

Syntopogenous g -families and their applications in extension theory

By KÁLMÁN MATOLCSY (Debrecen)

0. Introduction

In [8] the following generalization of the notion of an Hacque's E -mapping ([5]—[6]) was introduced:

For a given single valued mapping $g: E \rightarrow E'$, a mapping $\mathfrak{z}$ of $2^{E'}$ into the set of all systems of subsets of E is called a g -mapping iff it satisfies the following conditions for any $A', B' \subset E'$ and $X, Y \subset E$:

(M0) $\mathfrak{z}(A') \neq \emptyset$, and $Y \supset X \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$ implies $Y \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$.

(M1) $\emptyset \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$ iff $A' = \emptyset$.

(M2) $X \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$ implies $g^{-1}(A') \subset X$.

(M3) $A' \subset B'$ implies $\mathfrak{z}(B') \subset \mathfrak{z}(A')$.

If $\mathfrak{z}_1, \mathfrak{z}_2$ are two g -mappings then $\mathfrak{z}_1 \subset \mathfrak{z}_2$ ($\mathfrak{z}_1$ is *coarser* than $\mathfrak{z}_2$, or $\mathfrak{z}_2$ is *finer* than $\mathfrak{z}_1$) means $\mathfrak{z}_1(A') \subset \mathfrak{z}_2(A')$ for any $A' \subset E'$. A g -mapping $\mathfrak{z}$ is said to be *topogenous* iff $X \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{z}(B')$ imply $X \cap Y \in \mathfrak{z}(A' \cap B')$ and $X \cup Y \in \mathfrak{z}(A' \cup B')$. $\mathfrak{z}$ is called *perfect* iff $X_i \in \mathfrak{z}(A'_i)$ ($i \in I$) implies $\bigcup_{i \in I} X_i \in \mathfrak{z}(\bigcup_{i \in I} A'_i)$. It is known that $\mathfrak{z}$ is a perfect topogenous g -mapping iff, for every $x' \in E'$, there exists a filter $\mathfrak{f}(x')$ in E such that $x \in E, X \in \mathfrak{f}(g(x))$ imply $x \in X$, $\mathfrak{z}(\emptyset) = 2^E$, and $\mathfrak{z}(A') = \bigcap_{x' \in A'} \mathfrak{f}(x')$ for any $\emptyset \neq A' \subset E'$.

If $<'$ is a semi-topogenous order ([1]) on E' , and $x \in E', x <' V' \subset E'$ imply $g(E) \cap V' \neq \emptyset$, then a g -mapping $\mathfrak{z}_{<'}$ can be defined by

$$\mathfrak{z}_{<'}(A') = \{X \subset E: A' <' E' - g(E - X)\}.$$

As an extension of a result of S. GACSÁLYI ([4], prop. 1), the following duality theorem was proved ([8], (2.4)): the correspondence $<' \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}_{<'}$ is surjective iff g is injective, and $<' \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}_{<'}$ is injective iff g is surjective. Consequently $<' \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}_{<'}$ is one-to-one iff so is g . The proof of this theorem required the construction of two semi-topogenous orders: If $\mathfrak{z}$ is a g -mapping then the definitions

$$A' <_{\mathfrak{z}} B' \Leftrightarrow A' \subset B' \text{ and } g^{-1}(B') \in \mathfrak{z}(A'),$$

and

$$A <_{\mathfrak{z}} B \Leftrightarrow B \in \mathfrak{z}(g(A))$$

yield semi-topogenous orders $<_{\mathfrak{z}}$ and $<_{\mathfrak{z}}$ on E' and E respectively.

In the present paper the notion of a *syntopogenous g -family* $\mathfrak{Z}$ will be introduced, which consists of topogenous g -mappings with special properties. If $g(E)$ is dense

in the syntopogenous space $[E', \mathcal{S}']$ ([1]), then $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{S}'} = \{\mathfrak{z}_{<'} : <' \in \mathcal{S}'\}$ is a syntopogenous g -family. Conversely, if $\mathfrak{Z}$ is a given syntopogenous g -family then $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{Z}} = \{<_{\mathfrak{Z}} : \mathfrak{z} \in \mathfrak{Z}\}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{Z}} = \{<_{\mathfrak{Z}} : \mathfrak{z} \in \mathfrak{Z}\}$ are syntopogenous structures on E' and E respectively. We shall study the correspondence $\mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{S}'}$. In Chapter 3 some connections between the extensions of syntopogenous structures and the syntopogenous g -families will be examined. E.g. a class of the extensions of a syntopogenous structure $\mathcal{S}$ will be given, in which the extension $h(\mathcal{S})$ (see [7]; [3], th. 3.1) is the coarsest one, and this is a generalizations of a remarkable property of the topological strict extensions ([2], (6.1.2)).

1. Syntopogenous g -families

This chapter will deal with various kinds of families of g -mappings, therefore first of all we need to mention the following lemmas:

(1.1) Lemma. *If $\{\mathfrak{z}_i : i \in I \neq \emptyset\}$ is a family of g -mappings then there exists a g -mapping $\mathfrak{z}$, which is the coarsest of all g -mappings finer than every $\mathfrak{z}_i$ ($i \in I$). $\mathfrak{z}$ can be defined by $\mathfrak{z}(A') = \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathfrak{z}_i(A')$ for $A' \subset E'$. $\mathfrak{z}$ will be denoted by $\bigcup_{i \in I} \mathfrak{z}_i$. ■*

(1.2) Lemma.

(1.2.1) *If $<'_1$ and $<'_2$ are semi-topogenous orders on E' , $g(E)$ is $<'_2$ -dense ([8], ch. 2) and $<'_1 \subset <'_2$, then $g(E)$ is also $<'_1$ -dense, and $\mathfrak{z}_{<'_1} \subset \mathfrak{z}_{<'_2}$.*

(1.2.2) *If $\mathfrak{z}_1$ and $\mathfrak{z}_2$ are g -mappings and $\mathfrak{z}_1 \subset \mathfrak{z}_2$, then $<_{\mathfrak{z}_1} \subset <_{\mathfrak{z}_2}$ and $<_{\mathfrak{z}_1} \subset <_{\mathfrak{z}_2}$. ■*

(1.3) Proposition. *Let $\mathfrak{z}$ be a g -mapping. We have a g -mapping denoted by $\mathfrak{z}^2$, for which*

(1.3.1) *$X \in \mathfrak{z}^2(A')$ iff there exists $Y \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$ such that $X \in \mathfrak{z}(g(Y))$. $\mathfrak{z}^2$ is coarser than $\mathfrak{z}$, and it has the properties listed below:*

(1.3.2) *If $<'$ is a semi-topogenous order on E' , and $g(E)$ is $<'$ -dense, then $\mathfrak{z}^2_{<} \subset \mathfrak{z}_{<}$.*

(1.3.3) *If g is injective and $\mathfrak{z}$ is topogenous, then $<_{\mathfrak{z}^2} \subset <_{\mathfrak{z}}^2$.*

