

Resolutions and infinite-dimensionality

By IVAN LONČAR (Varaždin)

Abstract. In the present paper we give a partial answer to the question: Let $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ be a resolution such that the spaces X_α , $\alpha \in A$, are A -weakly (S -weakly) infinite dimensional. Is it true that X and $\lim X$ are A -weakly (S -weakly) infinite-dimensional?

The main result of Section One is the characterisation of a base of X (1.4. Lemma). An important property of a resolution gives Lemma 1.8.

Section Two is devoted to the mappings $p_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha$. The closedness of p_α is proved in Theorem 2.1.

Section Three is the main section. Theorem 3.1. asserts that X and $\lim X$ are A -weakly infinite-dimensional if $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X}$ is a resolution and $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ is σ -directed inverse system of A -weakly infinite-dimensional spaces X_α . If the mappings satisfy the condition $|\text{Fr } f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_\alpha)| < \aleph_0$ then the converse of Theorem 3.1. holds (Theorem 3.5.). Theorems 3.2. and 3.3. are analogous theorems for the dimension $\dim X$ and $\dim_\gamma X$. If $\underline{X}$ is an inverse system of infinite-dimensional Cantor-manifolds X_α , then X and $\lim X$ are infinite-dimensional Cantor-manifolds.

0. Introduction

0.1. We use the notion of inverse systems in the sense of the book [6]. By $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ is denoted an inverse system and its limit by $\lim X$.

0.2. The notion of a resolution of a space was introduced by S. MARDEŠIĆ. We use the exposition of this notion as in the book [22].

0.3. By $\text{Cl}(A)$ or $\text{Cl } A$ we denote the closure of the set A .

0.4. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping, then for $A \subseteq X$ we define $f^*(A) = \{y \in Y: f^{-1}(y) \subseteq A\}$.

0.5. $|A|$ denotes the cardinality of the set A . By $\text{cf}(A)$ is denoted the smallest ordinal number which is cofinal in the well-ordered set A .

0.6. The symbol $\text{Fr } A$ denotes the boundary of the set i.e. the set $\text{Cl } A \cap \text{Cl}(X \setminus A)$.

0.7. We say that $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ is σ -directed if for each sequence $\{\alpha_i: i \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_i \in A\}$ there is an $\alpha \in A$ such that $\alpha > \alpha_i$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

0.8. By $w(X)$ is denoted the weight of X . Similarly, by $hl(X)$ is denoted the hereditary Souslin number of X [6: 284].

0.9. We say that a well-ordered inverse system $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ is continuous if for every limit ordinal γ , $\gamma \in A$, the space X_γ is homeomorphic with the limit of the inverse system $X' = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, \alpha \leq \beta \leq \gamma\}$.

1. Basic properties

Let X be a topological space. A resolution of X [22: 74] consists of an inverse system $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ in pro-Top and a morphism $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X}$ in pro-Top with the following two properties:

(R1) Let P be an ANR, $\mathcal{V}$ an open covering of P and $h: X \rightarrow P$ a map. Then there exists a $\alpha \in A$ and a map $f: X_\alpha \rightarrow P$ such that the maps fp_α and h are $\mathcal{V}$ -near (i.e. every $x \in X$ admits a λ such that both $fp_\alpha(x)$ and $h(x)$ belong to $V_\lambda \in \mathcal{V}$).

(R2) Let P be an ANR and $\mathcal{V}$ an open covering of P . Then there is an open covering $\mathcal{V}'$ of P with the following property: If $\alpha \in A$ and $f, f': X_\alpha \rightarrow P$ are maps such that the maps fp_α and $f'p_\alpha$ are $\mathcal{V}'$ -near, then there exists a $\alpha' > \alpha$ such that maps $ff_{\alpha\alpha'}$ and $f'f_{\alpha\alpha'}$ are $\mathcal{V}$ -near.

1.1. Theorem. [22:74, Theorem 1.]. Let $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X}$ be a morphism in pro-Cpt. Then p is a resolution of X iff p is an inverse limit of $\underline{X}$.

1.2. Theorem. [22:87, Corollary 1.]. Let $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ be an inverse system of normal spaces X_α , X a topological space and $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X}$ a morphism in pro-Top. Then p is a resolution of X iff it has the following properties:

(B1) Let $\alpha \in A$ and let U be an open set in X_α which contains $\text{Cl}(p_\alpha(X))$. Then there is $\alpha' \cong \alpha$ such that $f_{\alpha\alpha'}(X_{\alpha'}) \subseteq U$.

(B2) For every normal covering $\mathcal{U}$ of X there exists an $\alpha \in A$ and a normal covering $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$ of X_α such that $p_\alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_\alpha)$ refines $\mathcal{U}$.

Let us recall that an open covering $\mathcal{U}$ is normal iff it admits a metric space M , a map $h: X \rightarrow M$ and an open covering $\mathcal{V}$ of M such that $h^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ refines $\mathcal{U}$. A T_1 -space X is paracompact iff each open cover of X is normal [6:379]. A locally finite open cover of a normal space is normal [6:379].

