

On the left ideals of a crossed group algebra over finite fields

By Z. M. ABD EL MONEIM (Debrecen)

Dedicated to Professor Zoltán Daróczy on his 50 th birthday

Let G be an arbitrary group containing an infinite cyclic subgroup of finite index, K a fixed finite field with characteristic $P(\neq 2)$, and D an arbitrary finite extension field over K , $(D:K)=n$.

S. D. BERMAN and K. BUZÁSI proved (see [1]) that the investigation of finitely generated KG -modules can be reduced to the study of finitely generated modules over so-called algebras of type E over K .

All the algebras A of type E over the finite field K were described in [2]. It was shown that the algebra

$$(1) \quad A = [D, a, b]; \quad a\lambda = \lambda a; \quad b\lambda = \lambda b; \quad b^{-1}ab = a^{-1}; \quad b^2 = \xi,$$

where $\lambda \in D$, ξ is not a square, $\xi \in D^*$, contains no zero divisors. All the other algebras of type E over K are either rings of principal ideals or contain zero divisors. For the case of the real field R K. BUZÁSI asked the question: "Is the algebra (1) a principal left ideal ring?" (see [4]). If the answer is positive, then by results of S. D. BERMAN and K. BUZÁSI [1] and by classical results on the modules over principal ideal rings the modules over all the algebras of type E can be considered to be finished. K. BUZÁSI has shown that in the case of the real field R the question mentioned above has a negative answer (see [4]). In this paper we shall show that the algebra A defined above is not a ring of principal left ideals.

§ 1. Preliminary results

Throughout this paper let A denote the algebra defined by (1). Let $D(a) < A$ be the group algebra of the infinite cyclic group (a) over D . There was defined in [3] for every $f(a) \in D(a)$, $f(a) \neq 0$ a norm

$$f(a) = |(\lambda_n a^n + \dots + \lambda_m a^m)| = n - m (\lambda_i \in D; n \geq m, n, m \in \mathbb{Z})$$

and it was shown that $D(a)$ is a Euclidean ring with respect to this norm.

The element $f(a) \in D(a)$ is called symmetric if $\overline{f(a)} = \mu a^{-m} f(a)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mu \in D$, where $\overline{f(a)} = f(a^{-1})$.

It is proved in [3] that

Lemma 1.1. Let $f(a), g(a) \in D(a)$, $f(a) \neq 0$ and $|f(a)| \cong |g(a)|$, then there exist elements $h(a), r(a) \in D(a)$ such that

$$f(a) = g(a) \cdot h(a) + r(a),$$

where $r(a) = 0$, or $|r(a)| < |g(a)|$ and

$$|f(a)| = |g(a) \cdot h(a)|.$$

Lemma 1.2. Let $I \cong A$ be a left ideal generated by elements P and $1 + qb$, where $P, q \in D(a)$ and P is the generator element of the ideal $I \cap D(a)$. Then P is a symmetric element.

Lemma 1.3. Every left ideal I of the algebra A can be generated by the elements $P, S_0 + S_1 b$, where $p, S_0, S_1 \in D(a)$, P is a symmetric element and generates the ideal $I \cap D(a)$.

Lemma 1.4. Let the left ideal $I \cong A$ be generated by elements $P, S_0 + S_1 b$, where $P, S_0, S_1 \in D(a)$, $(P) = I \cap D(a)$. If either $(P, S_0) = 1$ or $(P, \overline{S_1}) = 1$, then there exists an element $q \in D(a)$ such that the left ideal I can be generated by the elements $P, 1 + qb$.

Theorem 1.1. Every left ideal $I \cong A$ can be expressed in the form

$$I = I_1 \cdot d,$$

where I_1 is a left ideal generated by elements $P, 1 + qb$, $p, q \in D(a)$; $(P) = I_1 \cap D(a)$ and $d \in D(a)$.

§ 2. Construction of a left ideal being not a principal left ideal

We define for the element $x = \alpha + \beta b$; $\alpha, \beta \in D(a)$ of A a norm $N(x)$ by the formula

$$N(x) = (\alpha + \beta b)(\bar{\alpha} - \beta b) = \alpha \cdot \bar{\alpha} - \xi \beta \cdot \bar{\beta}.$$

It is easy to see that $N(x) \in I \cap D(a)$ and $N(x \cdot y) = N(x) \cdot N(y)$, for all the $x, y \in A$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $I \cong A$ be a principal left ideal generated by the element $S_0 + S_1 b$, $S_0, S_1 \in D(a)$. If $(P) = I \cap D(a)$, then the elements dP and $N(S_0 + S_1 b)$ are associates, where $(\overline{S_0}, S_1) = d$.