(1.3.4) *$<_{\mathfrak{z}^2} \subset <_{\mathfrak{z}}^2$ always holds.*

PROOF. $\mathfrak{z}^2(A') \subset \mathfrak{z}(A')$ is true, because $X \in \mathfrak{z}^2(A')$ implies the existence of a set $Y \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$ such that $X \in \mathfrak{z}(g(Y))$, and by (M2) $X \supset g^{-1}(g(Y)) \supset Y \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$, thus in view of (M0) $X \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$. $\mathfrak{z}^2$ is a g -mapping. (M0): $E \in \mathfrak{z}^2(A')$, since $E \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$ and $E \in \mathfrak{z}(g(E))$. If $Y \supset X \in \mathfrak{z}^2(A')$ then for a suitable $Y_0 \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$ we have $Y \supset X \in \mathfrak{z}(g(Y_0))$, therefore $Y \in \mathfrak{z}^2(A')$. (M1): $\emptyset \in \mathfrak{z}(\emptyset)$ and $\emptyset \in \mathfrak{z}(g(\emptyset))$, thus $\emptyset \in \mathfrak{z}^2(\emptyset)$. Conversely, if $\emptyset \in \mathfrak{z}^2(A')$, and $Y \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$ such that $\emptyset \in \mathfrak{z}(g(Y))$, then by (M2) $Y \subset g^{-1}(g(Y)) \subset \emptyset$, thus $Y = \emptyset$. Consequently because of property (M1) of $\mathfrak{z}$ we get $A' = \emptyset$. (M2): If $X \in \mathfrak{z}^2(A')$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$ such that $X \in \mathfrak{z}(g(Y))$, then $g^{-1}(A') \subset Y \subset g^{-1}(g(Y)) \subset X$. (M3): If $X \in \mathfrak{z}^2(B')$ and $A' \subset B'$, then from $Y \in \mathfrak{z}(B') \subset \mathfrak{z}(A')$ and $X \in \mathfrak{z}(g(Y))$ the relation $X \in \mathfrak{z}^2(A')$ follows.

(1.3.2): Suppose $X \in \mathfrak{z}_{\prec,2}(A')$. Then $A' \prec' C \prec' E' - g(E - X)$, but this implies $g^{-1}(C') \in \mathfrak{z}_{\prec'}(A')$, and in view of $g(g^{-1}(C')) \subset C'$, we have $X \in \mathfrak{z}_{\prec'}(g(g^{-1}(C')))$, that is $X \in \mathfrak{z}_{\prec}^2(A')$.

(1.3.3): Let us assume that g is injective and $\mathfrak{z}$ is topogenous. Then $A' \prec_{t_3} B'$ implies $A' \subset B'$ and $g^{-1}(B') \in \mathfrak{z}^2(A')$. This means that there exists a set $Y \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$ such that $g^{-1}(B') \in \mathfrak{z}(g(Y))$. Putting $C' = A' \cup g(Y)$, we get $A' \subset C'$ and $g^{-1}(C') = Y \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$, since $g^{-1}(A') \subset Y$. Similarly $Y = g^{-1}(g(Y)) \subset g^{-1}(B')$ gives that $g(Y) \subset g(g^{-1}(B')) \subset B'$ and $g^{-1}(B') \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$. From these $C' \subset B'$ and $g^{-1}(B') \in \mathfrak{z}(A' \cup g(Y)) = \mathfrak{z}(C')$ follows, so that $A' \prec_{t_3} C' \prec_{t_3} B'$.

(1.3.4): If $A \prec_{t_3} B$ then $B \in \mathfrak{z}^2(g(A))$, thus there exists a set $Y \in \mathfrak{z}(g(A))$ such that $B \in \mathfrak{z}(g(Y))$. This is equivalent to $A \prec_{t_3} Y \prec_{t_3} B$. ■

A family $\mathfrak{z}$ of topogenous g -mappings will be called a *syntopogenous g -family*, if the following conditions are fulfilled:

(F1) For any $\mathfrak{z}_1, \mathfrak{z}_2 \in \mathfrak{Z}$ there exists $\mathfrak{z} \in \mathfrak{Z}$ such that $\mathfrak{z}_1 \cup \mathfrak{z}_2 \subset \mathfrak{z}$.

(F2) If $\mathfrak{z} \in \mathfrak{Z}$ then there is $\mathfrak{z}_1 \in \mathfrak{Z}$ with $\mathfrak{z} \subset \mathfrak{z}_1$.

If $\mathfrak{z}_1$ and $\mathfrak{z}_2$ are syntopogenous g -families, then we shall say that $\mathfrak{z}_1$ is *coarser* than $\mathfrak{z}_2$, or equivalently $\mathfrak{z}_2$ is *finer* than $\mathfrak{z}_1$, iff for any $\mathfrak{z}_1 \in \mathfrak{z}_1$ there exists $\mathfrak{z}_2 \in \mathfrak{z}_2$ such that $\mathfrak{z}_1 \subset \mathfrak{z}_2$. This fact will be denoted by $\mathfrak{z}_1 \prec \mathfrak{z}_2$. We shall write $\mathfrak{z}_1 \sim \mathfrak{z}_2$ iff $\mathfrak{z}_1 \prec \mathfrak{z}_2$ and $\mathfrak{z}_2 \prec \mathfrak{z}_1$. Such families will be said to be *equivalent*.

A syntopogenous g -family is *topogenous*, if it consists of a single topogenous g -mapping.

(1.4) Proposition. If $\mathfrak{z}$ is a syntopogenous g -family then a topogenous g -family $\mathfrak{z}'$ can be defined as follows:

(1.4.1) $\mathfrak{z}' = \{\mathfrak{z}_0\}$, where $\mathfrak{z}_0 = \bigcup \{\mathfrak{z} : \mathfrak{z} \in \mathfrak{Z}\}$.

$\mathfrak{z}'$ is the coarset of all topogenous g -families finer than $\mathfrak{z}$.

PROOF. Let us prove that $\mathfrak{z}_0$ is topogenous. Suppose $X \in \mathfrak{z}_0(A')$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{z}_0(B')$. Then $X \in \mathfrak{z}_1(A')$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{z}_2(B')$ for some $\mathfrak{z}_1, \mathfrak{z}_2 \in \mathfrak{Z}$. If $\mathfrak{z}_1 \cup \mathfrak{z}_2 \subset \mathfrak{z} \in \mathfrak{Z}$, then from the topogeneity of $\mathfrak{z}$ we can deduce $X \cap Y \in \mathfrak{z}(A' \cap B') \subset \mathfrak{z}_0(A' \cap B')$ and $X \cup Y \in \mathfrak{z}(A' \cup B') \subset \mathfrak{z}_0(A' \cup B')$. (F1) is obviously satisfied by $\mathfrak{z}'$. Finally put $X \in \mathfrak{z}_0(A')$. Then $X \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$ for a suitable $\mathfrak{z} \in \mathfrak{Z}$. By (F2) $X \in \mathfrak{z}_1^2(A')$ for some $\mathfrak{z}_1 \in \mathfrak{Z}$, and from this $X \in \mathfrak{z}_0^2(A')$ follows, hence (F2) is also fulfilled. Clearly $\mathfrak{z} \prec \mathfrak{z}'$. Let $\mathfrak{z}_1$ be a topogenous g -family finer than $\mathfrak{z}$. Then, for $\mathfrak{z}_1 = \{\mathfrak{z}_1\}$, we have $\mathfrak{z} \subset \mathfrak{z}_1$ for every $\mathfrak{z} \in \mathfrak{Z}$, therefore $\mathfrak{z}_0 \subset \mathfrak{z}_1$ and $\mathfrak{z}' \prec \mathfrak{z}_1$. ■

A syntopogenous g -family will be said to be *perfect* if its elements are perfect topogenous g -mappings.

(1.5) Proposition. Let $\mathfrak{z}$ be a syntopogenous g -family. Then the definition

(1.5.1) $\mathfrak{z}^p = \{\mathfrak{z}^p : \mathfrak{z} \in \mathfrak{Z}\}$,

where

(1.5.2) $\mathfrak{z}^p(\emptyset) = 2^E$ and $\mathfrak{z}^p(A') = \bigcap_{x' \in A'} \mathfrak{z}(x')$ for $\emptyset \neq A' \subset E'$,

yields a perfect syntopogenous g -family which is the coarsest of all perfect syntopogenous g -families finer than $\mathfrak{Z}$. If $\mathfrak{Z}$ is topogenous then so is $\mathfrak{Z}^p$, too.

