\bar{R}$ -subgroups. From Theorem 1.5 of [6], $\bar{R}$ is a strictly semiprime d.g. near-ring. Also, $\bar{R}$ has the maximum condition on right annihilators. Thus by Theorem 3.1 of [6], (p. 62) $\bar{R}$ has no nonzero nil $\bar{R}$ -subgroups. Consequently, if A is a nil R -subgroup of R then $A \subseteq M$, i.e. A is a D -nilpotent R -subgroup of R .

Corollary. Let (R, S) be a near-ring with the nilpotent defect D . If a maximal nilpotent right ideal of R contains all nilpotent R -subgroups of R and R has the maximum condition on right ideals, then every nil R -subgroup of R is nilpotent.

Theorem 2.3'. Let (R, S) be a near-ring with the defect D . If $(R, +)$ is a solvable group and R has the maximum condition on right ideals of R , then every nil R -subgroup of R is D -nilpotent.

PROOF. If M is a maximal D -nilpotent ideal of R , then $D \subseteq M$. By Corollary of Theorem 2.6 of [3], $\bar{R} = R/M$ is a d.g. near-ring. Therefore, by Theorem 3.4 of ([6], p. 64) it follows that every nil $\bar{R}$ -subgroup of $\bar{R}$ is nilpotent. Thus, every nil R -subgroup of R is D -nilpotent.

The previous theorem and the following corollary generalize the result of Oswald ([6], Theorem 3.4, p. 64).

Corollary. Let (R, S) be a near-ring with a nilpotent defect. If $(R, +)$ is a solvable group and R has the maximum condition on right ideals of R , then every nil R -subgroup of R is nilpotent.

We recall that a near-ring R with the defect D is D -distributive, if for all $x, y, z \in R$ there exists $d \in D$ such that $(x+y)z = xz + yz + d$, (see [3]).

Theorem 2.4. Let R be a D -distributive near-ring, where D is a defect of R . If R has the maximum condition on right ideals of R , then every nil R -subgroup of R is D -nilpotent.

PROOF. If M is a maximal D -nilpotent ideal of R then $D \subseteq M$. By the Corollary of Theorem 2.6 of [3] it follows that $\bar{R} = R/M$ is a distributive near-ring. Because of Proposition 3.3 of [6], (p. 64) every nil $\bar{R}$ -subgroup of $\bar{R}$ is nilpotent. Thus, every nil R -subgroup of R is D -nilpotent.

Corollary. Let R be a D -distributive near-ring, where D is a nilpotent defect of R . If R has the maximum condition on right ideals of R , then every nil R -subgroup of R is nilpotent.

An R -subgroup B of a near-ring R is called minimal nonnilpotent if B is nonnilpotent and every proper R -subgroup is nilpotent. The following theorem gives a necessary and sufficient condition that a nonnilpotent R -subgroup be a minimal nonnilpotent R -subgroup and generalizes Theorem 2.8 of BEIDLEMAN [2].

Theorem 2.5. Let A be a nonnilpotent R -subgroup of the near-ring (R, S) with a defect, whereby a normal subgroup of $(A, +)$ generated by any minimal nonnilpotent R -subgroup $B \subset A$, contains a relative defect of subset B with respect to A .

Let every minimal nonnilpotent R -subgroup of R be a term of a normal series for $(R, +)$ and let R satisfy the descending chain condition on R -subgroups. A nonnilpotent R -subgroup A is minimal nonnilpotent if and only if every proper right ideal of A is a nilpotent R -subgroup of R .

PROOF. Let A be a nonnilpotent R -subgroup of R and every proper right ideal of A be a nilpotent R -subgroup. It needs to be proved that A is a minimal nonnilpotent R -subgroup.

Let us assume that B is a minimal nonnilpotent R -subgroup such that B is a proper R -subgroup of A . By Theorem 3.51a of [7], B contains a left identity e with $eR=B$. Since B is a term of a normal series for $(R, +)$, it follows that there exists a proper normal subgroup $\bar{B}$ of group $(A, +)$ which is generated by B . Thus, the elements of $\bar{B}$ have the form

$$\bar{b} = \sum_i (a_i \pm es_i + m_i e - a_i), \quad (a_i \in A, e \in B, s_i \in S, m_i \text{-integers}).$$

From Lemma 1.1 of [4], it follows that $\bar{B}$ is a right ideal of A . By hypothesis, $\bar{B}$ is a nilpotent R -subgroup of R . But $\bar{B}$ contains the R -subgroup B and this is contradictory to the supposition that B is a minimal nonnilpotent R -subgroup. Therefore $B=A$, i.e. A is a minimal nonnilpotent R -subgroup.

The converse is immediate.

References

- [1] J. C. BEIDLEMAN, Strictly prime distributively generated nearrings, *Math. Z.* **100** (1967), 97—105.
- [2] J. C. BEIDLEMAN, Nonsemi-simple distributively generated nearrings with minimum condition, *Math. Ann.* **170** (1967), 206—213.
- [3] V. DAŠIĆ, A defect of distributivity of the near-rings (submitted).
- [4] V. DAŠIĆ, On the radicals of near-rings with a defect of distributivity *Publ. Inst. Math (Beograd)* **28** (1980), 51—59.
- [5] A. FRÖHLICH, Distributively generated near-rings. (I. Ideal theory), *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **8** (1958), 76—94.
- [6] A. OSWALD, Some topics in the structure theory of near-rings, *Doctoral Dissertation, University of York*, 1973.
- [7] G. PILZ, Near-rings. The theory and its applications, *Amsterdam—New York—Oxford*, 1977.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE
UNIVERSITY OF TITOGRAD,
YUGOSLAVIA

(Received January 20, 1980)