PROOF. First, let $(\overline{S_0}, S_1) = 1$. We have

$$(2) \quad P = (\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 b)(S_0 + S_1 b),$$

for some $\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 b \in A$.

This implies

$$P = \lambda_0 \cdot S_0 + \xi \lambda_1 \overline{S_1}$$

$$(3) \quad 0 = (\lambda_0 S_1 + \lambda_1 \cdot \overline{S_0}).$$

Because of $(\overline{S_0}, S_1)=1$, it follows from the second equality of (3) that $\lambda_0=t \cdot \overline{S_0}$, $\lambda_1=-t \cdot S_1$ ($t \in D(a)$). Then (3) implies $P=t(S_0 \cdot \overline{S_0}-\xi S_1 \cdot \overline{S_1})$, that is

$$P \equiv 0 \pmod{N(S_0+S_1 b)}.$$

On the other hand, $N(S_0+S_1 b) \in I \cap D(a)$, so $N(S_0+S_1 b) \equiv 0 \pmod{P}$, that is P and $N(S_0+S_1 b)$ are associates.

Now let

$$(4) \quad (\overline{S_0}, S_1) = d \neq 1; \quad \overline{S_0} = \overline{h_0} d; \quad S_1 = h_1 d \quad (h_0, h_1 \in D(a); (h_0, h_1) = 1).$$

In the case $S_0+S_1 b=(h_0 \overline{d}+h_1 b \overline{d})=(h_0+h_1 b) \overline{d}$, we have $I=I_1 \cdot \overline{d}$, where I_1 is a principal left ideal generated by $h_0+h_1 b$. Here $(h_0, h_1)=1$, and if $(P_1)=I_1 \cap D(a)$, then P_1 and $N(h_0+h_1 b)$ are associates. It holds, at the same time, that

$$P=P_1 \overline{d}, \quad \text{and so} \quad P \cdot d=P_1 \overline{d} \cdot d$$

and

$$N(S_0+S_1 b)=S_0 \cdot \overline{S_0}-\xi S_1 \cdot \overline{S_1}=(h_0 \cdot \overline{h_0}-\xi h_1 \cdot \overline{h_1}) d \cdot \overline{d}=N(h_0+h_1 b) d \cdot \overline{d}$$

are associates, too.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $I \subseteq A$ be a left ideal generated by the elements $P, 1+qb$, where $(P)=I \cap D(a)$, $q \in D(a)$. Then every element of I can be expressed in the form*

$$x b p + y(1+q b), \quad \text{where } x, y \in D(a).$$

PROOF. Let $S_0+S_1 b \in I$ be an arbitrary element of I .

$$(5) \quad s_0+s_1 b-s_0(1+q b)=(s_1-s_0 q) b \in I,$$

that is

$$b(s_1-s_0 q) b=\xi(\overline{s_1}-\overline{s_0} \cdot \overline{q}) \in I \cap D(a).$$

Since $(p)=I \cap D(a)$, one has

$$\overline{s_1}-\overline{s_0} \cdot \overline{q}=p \cdot \overline{x} \quad \text{for some } \overline{x} \in D(a).$$

This implies $s_1-s_0 q=p \cdot x$ ($x \in D(a)$), because by Lemma 1.2 the element p is symmetric. By (5) we have

$$x b p + s_0(1+q b)=s_0+s_1 b,$$

which proves the lemma.