PROOF. If $\mathfrak{z}$ is a topogenous g -mapping then $\mathfrak{z}^p$ is a perfect topogenous g -mapping by [8], (1.5). If $\mathfrak{z}_1, \mathfrak{z}_2 \in \mathfrak{Z}$ then $\mathfrak{z}_1 \cup \mathfrak{z}_2 \in \mathfrak{Z}$ implies $\mathfrak{z}_1^p \cup \mathfrak{z}_2^p \in \mathfrak{Z}^p$. If $\mathfrak{z} \in \mathfrak{Z}$ and $\mathfrak{z}_1 \in \mathfrak{Z}$ such that $\mathfrak{z} \subset \mathfrak{z}_1^2$, then $\mathfrak{z}^p \subset \mathfrak{z}_1^{p2}$. In fact, suppose $X \in \mathfrak{z}^p(A')$, $A' \neq \emptyset$. Then, for any $x' \in A'$, there is a set $Y_{x'} \in \mathfrak{z}_1(x')$ such that $X \in \mathfrak{z}_1(g(Y_{x'}))$. It is easy to show $Y = \bigcup_{x' \in A'} Y_{x'} \in \mathfrak{z}_1^p(A')$, and $X \in \mathfrak{z}_1^p(\bigcup_{x' \in A'} g(Y_{x'})) = \mathfrak{z}_1^p(g(Y))$, that is $X \in \mathfrak{z}_1^{p2}(A')$. If $A' = \emptyset$

then $X \in \mathfrak{z}_1^{p2}(A')$ is trivial by (M1) and (M0). From [8], (1.5) $\mathfrak{Z} \leq \mathfrak{Z}^p$ follows, and similarly, if $\mathfrak{Z} \leq \mathfrak{z}_1$ for a perfect syntopogenous g -family $\mathfrak{z}_1$, then $\mathfrak{Z}^p \leq \mathfrak{z}_1$. If $\mathfrak{Z}$ is topogenous then $\mathfrak{Z}^p$ consists of a single g -mapping, too. ■

(1.6) Corollary. If $\mathfrak{Z}$ is a syntopogenous g -family then $\mathfrak{Z}^p$ is the coarsest of all perfect topogenous g -families finer than $\mathfrak{Z}$. ■

2. Syntopogenous g -families and syntopogenous structures

Let us consider a syntopogenous structure $\mathcal{S}'$ on E' such that $g(E)$ is $\mathcal{S}'$ -dense (that is $g(E)$ is $\prec'$ -dense for every $\prec' \in \mathcal{S}'$). Then a family of topogenous g -mappings is obtained by

$$(2.1) \quad \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{S}'} = \{\mathfrak{z}_{\prec'} : \prec' \in \mathcal{S}'\}$$

(cf. [8], (2.3.1)).

(2.2) Proposition. If $\mathcal{S}'$ is a syntopogenous structure on E' such that $g(E)$ is $\mathcal{S}'$ -dense, then $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{S}'}$ is a syntopogenous g -family having the following properties:

$$(2.2.1) \quad \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{S}'}^t = \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{S}'^t}.$$

$$(2.2.2) \quad \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{S}'}^p = \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{S}'^p}.$$

$$(2.2.3) \quad \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{S}'}^{tp} = \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{S}'^{tp}}.$$

(2.2.4) If $\mathcal{S}'$ is topogenous or perfect, then $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{S}'}$ also has the corresponding property.

PROOF. If $\prec'_1, \prec'_2 \in \mathcal{S}'$ and $\prec'_1 \cup \prec'_2 \in \mathcal{S}'$, then from (1.2.1) $\mathfrak{z}_{\prec'_1} \cup \mathfrak{z}_{\prec'_2} \in \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{S}'}$ follows. Put $\prec' \in \mathcal{S}'$ and $\prec'_1 \in \mathcal{S}'$ so that $\prec' \subset \prec'_1^2$, then in view of (1.3.2) we have $\mathfrak{z}_{\prec'} \subset \mathfrak{z}_{\prec'_1^2} \subset \mathfrak{z}_{\prec'_1}^2$, thus $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{S}'}$ is a syntopogenous g -family. The properties (2.2.1)–(2.2.3) are obvious (see [8], (2.3.2)), and because of (1.4)–(1.5) the statement (2.2.4) is their direct consequence. ■

Further we shall study the correspondence $\mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{S}'}$.

(2.3) Theorem. Let g be an injection, and $\mathfrak{Z}$ be a syntopogenous g -family. Then

$$(2.3.1) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{Z}} = \{\prec_{\mathfrak{z}} : \mathfrak{z} \in \mathfrak{Z}\}$$

is a syntopogenous structure on E' , $g(E)$ is $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{Z}}$ -dense, and $\mathfrak{Z} = \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{Z}}}$. $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{Z}}$ is the finest of all syntopogenous structures $\mathcal{S}'$ on E' , for which $g(E)$ is $\mathcal{S}'$ -dense and $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{S}'} \leq \mathfrak{Z}$.

PROOF. By [8], (2.8.1) and statements (1.2.2), (1.3.3) of the present paper $\mathcal{S}_{i3}$ is in fact a syntopogenous structure on E' , and $g(E)$ is $\mathcal{S}_{i3}$ -dense (see [8], (2.7)). From [8], (2.7) $\mathfrak{Z} = \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{S}_{i3}}$ follows. Let us suppose that $\mathcal{S}'$ is a syntopogenous structure on E' , $g(E)$ is $\mathcal{S}'$ -dense, and $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{S}'} \prec \mathfrak{Z}$. Then, for $\prec' \in \mathcal{S}'$, there is $\mathfrak{z} \in \mathfrak{Z}$ such that $\mathfrak{z}_{\prec'} \subset \mathfrak{z}$, and because of [8], (2.7) we have $\prec' \subset \prec_{i3}$, so that $\mathcal{S}' \prec \mathcal{S}_{i3}$. ■

(2.4) Proposition. *If g is an injection then the syntopogenous structure $\mathcal{S}_{i3}$ has the following properties for any syntopogenous g -family $\mathfrak{Z}$:*

$$(2.4.1) \quad \mathcal{S}_{i3}^t = \mathcal{S}_{i3^t}.$$

$$(2.4.2) \quad \mathcal{S}_{i3}^p = \mathcal{S}_{i3^p}.$$

$$(2.4.3) \quad \mathcal{S}_{i3}^{tp} = \mathcal{S}_{i3^{tp}}.$$

If $\mathfrak{Z}$ is topogenous or perfect, then $\mathcal{S}_{i3}$ is of this kind, too.

PROOF. It can be put together from (1.4), (1.5), and [8], (2.8). ■

(2.5) Proposition. *Let $\mathfrak{Z}$ be an arbitrary syntopogenous g -family. Then we have a syntopogenous structure $\mathcal{S}_{i3}$ on E determined as follows:*

$$(2.4.1) \quad \mathcal{S}_{i3} = \{\prec_{i3} : \mathfrak{z} \in \mathfrak{Z}\}.$$

The syntopogenous structure $\mathcal{S}_{i3}$ has the following properties:

$$(2.5.2) \quad \mathcal{S}_{i3}^t = \mathcal{S}_{i3^t}.$$

$$(2.5.3) \quad \mathcal{S}_{i3}^p = \mathcal{S}_{i3^p}.$$

$$(2.5.4) \quad \mathcal{S}_{i3}^{tp} = \mathcal{S}_{i3^{tp}}.$$

If $\mathfrak{Z}$ is topogenous or perfect, then so is $\mathcal{S}_{i3}$, too.