We say that $A \subseteq X$ is normally embedded (or $\mathcal{P}$ -embedded) in the space X [15: 188] provided every normal covering $\mathcal{U}$ of A admits a normal covering $\mathcal{V}$ of X such that $\mathcal{V}|_A$ refines $\mathcal{U}$. A closed subsets of collectionwise normal spaces are normally embedded. In particular, closed subsets of paracompact spaces are normally embedded.

1.3. Definition. An inverse system $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ is called an R -system if the morphism $f = \{f_\alpha\}: \lim X \rightarrow X$ is a resolution (where $f_\alpha: \lim X \rightarrow X_\alpha$, $\alpha \in A$, are the projections). The system $\underline{X}$ is a weak R -system for a class $\mathcal{K}$ (WR -system for $\mathcal{K}$) if there exist $X \in \mathcal{K}$ and a resolution $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X}$ such that the induced mapping $p: X \rightarrow \lim \underline{X}$ is onto.

We start with the following lemma.

1.4. Lemma. Let $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X}$ be a resolution. If X is a completely regular space, then the family $\mathcal{B} = \{p_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha): U_\alpha \text{ open in } X_\alpha, \alpha \in A\}$ is a base for the topology of X .

PROOF. Let U be an open neighborhood of $x \in X$. From the complete regularity of X it follows that there is a function $f: X \rightarrow I = [0, 1]$ such that $f(x) = 0$ and $f(y) = 1$ for $y \in X - U$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the cover of I which consists of the sets: $U_1 = [0, 1/4)$, $U_2 = (1/8, 7/8)$, $U_3 = (3/4, 1]$. Property (R1) implies that there is an $\alpha \in A$ and $f_\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow I$ such that f and $f_\alpha p_\alpha$ are $\mathcal{U}$ -near. Now, consider the sets $U_\alpha =$

$=f_x^{-1}([0, 1/4])$ and $V=p_x^{-1}(U)$. The points $f(x)$ and $f_x p_x(x)$ are both contained in $U_1 \in \mathcal{U}$, but not in $U_2 - U_1$ or in U_3 since $f(x)=0$ and $f, f_x p_x$ are $\mathcal{U}$ -near. This means that $x \in V$. On the other hand for every $x' \in V$ we have $f_x p_x(x') \in [0, 1/4]$ i.e. $V \cap (X - U)$ is empty (since $f(X - U) = \{1\}$). Finally, V is an open set about x of the form $p_x^{-1}(U)$, U_x open in X_x , contained in U . The proof is completed.

1.5. Corollary. Let $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X}$ be a resolution. If X is completely regular, then for every open set U of X we have $U = \bigcup \{p_x^{-1}(U_x) : \alpha \in A\}$, where U_x is the maximal open subset of X_x with respect to the property $p_x^{-1}(U_x) \subseteq U$.

1.6. Corollary. Let $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X}$ be a resolution for a completely regular space X . Then for every closed $F \subseteq X$ and $x \in X - F$ there is a $\alpha \in A$ such that $p_x(x) \in X_x - \text{Cl}(p_x(F))$.

1.7. Lemma. Let p be as in Corollary 1.6. For each closed $F \subseteq X$ we have $F = \bigcap \{p_x^{-1} \text{Cl } p_x(F) : \alpha \in A\}$. Moreover, for each $Y \subseteq X$ the relation $\text{Cl } Y = \bigcap \{p_x^{-1} \text{Cl } p_x(Y) : \alpha \in A\}$ holds.

Each inverse system has the properties established in 1.4.—1.7. Now we describe some properties of a resolution not possessed by each inverse system.

1.8. Lemma. Let $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ be a resolution. If the spaces $X, X_\alpha, \alpha \in A$, are normal, then for every pair of closed disjoint subsets $F_1, F_2 \subseteq X$ there is a $\alpha \in A$ such that $\text{Cl } p_\alpha(F_1) \cap \text{Cl } p_\alpha(F_2) = \emptyset$.

PROOF. Modify the proof of Lemma 1.4.

1.9. Lemma. Let $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ be an inverse system of normal spaces X_α . If $\underline{X}$ is a WR-system for normal spaces, then $\lim \underline{X}$ is normal.

PROOF. From Definition 1.3. it follows that there is a normal space X and a morphism $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X}$ which is a resolution. Now, let F_1, F_2 be disjoint closed subsets of $\lim \underline{X}$. By virtue of Lemma 1.8. for the sets $p^{-1}(F_1)$ and $p^{-1}(F_2)$ contained in X there is a $\alpha \in A$ such that $\text{Cl}(p_\alpha p^{-1}(F_1)) \cap \text{Cl}(p_\alpha p^{-1}(F_2)) = \emptyset$, where $p = \lim p_\alpha$, $\alpha \in A$, is the induced mapping $p: X \rightarrow \lim X$. From the normality of X_α it follows that there exist some open disjoint sets $U_\alpha, V_\alpha \subseteq X_\alpha$ such that $\text{Cl}(p_\alpha p^{-1}(F_1)) \subseteq U_\alpha$ and $\text{Cl}(p_\alpha p^{-1}(F_2)) \subseteq V_\alpha$. Since $p_\alpha p^{-1}(F_i) = f_\alpha(F_i)$, $i=1, 2$, we have $\text{Cl } f_\alpha(F_1) \subseteq U_\alpha$, $\text{Cl } f_\alpha(F_2) \subseteq V_\alpha$. This means that $f_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha) \supseteq F_1$, $f_\alpha^{-1}(V_\alpha) \supseteq F_2$. The normality of $\lim X$ is proved and the proof is completed.