Theorem 2.1. *The algebra A is not a ring of principal left ideals.*

PROOF. Let A, B be algebras given by the relations

$$A=\{D, a, b\}; \quad \lambda a=a \lambda; \quad \lambda b=b \lambda; \quad b^{-1} a b=a^{-1}, \quad b^2=\xi, \quad (\lambda \in D)$$

$$B=\{D, a, b\}; \quad \lambda a=a \lambda; \quad \lambda b=b \lambda; \quad b^{-1} a b=a^{-1}, \quad b^2=\eta$$

where $\xi, \eta \in D^*$ are non-square elements, then A and B are isomorphic. Indeed, let $|K|=p^m$, where p is a prime, $(D:K)=n$, and let θ be a primitive element of D^* . Then $\xi=\theta^{2s+1}$ and $\eta=\theta^{2t+1}$ for some $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$. The element $\xi^{-1} \cdot \eta=\theta^{2(t-s)}$ is a

square, so $a \rightarrow a$, $b \rightarrow \sqrt{\xi^{-1}} \eta \cdot b$ gives an isomorphism $A \rightarrow B$, because $\sqrt{\xi^{-1}} \eta \in D$ and $(\sqrt{\xi^{-1}} \eta \cdot b)^2 = \xi^{-1} \cdot \eta b^2 = \xi^{-1} \eta \xi = \eta$. That is the element ξ in A can be replaced by any non-square element of D^* .

We shall construct a left ideal I generated by some element p^1 , $1+qb$ which is not a principal ideal. Let $q=a^p+1$, where p is the characteristic of the finite field, $(p, p^{nm}-1)=1$.

In this case $\sqrt[p]{\lambda}$ has values in D^* for all the $\lambda \in D^*$, because $M=\{\mu^p | \mu \in D^*\}$ is a subgroup of D^* of index p , or 1. Since $(p, p^{nm}-1)=1$, so the index equals 1 and $M=D^*$. Since $(p^1(a))=I \cap D(a)$, the element $p^1(a)$ divides the element

$$\begin{aligned} N(1+qb) &= 1 - \xi q \cdot \bar{q} = (1 - \xi(a^p+1)(a^{-p}+1)) = \\ &= 1 - \xi(a^p+2+a^{-p}) = -\xi(a^p+(2-\xi^{-1})+a^{-p}). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the element

$$\begin{aligned} a^{2p} + (2-\xi^{-1})a^p + 1 &= x^2 + (2-\xi^{-1})x + 1 \\ x &= \xi^{-1} - 2 \pm \sqrt{\xi^2 - 4\xi^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

The element ξ can be chosen such that

$$\xi^{-2} - 4\xi^{-1} = \xi^{-1}(\xi^{-1} - 4)$$

is a square element, so that $x \in D$. Since $\sqrt[p]{x} = \alpha \in D$, the element

$$-\xi a^{-p}(a^{2p} + (2-\xi^{-1})a^p + 1)$$

can be expressed as a product

$$-\xi a^{-p}(a-\alpha)^p(a-\alpha^{-1})^p = -\xi a^{-p}(a-\alpha)(a-\alpha^{-1})(a-\alpha)^{p-1}(a-\alpha^{-1})^{p-1};$$

consider the element

$$\begin{aligned} p^1(a) &= (a-\alpha)^{p-1}(a-\alpha^{-1})^{p-1} = a^{2(p-1)} + \delta_1 a^{2(p-1)-1} + \dots + \delta_{p-2} a^{2(p-1)-(p-2)} + \\ &\quad + \delta_{p-1} a^{p-1} + \delta_{p-2} a^{p-2} + \dots + \delta_2 a^2 + \delta_1 a + 1, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\delta_1 = \alpha + \alpha^{-1}$$

$$\delta_2 = \alpha^2 + 1 + \alpha^{-2}$$

$$\delta_3 = \alpha^3 + \alpha + \alpha^{-1} + \alpha^{-3}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\delta_{p-k} = \alpha^{p-k} + \alpha^{p-(k+2)} + \dots + \alpha + \alpha^{-1} + \dots + \alpha^{-(p-(k+2))} + \alpha^{-(p-k)},$$

if k is an even number and

$$\delta_{p-k} = \alpha^{p-k} + \alpha^{p-(k+2)} + \dots + \alpha^2 + 1 + \alpha^{-2} + \dots + \alpha^{-(p-(k+2))} + \alpha^{-(p-k)},$$

if k is an odd number,

$$\delta_{p-2} = \alpha^{p-2} + \alpha^{p-4} + \dots + \alpha + \alpha^{-1} + \dots + \alpha^{-(p-4)} + \alpha^{-(p-2)}$$

$$\delta_{p-1} = \alpha^{p-1} + \alpha^{p-3} + \dots + \alpha^2 + 1 + \alpha^{-2} + \dots + \alpha^{-(p-3)} + \alpha^{-(p-1)}$$