PROOF. (1.2.2), (1.3.4), [8], (2.11.1) give that $\mathcal{S}_{i3}$ is a syntopogenous structure on E . The properties listed in (2.5.2)–(2.5.4) can be deduced from (1.4), (1.5) and [8], (2.11.2). ■

(2.6) Theorem. *Let $\mathcal{S}'$ be a syntopogenous structure on E' , and $g(E)$ be $\mathcal{S}'$ -dense. Then $\mathcal{S}_{i3_{\mathcal{S}'}} = g^{-1}(\mathcal{S}')$ holds.*

PROOF. See [8], (2.10). ■

(2.7) Theorem. *Suppose that g is a surjection, and $\mathfrak{Z}$ is a syntopogenous g -family. Then the mapping g is compatible with the syntopogenous structure $\mathcal{S}_{i3}$, and $\mathcal{S}' = g(\mathcal{S}_{i3})$ is the unique syntopogenous structure on E' (up to equivalence) such that $\mathfrak{Z} \sim \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{S}'}$.*

PROOF. If $A \prec_{i3} B$ for a mapping $\mathfrak{z} \in \mathfrak{Z}$, then $B \in \mathfrak{z}(g(A))$, and from (M2) we deduce $g^{-1}(g(A)) \subset B$, therefore g is compatible with $\mathcal{S}_{i3}$ (see [1], p. 106). This gives that the syntopogenous structure $\mathcal{S}' = g(\mathcal{S}_{i3})$ on E' is defined. We show that $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{S}'} \sim \mathfrak{Z}$. In fact, suppose $\mathfrak{z} \in \mathfrak{Z}$ and $\prec' = g(\prec_{i3})$. In this case $X \in \mathfrak{z}_{\prec'}(A')$ implies $A' \prec' B'$ and $g^{-1}(B') \subset X$ for some set $B' \subset E'$ (see [8], (2.2)). This means

that $g^{-1}(A') \prec_{i_3} g^{-1}(B') \subset X$, thus by $A' = g(g^{-1}(A'))$ from the definition of $\prec_{i_3}$ we get $X \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$, so that $\mathfrak{z} \prec \mathfrak{z}$. Conversely, let $\mathfrak{z}$ be an element of $\mathfrak{Z}$, further $\mathfrak{z}_1, \mathfrak{z}_2 \in \mathfrak{Z}$ such that $\mathfrak{z} \subset \mathfrak{z}_1^2, \mathfrak{z}_1 \subset \mathfrak{z}_2^2$, and put $\prec' = g(\prec_{i_3})$. If $X \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$ then there exists $Y \in \mathfrak{z}_1(A')$ for which $X \in \mathfrak{z}_1(g(Y))$, and there is a set $Z \in \mathfrak{z}_2(A')$ such that $Y \in \mathfrak{z}_2(g(Z))$. $g^{-1}(A') \subset Z \prec_{i_3} Y \subset g^{-1}(g(Y))$, thus $A' \prec' g(Y)$ and $g^{-1}(g(Y)) \subset X$, consequently $X \in \mathfrak{z}_{\prec'}(A')$. We got $\mathfrak{z} \subset \mathfrak{z}_{\prec'}$. If $\mathcal{S}''$ is another syntopogenous structure on E' such that $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{S}''} \sim \mathfrak{Z}$, then from (2.6) we can deduce $g^{-1}(\mathcal{S}'') \sim \mathcal{S}_{i_3} \sim g^{-1}(\mathcal{S}')$, and by [1], (9.30) this implies $\mathcal{S}'' \sim \mathcal{S}'$. ■

The results mentioned above can be summarized as follows:

(2.8) Theorem. *If we do not distinguish equivalent syntopogenous structures and g -families respectively from each other, then*

(2.8.1) *if g is injective, then $\mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{S}'}$ is surjective.*

(2.8.2) *If g is surjective, then $\mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{S}'}$ is one-to-one.*

(2.8.3) *If $\mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{S}'}$ is injective and E' has at least two elements, then g is surjective.*

PROOF. (2.8.1) follows from (2.3). (2.8.2) is a consequence of (2.7). Finally (2.8.3) can be deduced from [8], (2.13), because with the notations of this example $\{\prec_1\}$ and $\{\prec_2\}$ are perfect topogenous structures on E' . ■

Let us note that from the perfect topogenous g -mapping $\mathfrak{z}$ of examples [8], (2.5.1), (2.5.2) we cannot make a perfect topogenous g -family $\{\mathfrak{z}\}$, since $\mathfrak{z} \subset \mathfrak{z}^2$ does not hold, consequently in such a direction the converse of (2.8.1) cannot be proved. But in general, we should vainly look for a perfect topogenous g -family, which cannot be deduced from some topology on E' ; it will be shown that such a family does not exist (see (2.10)).

In the following statement we shall use the notion of a *round filter*, the definition of which can be found e.g. in [7] or [3].

(2.9) Theorem $\mathfrak{Z} = \{\mathfrak{z}\}$ *is a perfect topogenous g -family iff a topology $\mathcal{T}$ on E , and for any $x' \in E'$, a filter $\mathfrak{f}(x')$ in E can be chosen such that*

(2.9.1) *$\mathfrak{f}(x')$ is $\mathcal{T}$ -round for any $x' \in E'$, in particular $\mathfrak{f}(g(x))$ is the $\mathcal{T}$ -neighbourhood filter of the point $x \in E$,*

and

$$(2.9.10) \quad \mathfrak{z}(\emptyset) = 2^E, \quad \mathfrak{z}(A') = \bigcap_{x' \in A'} \mathfrak{f}(x') \quad \text{for } \emptyset \neq A' \subset E'.$$

In this case we have $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{S}_{i_3}$. For a topology $\mathcal{T}'$ on E' the equality $\mathfrak{Z} = \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{T}'}$ holds iff for any $x' \in E'$ the filter $\mathfrak{f}(x')$ agrees with the filter generated by the inverse images $g^{-1}(V')$ of $\mathcal{T}'$ -neighbourhoods V' of x' .

PROOF. Supposing that $\mathfrak{Z}$ is a perfect topogenous g -family, with the choice $\mathfrak{f}(x') = \mathfrak{z}(x')$ (2.9.2) is true (see [8], (1.6)). If we put $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{i_3}$, then $\mathcal{T}$ is a topology on E (cf. (2.5.4)). $\mathfrak{z}(x')$ is $\mathcal{T}$ -round, because $X \in \mathfrak{z}(x')$ and $\mathfrak{z} \subset \mathfrak{z}^2$ imply $Y \in \mathfrak{z}(x')$ and $X \in \mathfrak{z}(g(Y))$ for a set $Y \subset E$, that is $Y \prec_{i_3} X$. Finally $x \prec_{i_3} V$, iff $V \in \mathfrak{z}g(x)$, thus (2.9.1) is satisfied.

Conversely, (2.9.1)—(2.9.2) implies [8] (1.6.1)—(1.6.2), therefore $\mathfrak{z}$ is a perfect topogenous g -mapping. We have to prove $\mathfrak{z} \subseteq \mathfrak{z}^2$ in accordance with axiom (F2). If $X \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$ for a set $A' \neq \emptyset$, then $x' \in A'$ implies the existence of a set $Y_{x'} \in \mathfrak{f}(x')$ such that $Y_{x'} < X$, where $\mathcal{T} = \{<\}$. From the perfectness of $<$ we get $Y = \bigcup_{x' \in A'} Y_{x'} < X$, and $Y \supset Y_{x'} \in \mathfrak{f}(x')$ for every $x' \in A'$, thus $Y \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$. At the same time, for $x \in Y$, the set X is a $\mathcal{T}$ -neighbourhood of x , consequently by (2.9.1) $X \in \mathfrak{f}(g(x))$, so that $X \in \mathfrak{z}(g(Y))$. The first part of the theorem is proved.

By (2.9.2) $\mathfrak{z}(x') = \mathfrak{f}(x')$ for any $x' \in E'$, thus owing to (2.9.1) $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{z}}$ is obviously identical with $\mathcal{T}$. Finally let $\mathcal{T}' = \{<'\}$ be a topology on E' such that $g(E)$ is $\mathcal{T}'$ -dense. Then we have $\mathfrak{z}_{<'}(x') = \{X \in E : g^{-1}(V') \subset X, x' <' V'\}$. If $\mathfrak{z} = \mathfrak{z}_{<'}$, then $\mathfrak{f}(x') = \mathfrak{z}(x') = \mathfrak{z}_{<'}(x')$ for every $x' \in E'$. Conversely, $\mathfrak{z}_{<'}$ is perfect (see [8], (2.3.2)), hence if $\mathfrak{z}_{<'}(x') = \mathfrak{f}(x')$ for any $x' \in E'$, then $\mathfrak{z}_{<'}(A') = \bigcap_{x' \in A'} \mathfrak{z}_{<'}(x') = \bigcap_{x' \in A'} \mathfrak{f}(x') = \mathfrak{z}(A')$. ■

For the formulation of the following corollary of (2.9) we need to use the notion of a *monotone mapping* h belonging to a given family of filters in E , and the syntopogenous structure $h(\mathcal{S})$, which was defined e.g. in [7] (and also in [3], (0.4), th. 3.1)

(2.10) Corollary. Let $\mathfrak{z} = \{\mathfrak{z}\}$ be a perfect topogenous g -family, $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{z}}$, and let h denote the monotone mapping belonging to the filters $\mathfrak{z}(x')$ ($x' \in E'$). Then $\mathfrak{z} = \mathfrak{z}_{h(\mathcal{T})^p}$.

PROOF. By (2.9) the conditions of [7], (2.3) are fulfilled, thus the filter generated by the inverse images of $h(\mathcal{T})^p$ -neighbourhoods of x' agrees with the filter $\mathfrak{z}(x')$ for every $x' \in E'$. ■

3. Applications in extension theory

In the first part of this chapter a generalization of th. (6.1.2) of [2] will be given with a determination of a class of syntopogenous structures on E' , in which the structure $h(\mathcal{S})$ studied in ch. 1—2. of [7] is the coarsest one.

First of all let us consider the following construction:

(3.1) Theorem. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a syntopogenous structure on E and $\mathfrak{z}_0 = \{\mathfrak{z}_0\}$ be a topogenous g -family such that $\mathcal{S}^t \leq \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{z}_0}$. Then we have a syntopogenous g -family $\mathfrak{z}^* = \mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{S}, \mathfrak{z}_0)$ consisting of the topogenous g -mappings $\mathfrak{z}(<, \mathfrak{z}_0)$ defined for every $< \in \mathcal{S}$ as follows:

(3.1.1) $X \in \mathfrak{z}(<, \mathfrak{z}_0)(A')$ iff there is $V \in \mathfrak{z}_0(A')$ with $V < X$.

The syntopogenous g -family $\mathfrak{z}^*$ has the following properties:

(3.1.2) $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{z}^*} \sim \mathcal{S}$.

(3.1.3) $\mathfrak{z}^{*t} \leq \mathfrak{z}_0$.

(3.1.4) $\mathfrak{z}^{*t} = \mathfrak{z}_0$ provided $\mathcal{S}^t = \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{z}_0}$.

(3.1.5) $\mathfrak{z}^*$ is finer than every syntopogenous g -family $\mathfrak{z}_1$ such that $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{z}_1} \leq \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathfrak{z}_1^t \leq \mathfrak{z}_0$.

In view of the last property of $\mathfrak{z}^*$, it will be called the *fine syntopogenous g -family corresponding to $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathfrak{z}_0$* .

PROOF. We prove that $\mathfrak{z} = \mathfrak{z}(<, \mathfrak{z}_0)$ is a topogenous g -mapping for every $< \in \mathcal{S}$. (M0): $E < E$ and $E \in \mathfrak{z}_0(A')$ implies $E \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$, i.e. $\mathfrak{z}(A') \neq \emptyset$. If $Y \supset X \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$, then we have a set $V \in \mathfrak{z}_0(A')$ such that $V < X$, thus $V < Y$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$. (M1): $\emptyset < \emptyset$ and $\emptyset \in \mathfrak{z}_0(\emptyset)$, therefore $\emptyset \in \mathfrak{z}(\emptyset)$. Conversely, if $\emptyset \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$, then $V < \emptyset$ for some $V \in \mathfrak{z}_0(A')$, but this implies $V = \emptyset$, and owing to property (M1) of $\mathfrak{z}_0$ we get $A' = \emptyset$. (M2): If $X \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$, then $g^{-1}(A') \subset V < X$ with a suitable $V \in \mathfrak{z}_0(A')$, hence $g^{-1}(A') \subset \subset X$. (M3): If $A' \subset B'$ and $X \in \mathfrak{z}(B')$, then there is a set $V \in \mathfrak{z}_0(B') \subset \mathfrak{z}_0(A')$ such that $V < X$, from this $X \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$ follows.

Suppose $X \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{z}(B')$. Then $V < X$ and $W < Y$ for some $V \in \mathfrak{z}_0(A')$ and $W \in \mathfrak{z}_0(B')$. $<$ and $\mathfrak{z}_0$ are topogenous, therefore $V \cap W < X \cap Y$ and $V \cup W < \subset X \cup Y$, further $V \cap W \in \mathfrak{z}_0(A' \cap B')$ and $V \cup W \in \mathfrak{z}_0(A' \cup B')$. This gives the topogeneity of $\mathfrak{z}$.

Obviously, if $<_1, <_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ and $<_1 \mathbf{U} <_2 \mathbf{C} < \in \mathcal{S}$, then $\mathfrak{z}(<_1, \mathfrak{z}_0) \mathbf{U} \mathfrak{z}(<_2, \mathfrak{z}_0) \mathbf{C} \mathfrak{z}(<, \mathfrak{z}_0)$, thus $\mathfrak{z}^*$ satisfies axiom (F1). Finally suppose that $<$ is an arbitrary member of $\mathcal{S}$, and let us choose $<_1 \in \mathcal{S}$ so that $< \mathbf{C} <_1^3$, after this let $\mathfrak{z}$ and $\mathfrak{z}_1$ denote $\mathfrak{z}(<, \mathfrak{z}_0)$ and $\mathfrak{z}(<_1, \mathfrak{z}_0)$ respectively. If $X \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$ then $V < X$ for some $V \in \mathfrak{z}_0(A')$. Assume $V <_1 Y <_1 W <_1 X$. In this case we have $Y \in \mathfrak{z}_1(A')$, and from $\mathcal{S}' \mathbf{C} \mathcal{S}_{i\mathfrak{z}_0}$ the relation $W \in \mathfrak{z}_0(g(Y))$ follows, hence $X \in \mathfrak{z}_1(g(Y))$. We got $\mathfrak{z} \mathbf{C} \mathfrak{z}_1^2$, i.e. $\mathfrak{z}^*$ satisfies axiom (F2), too.