let us divide $p^1(a)$ by q

$$(6) \quad p^1(a) = q \cdot h + r.$$

It is easy to see that

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} h &= a^{p-2} + \delta_1 a^{p-3} + \delta_2 a^{p-4} + \dots + \delta_{p-2} \\ r &= \delta_{p-1} a^{p-1} + (\delta_{p-2} - 1) a^{p-2} + (\delta_{p-2} - \delta_1) a^{p-3} + (\delta_{p-4} - \delta_2) a^{p-4} + \dots \\ &\quad \dots + (\delta_2 - \delta_{p-4}) a^2 + (\delta_1 - \delta_{p-3}) a + (1 - \delta_{p-2}). \end{aligned}$$

It is true in (6) that $|r| = p-1 < |q|$; $|h| = p-2$. We construct an element

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} u &= bp^1 - h(1+qb) = (qh+r)b - h(1+qb) \\ &= -h + rb \in I. \end{aligned}$$

It holds that

$$N(u) = |(-h+rb)(-\bar{h}-rb)| = |(h \cdot \bar{h} - \xi r \cdot \bar{r})| = 2(q-1) = |p^1(a)|.$$

We show that the element u does not generate the left ideal I .

Indeed, assume that $I = (u)$, then (8) implies

$$(9) \quad u - bp^1 = -h(1+qb).$$

Because $N(u) \in I \cap D(a)$, it holds that $N(u) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^1(a)}$. However, $|N(u)| = |p^1(a)|$, so the elements $N(u)$ and $p^1(a)$ are associates.

It can be assumed that $p^1(a) = N(u)$. This means that

$$p^1(a) = (-\bar{h}-rb)(-h+rb).$$

Then (9) implies

$$(10) \quad u - b(-\bar{h}-rb)u = -h(1+qb).$$

Since $I = (u)$, there exists an element $\mu_0 + \mu_1 b \in A$ such that

$$1 + qb = (\mu_0 + \mu_1 b)u.$$

Then (10) can be expressed in the form

$$[1 - b(-\bar{h}-rb)]u = -h(\mu_0 + \mu_1 b)u.$$

But the algebra A contains no zero divisors, so we have

$$1 + b\bar{h} + brb = -h\mu_0 - \mu_1 hb$$

$$1 + hb + \xi\bar{r} = -h\mu_0 - \mu_1 hb,$$

or

$$1 + \xi\bar{r} = -h\mu_0,$$

that is

$$(11) \quad 1 + \xi\bar{r} \equiv 0 \pmod{h}.$$

We show that the congruence (11) gives rise to a contradiction. Indeed, applying (7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \xi \bar{r} &= \xi(\xi^{-1} + \bar{r}) = \xi[\delta_{p-1}a^{-(p-1)} + (\delta_{p-2} - 1)a^{-(p-2)} + \dots + (\delta_1 - \delta_{p-3})a^{-1} + \\ &+ (1 + \xi^{-1} - \delta_{p-2})] = \xi a^{-(p-1)}[(1 + \xi^{-1} - \delta_{p-2})a^{p-1} + \dots + (\delta_1 - \delta_{p-3})a^{p-2} + \dots \\ &\dots + (\delta_{p-3} - \delta_1)a^2 + (\delta_{p-2} - 1)a + \delta_{p-1}] = \xi a^{-(p-1)} \cdot g(a). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that h divides the element $1 + \xi \bar{r}$ if and only if $h(a)$ divides $g(a)$. But $|h| = p-2$; $|r| = p-1$, and so

$$(12) \quad h(a)[(1 + \xi^{-1} - \delta_{p-2})a + \beta] = g(a),$$

for some $\beta \in D$.