Let us show that $\mathfrak{z}^*$ has the properties listed in (3.1.2)–(3.1.5).

(3.1.2): Put $\mathfrak{z} = \mathfrak{z}(<, \mathfrak{z}_0)$, where $<$ is an arbitrary element of $\mathcal{S}$. If $A <_{i\mathfrak{z}} B$ then $B \in \mathfrak{z}(g(A))$, thus there is a set $V \in \mathfrak{z}_0(g(A))$ such that $V < X$. But because of (M2) $A \subset g^{-1}(g(A)) \subset V$, so that $A < B$, i.e. $<_{i\mathfrak{z}} \mathbf{C} <$. Conversely, suppose that $< \in \mathcal{S}$ and $<_1 \in \mathcal{S}$ for which $< \mathbf{C} <_1^2$. If $A < B$ then $A <_1 V <_1 B$ for some $V \subset E$. By $\mathcal{S}' \mathbf{C} \mathcal{S}_{i\mathfrak{z}_0}$ we have $V \in \mathfrak{z}_0(g(A))$, therefore $B \in \mathfrak{z}_1(g(A))$, where $\mathfrak{z}_1 = \mathfrak{z}(<_1, \mathfrak{z}_0)$. This shows $< \mathbf{C} <_{i\mathfrak{z}_1}$.

(3.1.3): If $X \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$ for some $\mathfrak{z} = \mathfrak{z}(<, \mathfrak{z}_0)$, $< \in \mathcal{S}$, then $V < X$ holds for a set $V \in \mathfrak{z}_0(A')$, and since $V \subset X$, from (M0) we get $X \in \mathfrak{z}_0(A')$.

(3.1.4): Assume that $\mathcal{S}' = \mathcal{S}_{i\mathfrak{z}_0}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{z}_0(A')$. In view of $\mathfrak{z}_0 \mathbf{C} \mathfrak{z}_0^2$ we can choose a set $V \in \mathfrak{z}_0(A')$ such that $X \in \mathfrak{z}_0(g(V))$. But this means $V <_{i\mathfrak{z}_0} X$, and because of our condition we have $V < X$ for some $< \in \mathcal{S}$. If $\mathfrak{z}(<, \mathfrak{z}_0)$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{z}$, then we can write $X \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$, and obviously $\mathfrak{z}_0 \mathbf{C} \mathfrak{z}^*$.

(3.1.5): Let $\mathfrak{z}_1$ denote a syntopogenous g -family such that $\mathcal{S}_{i\mathfrak{z}} \mathbf{C} \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathfrak{z}_1' \mathbf{C} \mathfrak{z}_0$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{z}_1 \in \mathfrak{z}_1$ is arbitrary, $\mathfrak{z}_2 \in \mathfrak{z}_1$, for which $\mathfrak{z}_1 \mathbf{C} \mathfrak{z}_2^2$, further $< \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $<_{i\mathfrak{z}_2} \mathbf{C} <$, finally let us consider $\mathfrak{z} = \mathfrak{z}(<, \mathfrak{z}_0)$. If $X \in \mathfrak{z}_1(A')$ then there exists $V \in \mathfrak{z}_2(A')$ such that $X \in \mathfrak{z}_2(g(V))$. This means $V <_{i\mathfrak{z}_2} X$, consequently $V < X$. From $\mathfrak{z}_1' \mathbf{C} \mathfrak{z}_0$ we can deduce $V \in \mathfrak{z}_0(A')$, thus in accordance with our notations, this gives $X \in \mathfrak{z}(A')$, accordingly $\mathfrak{z}_1 \mathbf{C} \mathfrak{z}$, and in general $\mathfrak{z}_1 \mathbf{C} \mathfrak{z}^*$. ■

(3.2) Theorem. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a syntopogenous structure on E . Suppose that $\mathfrak{f}(x')$ is an $\mathcal{S}$ -round filter in E for any $x' \in E'$ such that $\mathfrak{f}(g(x))$ is the filter of $\mathcal{S}$ -neighbourhoods of every point $x \in E$. Then we have a perfect topogenous g -family $\mathfrak{z}_0 = \{\mathfrak{z}_0\}$ determined by

$$(3.2.1.) \quad \mathfrak{z}_0(\emptyset) = 2^E \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{z}_0(A') = \bigcap_{x' \in A'} \mathfrak{f}(x') \quad \text{for} \quad \emptyset \neq A' \subset E',$$

for which $\mathcal{S}^{tp} = \mathcal{S}_{i_{3_0}}$. If 3^* is the fine syntopogenous g -family corresponding to $\mathcal{S}$ and 3_0 , then denoting by h the monotone mapping belonging to the filters $\tilde{f}(x')$

$$(3.2.2) \quad 3^* \sim 3_{h(\mathcal{S})} \text{ and } 3_{h(\mathcal{S})^{tp}} = 3_0.$$

(3.2.3) $h(\mathcal{S})$ is the coarsest of all syntopogenous structures $\mathcal{S}'$ on E' such that $g(E)$ is $\mathcal{S}'$ -dense and $3^* \leq 3_{\mathcal{S}'} \leq 3_0$.

PROOF. Putting $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{S}^{tp}$, the first part of the theorem can be read from (2.9).

(3.2.2): Supposing $\prec \in \mathcal{S}$ and $3 = 3(\prec, 3_0)$, we have $3 \leq 3_{\prec'}$, where $\prec' = h(\prec)^q \in h(\mathcal{S})$, and at the same time $3^* \leq 3_{h(\mathcal{S})}$. In fact, if $X \in 3(A')$, then $V \prec X$ for some $V \in 3_0(A')$. In this case $A' \subset h(V)h(\prec)^qh(X)$. $g^{-1}(h(X)) \subset X$, since $x \in E$, $X \in \tilde{f}(g(x))$ gives that X is an $\mathcal{S}$ -neighbourhood of x , consequently $x \in X$. This means $X \in 3_{\prec'}(A')$. Conversely, from [7], (2.3) we can deduce that the filter generated by the inverse images of $h(\mathcal{S})$ -neighbourhoods of any point $x' \in E'$ is exactly $\tilde{f}(x')$ hence by (2.9) we have $3_{h(\mathcal{S})^{tp}} = 3_0$. After this the inequality $3_{h(\mathcal{S})} \leq 3^*$ will be verified. On the basis of (1.5) and (2.2.3) we can state $3_{h(\mathcal{S})}^i \leq 3_{h(\mathcal{S})}^{tp} = 3_{h(\mathcal{S})^{tp}} = 3_0$. Simultaneously $\mathcal{S}_{i_{3_{h(\mathcal{S})}}} = g^{-1}(h(\mathcal{S})) \sim \mathcal{S}$ (see (2.6) and [7], (2.3), (1.2)). In view (3.1.5), from these we get $3_{h(\mathcal{S})} \leq 3^*$.

(3.2.3): Assume that $\mathcal{S}'$ is a syntopogenous structure on E' such that $3^* \leq 3_{\mathcal{S}'} \leq 3_0$. We shall show $h(\mathcal{S}) \leq \mathcal{S}'$. Indeed, suppose that $\prec^* = h(\prec)^q$ for some $\prec \in \mathcal{S}$, and let us choose an element $\prec'$ of $\mathcal{S}'$ such that $3 \leq 3_{\prec'}$ for $3 = 3(\prec, 3_0)$. Putting $\prec'_1 \in \mathcal{S}'$, for which $\prec' \leq \prec'_1$, we can state $\prec^* \leq \prec'_1$. In fact, if $A' \subset h(A)$, $h(B) \subset B'$ and $A \prec B$, then $A \in \tilde{f}(x')$ for $x' \in A'$, thus $A \in 3_0(A')$, and consequently $B \in 3(A')$. We have a set $X' \subset E'$ such that $A' \prec' X'$ and $g^{-1}(X') \subset B$. If $A' \prec'_1 Y' \prec'_1 X'$, then for any $x' \in Y'$ the inequality $x' \prec'_1 X'$ holds, therefore $B \supset g^{-1}(X') \in 3_{\prec'_1}(x') \subset 3_0(x') = \tilde{f}(x')$. This gives $Y' \subset h(B)$, accordingly $A' \prec'_1 B'$. We got $h(\prec) \leq \prec'_1$, consequently $h(\prec)^q \leq \prec'_1{}^q = \prec'_1$, i.e. $h(\mathcal{S}) \leq \mathcal{S}'$. ■

(3.3) Corollary. Under the conditions of (3.2) let g be an injection. Then $h(\mathcal{S})$ is the coarsest of all syntopogenous structures $\mathcal{S}'$ on E' such that $(E', \mathcal{S}', g)$ is an extension of $[E, \mathcal{S}]$ giving the filters $\tilde{f}(x')$, as trace filters, and $\mathcal{S}'$ induces the fine syntopogenous g -family corresponding to $\mathcal{S}$ and 3_0 .

PROOF. For such an extension $(E', \mathcal{S}', g)$ by (2.9) we have $3^* \sim 3_{\mathcal{S}'} \leq 3_{\mathcal{S}'}^{tp} = 3_0$, thus from (3.1.5) $h(\mathcal{S}) \leq \mathcal{S}'$ follows. ■

(3.4) Corollary. ([2], (6.1.2)). Under the conditions of (3.2) let g be an injection, and $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{T}$ be a topology. Then $h(\mathcal{T})^p$ is the coarsest of those topologies $\mathcal{T}'$ on E' for which $(E', \mathcal{T}', g)$ is an extension of $[E, \mathcal{T}]$ with the trace filters $\tilde{f}(x')$.

PROOF. Denoting by 3^* the fine syntopogenous g -family corresponding to $\mathcal{T}$ and 3_0 , from (3.1.3) $3^* \leq 3_0$ follows. But simultaneously $\mathcal{S}_{i_{3_0}} = \mathcal{T}$ and (3.1.5) implies $3_0 \leq 3^*$, thus $3_0 = 3^*$ (let us observe that by (3.1.1) 3^* consists of a single g -mapping). Consequently, if $\mathcal{T}'$ is a topology as in the theorem, then $3^* = 3_0 = 3_{\mathcal{T}'}$, therefore $h(\mathcal{T}) \leq \mathcal{T}'$. From this $h(\mathcal{T})^p \leq \mathcal{T}'^p = \mathcal{T}'$ can be deduced. ■

(3.5) Theorem. Let g be an injection, $\mathcal{S}$ be a syntopogenous structure on

E and $\mathcal{Z}$ be an arbitrary syntopogenous g -family. In order that there exist a syntopogenous structure $\mathcal{S}'$ on E' such that $(E', \mathcal{S}', g)$ is an extension of $[E, \mathcal{S}]$ and $\mathcal{Z} \sim \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{S}'}$, it is necessary and sufficient that $\mathcal{S} \sim \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{Z}}$. In this case $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is the finest of all syntopogenous structures on E' with these properties.

PROOF. If $(E', \mathcal{S}', g)$ is an extension of $[E, \mathcal{S}]$ such that $\mathcal{Z} \sim \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{S}'}$, then $\mathcal{S}' \sim g^{-1}(\mathcal{S}') = \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{S}'}} \sim \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ (see (2.6)). Conversely, suppose $\mathcal{S} \sim \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{Z}}$, and let $\mathcal{S}^*$ denote the syntopogenous structure $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ on E' . Then $g(E)$ is $\mathcal{S}^*$ -dense, $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{S}^*}$, and $\mathcal{S} \sim \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{Z}} = \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{S}^*}} = g^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^*)$, finally $\mathcal{S}^*$ is the finest of all syntopogenous structures on E' inducing $\mathcal{Z}$ (see (2.3) and (2.6)). ■

The knowledges concerning extensions providing a prescribed family of trace filters can be completed as follows:

(3.6) Theorem. Under the conditions of (3.2) let g be an injection. Then $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{Z}^*}$ is the finest of all syntopogenous structures $\mathcal{S}'$ on E' such that $(E', \mathcal{S}', g)$ is an extension of $[E, \mathcal{S}]$ with the filters $\tilde{\mathfrak{f}}(x')$, as trace filters.

PROOF. Put $\mathcal{S}^* = \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{Z}^*}$. Then $(E', \mathcal{S}^*, g)$ is in fact an extension of $[E, \mathcal{S}]$ (see (3.1.2) and (3.5)). $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{S}^*} = \mathcal{Z}^*$, therefore by (3.1.3) $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{S}^* \circ p} = \mathcal{Z}^{* \circ p} \leq \mathcal{Z}_0$, at the same time (3.2.3) implies $h(\mathcal{S}) \leq \mathcal{S}^*$. From this and from (3.2.2) we can deduce $\mathcal{Z}_0 = \mathcal{Z}_{h(\mathcal{S}) \circ p} \leq \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{S}^* \circ p}$, so that $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{S}^* \circ p} = \mathcal{Z}_0$. In view of (2.9) this means that the extension $(E', \mathcal{S}^*, g)$ gives the filters $\tilde{\mathfrak{f}}(x')$, as trace filters. Suppose that the extension $(E', \mathcal{S}', g)$ of $[E, \mathcal{S}]$ has the same trace filters $\tilde{\mathfrak{f}}(x')$ (i.e. $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{S}' \circ p} = \mathcal{Z}_0$). Then putting $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{S}'}$, we have $\mathcal{S} \sim \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ and $\mathcal{Z}^* = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{S}' \circ p} \leq \mathcal{Z}_0$ (cf. (3.5)). From the fineness of $\mathcal{Z}^*$ (see (3.1.5)) the inequality $\mathcal{Z} \leq \mathcal{Z}^*$ follows, but owing to (2.3) this gives $\mathcal{S}' \leq \mathcal{S}^*$. ■

Remark. This finest extension described in the theorem can be constructed in another way (see [3], ch. 0 (p. 60), (0.6) and th. 2.2).

On the basis of the statements of [7], (2.1) and (2.4) we can verify that for the existence of an extension $(E', \mathcal{S}', g)$ of the syntopogenous space $[E, \mathcal{S}]$ having a prescribed family $\{\tilde{\mathfrak{f}}(x') : x' \in E'\}$ of trace filters, it is necessary and sufficient that any filter $\tilde{\mathfrak{f}}(x')$ be $\mathcal{S}$ -round, and $\tilde{\mathfrak{f}}(g(x))$ be the filter of $\mathcal{S}$ -neighbourhoods of every point $x \in E$. In the last part of the chapter this result will be generalized.

For an arbitrary syntopogenous space $[X, \mathcal{S}]$, we shall say that $\mathcal{S}(A) = \{V \subset X : A \leq V \text{ for some } < \in \mathcal{S}\}$ is the $\mathcal{S}$ -neighbourhood filter of $\emptyset \neq A \subset X$. If $(E', \mathcal{S}', g)$ is an extension of the syntopogenous space $[E, \mathcal{S}]$, the system $\{g^{-1}(\mathcal{S}'(A')) : \emptyset \neq A' \subset E'\}$ will be called the full system of trace filters of this extension, where $g^{-1}(\mathcal{S}'(A'))$ consists of the inverse image $g^{-1}(V')$ of members V' of $\mathcal{S}'(A')$.