It follows from this equation for the coefficients of the two sides of (12) that

$$(13) \quad \beta + \delta_1(1 + \xi^{-1} - \delta_{p-2}) = \delta_1 - \delta_{p-3},$$

$$(14) \quad \beta \cdot \delta_1 + \delta_2(1 + \xi^{-1} - \delta_{p-2}) = \delta_2 - \delta_{p-4};$$

equation (13) implies

$$\beta = \delta_1 \delta_{p-2} - \delta_1 \xi^{-1} - \delta_{p-3}.$$

Now we obtain from (14) that

$$[\delta_1 \cdot \delta_{p-2} - \delta_1 \xi^{-1} - \delta_{p-3}] \cdot \delta_1 + \delta_2(\xi^{-1} - \delta_{p-2}) = -\delta_{p-4}$$

$$\delta_1^2 \cdot \delta_{p-2} - \delta_1^2 \xi^{-1} - \delta_1 \delta_{p-3} + \delta_2 \xi^{-1} - \delta_2 \delta_{p-2} = -\delta_{p-4}$$

$$(\delta_1^2 - \delta_2) \delta_{p-2} - (\delta_1^2 - \delta_2) \xi^{-1} = \delta_1 \delta_{p-3} - \delta_{p-4}$$

$$(\delta_1^2 - \delta_2)(\delta_{p-2} - \xi^{-1}) = \delta_1 \delta_{p-3} - \delta_{p-4}$$

that is

$$[(\alpha + \alpha^{-1})^2 - (\alpha^2 + 1 + \alpha^{-2})][\delta_{p-2} - \xi^{-1}] = \delta_1 \cdot \delta_{p-3} - \delta_{p-4}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha^{p-2} + \alpha^{p-4} + \dots + \alpha + \alpha^{-1} + \dots + \alpha^{-(p-4)} + \alpha^{-(p-2)} - \xi^{-1} = \\ &= (\alpha + \alpha^{-1})[\alpha^{p-3} + \alpha^{p-5} + \dots + \alpha^2 + 1 + \alpha^{-2} + \dots + \alpha^{-(p-5)} + \alpha^{-(p-3)}] - \\ &\quad - [\alpha^{p-4} + \alpha^{p-6} + \dots + \alpha + \alpha^{-1} + \dots + \alpha^{-(p-6)} + \alpha^{-(p-4)}]; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha^{p-2} + 2\delta_{p-4} + \alpha^{-(p-2)} - \xi^{-1} = \alpha^{p-2} + \alpha^{p-4} + \dots + \alpha^3 + \alpha + \alpha^{-1} + \dots + \alpha^{-(p-6)} + \\ &\quad + \alpha^{-(p-4)} + \alpha^{p-4} + \alpha^{p-6} + \dots + \alpha + \alpha^{-1} + \alpha^{-3} + \dots + \alpha^{-(p-4)} + \alpha^{-(p-2)} \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\alpha^{p-2} + 2\delta_{p-4} + \alpha^{-(p-2)} - \xi^{-1} = \alpha^{p-2} + 2\delta_{p-4} + \alpha^{-(p-2)},$$

and

$$-\xi^{-1} = 0, \text{ a contradiction.}$$

This proves that the element $u = -h + rb$ does not generate the left ideal I .

Now let us assume that I is a principal left ideal generated by an element $z = s_0 + s_1 b$. Then it holds that

$$(15) \quad u = -h + rb = (\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 b)(s_0 + s_1 b)$$

for some element $\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 b \in A$. (15) implies the equation

$$(16) \quad N(u) = N(\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 b) \cdot N(z),$$

that is the element $N(z)$ divides $N(u)$. On the other hand, $N(z) \in I \cap D(a) = (P^1(a))$ that is $N(z) = m \cdot p^1(a)$ for some $m \in D(a)$. Then it follows from (16) that

$$N(u) = N(\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 b) \cdot m \cdot p^1(a).$$

Assuming that $N(u) = n \cdot p^1(a)$ for some $n \in D(a)$, we obtain that $\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 b$ is an invertible element.

By (15) this implies that the elements u and z are associates. This is in contradiction with the fact that the element u does not generate the left ideal.

References

- [1] S. D. BERMAN and K. BUZÁSI, On the modules over group algebras of groups containing infinite cyclic subgroup of finite index. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungarica*, **16** (1981), 455—470.
- [2] K. BUZÁSI, T. KRAUSZ and Z. M. ABD-EL-MONEIM, Description of crossed group algebras over finite fields. *Acta Sci. Math. Szeged.* (To appear.)
- [3] E. SZABÓ, Investigation in a crossed group algebra. *Publ. Math. Debrecen.* (To appear.)
- [4] K. BUZÁSI, On the structure of a real crossed group algebra. *Bull. of the Australian Math. Soc.* (To appear.)

(Received February 15, 1988)