(3.7) Lemma. Let $(E', \mathcal{S}', g)$ be an extension of the syntopogenous space $[E, \mathcal{S}]$. Then the full system of trace filters of this extension is identical with $\{\mathcal{Z}_{<'}(A') : \emptyset \neq A' \subset E'\}$, where $\mathcal{S}'^t = \{<'\}$.

PROOF. It is clear by the definition and [8], (2.1)—(2.2). ■

(3.8) Theorem. Let g be an injection, and $\mathcal{S}$ be a syntopogenous structure on E . Let us consider a filter $\tilde{\mathfrak{f}}(A')$ in E for any $\emptyset \neq A' \subset E'$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (3.8.1) *There exists an extension $(E', \mathcal{S}', g)$ of $[E, \mathcal{S}]$ such that $\{\tilde{f}(A') : \emptyset \neq A' \subset E'\}$ is the full system of trace filters of this extension.*
- (3.8.2) *$\tilde{f}(A')$ is an $\mathcal{S}$ -round filter in E for any $\emptyset \neq A' \subset E'$, in particular $\tilde{f}(g(A)) = \mathcal{S}(A)$ for every $\emptyset \neq A \subset E$, finally, if A', B' , are non empty subsets of E' , then $\tilde{f}(A') \cap \tilde{f}(B') = \tilde{f}(A' \cup B')$.*

PROOF. (3.8.1) $\Rightarrow$ (3.8.2): Suppose $X \in \tilde{f}(A')$. Then for some $\prec' \in \mathcal{S}'$ we have $A' \prec' V'$ and $g^{-1}(V') = X$. If $\prec'_1 \in \mathcal{S}'$, $\prec' \subset \prec'_2$ and $\prec \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $g^{-1}(\prec'_1) \subset \prec$, then $A' \prec'_1 W' \prec'_1 V'$ for a suitable W' , and with the notation $g^{-1}(W') = Y$ we get $Y \in \tilde{f}(A')$ and $Y \prec X$, therefore $\tilde{f}(A')$ is $\mathcal{S}$ -round. We know $g^{-1}(\mathcal{S}') \sim \mathcal{S}$, thus $X \in \mathcal{S}(A)$ iff $E' - g(E - X) \in \mathcal{S}'(g(A))$. g is an injection, thus from $X = g^{-1}(E' - g(E - X))$ the equality $\tilde{f}(g(A)) = \mathcal{S}(A)$ follows. Obviously $\tilde{f}(A' \cup B') \subset \tilde{f}(A') \cap \tilde{f}(B')$, and if $X = g^{-1}(V') = g^{-1}(W')$, where $A' \prec'_1 V'$, $B' \prec'_2 W'$ ($\prec'_1, \prec'_2 \in \mathcal{S}'$), then, for $\prec'_1 \cup \prec'_2 \subset \prec' \in \mathcal{S}'$, we have $A' \cup B' \prec' V' \cup W'$, thus $g^{-1}(V' \cup W') = g^{-1}(V') \cup g^{-1}(W') = X$ shows that $\tilde{f}(A') \cap \tilde{f}(B') \subset \tilde{f}(A' \cup B')$ is also true.

(3.8.2) $\Rightarrow$ (3.8.1): First of all we prove that putting $\mathfrak{z}_0(\emptyset) = 2^E$, $\mathfrak{z}_0(A') = \tilde{f}(A')$ ($\emptyset \neq A' \subset E'$), $\mathfrak{z}_0 = \{\mathfrak{z}_0\}$ is a topogenous g -family, for which $\mathcal{S}^t = \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{z}_0}^t$ holds. In fact, $\mathfrak{z}_0$ clearly satisfies axioms (M0) and (M1). If $A' \subset B'$, then $\mathfrak{z}_0(B') = \mathfrak{z}_0(A' \cup B') = \mathfrak{z}_0(A') \cap \mathfrak{z}_0(B') \subset \mathfrak{z}_0(A')$, thus (M3) is also fulfilled. For the verification of (M2) let us suppose $X \in \mathfrak{z}_0(A')$. Then $x \in E$, $g(x) \in A'$ implies $X \in \mathfrak{z}_0(g(x)) = \mathcal{S}(x)$ (see (M3)), hence $x \in X$. This means $g^{-1}(A') \subset X$. The topogeneity of $\mathfrak{z}_0$ can be deduced from [8], (1.3). One can see that $\mathfrak{z}_0 \subset \mathfrak{z}_0^2$. In fact, if $X \in \mathfrak{z}_0(A')$, then from the roundness of $\mathfrak{z}_0(A')$ we get a set $Y \in \mathfrak{z}_0(A')$ such that $Y \prec X$, where $\prec \in \mathcal{S}$. But this implies $X \in \mathfrak{z}_0^2(A')$, since $X \in \mathcal{S}(Y) = \mathfrak{z}_0(g(Y))$. Finally suppose $\mathcal{S}^t = \{\prec_0\}$. Then $A \prec_0 B$ iff $B \in \mathcal{S}(A) = \mathfrak{z}_0(g(A))$, and this is equivalent to $A \prec_{\mathfrak{z}_0} B$. This shows $\mathcal{S}^t = \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{z}_0}^t$.

From here we shall have an easy job, namely assume that $\mathfrak{z}^*$ is the fine syntopogenous g -family corresponding to $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathfrak{z}_0$. Then from $\mathcal{S}^t = \mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{z}_0}^t$ we get $\mathfrak{z}^{*t} = \mathfrak{z}_0$ (see (3.1.4)). (3.1.2) and (3.5) show that there is an extension $(E', \mathcal{S}', g)$ of $[E, \mathcal{S}]$ such that $\mathfrak{z}^* \sim \mathfrak{z}_{\mathcal{S}'}$. This implies $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathcal{S}'^t} = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathcal{S}'}^t = \mathfrak{z}^{*t} = \mathfrak{z}_0$, which means that $\{\mathfrak{z}_0(A') : \emptyset \neq A' \subset E'\} = \{\tilde{f}(A') : \emptyset \neq A' \subset E'\}$ is the full system of trace filters of the extension in question (see lemma (3.7)). ■

References

- [1] Á. CSÁSZÁR, Foundations of General Topology (Oxford—London—New York—Paris, 1963).
 - [2] Á. CSÁSZÁR, General Topology (Budapest—Bristol, 1978).
 - [3] Á. CSÁSZÁR and K. MATOLCSY, Syntopogenous extensions for prescribed topologies, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.* **37** (1981), 59—75.
 - [4] S. GACSÁLYI, On Hacque's E -mappings and on semi-topogenous orders, *Publ. Math. (Debrecen)* **12** (1965), 265—270.
 - [5] M. HACQUE, Sur les E -structures, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **254** (1962), 1905—1907 and 2120—2122.
 - [6] M. HACQUE, Étude des E -structures, *Seminaire Choquet (Initiation à l'Analyse)* 1^{re} année, 1962, no. 6 (Mimeographed.)
 - [7] K. MATOLCSY, On extensions of syntopogenous spaces, *Publ. Math. (Debrecen)* **28** (1981), 103—119.
 - [8] K. MATOLCSY, Topogeneous g -mappings, *Publ. Math. (Debrecen)* **30** (1983), 93—100.
- KÁLMÁN MATOLCSY
DEBRECEN,
SZABÓ ISTVÁN ALT. TÉR 8. XIV 112.
H-4032
HUNGARY

(Received Oktober 21, 1981)