1.10. Remark. It is well-known that the limit of an inverse system of normal spaces need not be normal. The limit is normal if $\underline{X}$ is inverse system of compact (or countably compact, f_{nm} closed and X a sequence) spaces.

1.11. Lemma. Let $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X} = \{X_n, f_{nm}, N\}$ be a resolution such that $p: X \rightarrow \lim \underline{X}$ is onto. If the spaces $X_n, n \in N$, are perfectly normal and X is normal, then X and $\lim \underline{X}$ are perfectly normal.

PROOF. From Lemma 1.9. it follows that $\lim X$ is normal. In order to complete the proof it suffices to prove that each closed subset of X ($\lim \underline{X}$) is a G_δ -set [6:68]. This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 1.7. and the perfect normality of $X_n, n \in N$. The proof is completed.

2. Properties of mappings p_α

The following question is natural: Is the mapping $p_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha$ a closed mapping if the mappings $f_{\alpha\beta}: X_\beta \rightarrow X_\alpha$ are closed?

If $X = \lim \underline{X}$, $p = f$, the answer is negative [28]. That the answer is affirmative in the case of inverse sequence was proved by ZENOR. In contrast to that situation for the morphism f , we now prove

2.1. Theorem. *Let $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ be a resolution such that X, X_α , $\alpha \in A$, are normal and $p_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha$, $\alpha \in A$, are onto mappings. The mappings $p_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha$, $\alpha \in A$, are closed iff the mappings $f_{\alpha\beta}$ are closed.*

PROOF. Let us prove that p_α are closed if $f_{\alpha\beta}$ are closed. Let x_α be a point of X_α and let U be an open set such that $p_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha) \subseteq U \subseteq X$. By virtue of Lemma 1.8. it follows that there exists a $\beta \in A$ such that $p_\beta(p_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha)) \cap \text{Cl } p_\beta(X - U) = \emptyset$. Since A is directed we can assume that $\beta \cong \alpha$. Then $p_\beta p_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha) = f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_\alpha)$. This means that $f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_\alpha) \cap \text{Cl } p_\beta(X - U) = \emptyset$ i.e. $X_\beta - \text{Cl } p_\beta(X - U) = V_\beta \supseteq f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_\alpha)$ and $p_\beta^{-1}(V_\beta) \subseteq U$. Since $f_{\alpha\beta}$ is closed, we have an open set U_α about x_α such that $f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_\alpha) \subseteq f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(U_\alpha) \subseteq V_\beta$. Clearly, $p_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha) = p_\beta^{-1}f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(U_\alpha) \subseteq p_\beta^{-1}(V_\beta) \subseteq U$. The closedness of p_α is proved.

Conversely, let all p_α , $\alpha \in A$, be the closed mappings. From the relation $p_\alpha = f_{\alpha\beta} p_\beta$, $\beta \cong \alpha$ it follows that $p_\alpha p_\beta^{-1}(F_\beta) = f_{\alpha\beta}(F_\beta)$ for each subset F_β of X_β . If F_β is closed and p_α closed, then $f_{\alpha\beta}(F_\beta)$ is closed. This means that $f_{\alpha\beta}$ is closed. The proof is completed.

2.2. Lemma. *If p is as in Theorem 2.1. and the induced mapping $p: X \rightarrow \lim X$ is onto, then p is closed.*

PROOF. Let x be a point of $\lim X$ and U an open neighborhood of the set $p^{-1}(x)$. From 1.8. it follows that there is a $\alpha \in A$ and an open $U_\alpha \supseteq p_\alpha p^{-1}(x)$ such that $p_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha) \subseteq U$. Let $V = f_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha)$. We have $p^{-1}(V) \subseteq U$. The proof is completed.

2.2.1. Corollary. *Under the conditions of Lemma 2.2. the induced mapping $p: X \rightarrow \lim \underline{X}$ is a homeomorphism.*

PROOF. From Lemma 1.8. it follows that p is 1—1. Apply Lemma 2.2. and Proposition 1.4.18. from [6].

2.2.2. Remark. Let us note that $p: X \rightarrow \lim X$ is a homeomorphism if the conditions of Theorem 2.6. (Theorem 2.7.) are satisfied.

2.3. Theorem. *Let $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ be a WR-system for the class of normal spaces such that the projections $f_\alpha: \lim X \rightarrow X_\alpha$, $\alpha \in A$, are onto mappings. Then the projections f_α , $\alpha \in A$, are closed iff the mappings $f_{\alpha\beta}: X_\beta \rightarrow X_\alpha$ are closed.*

PROOF. Let $x_\alpha \in X_\alpha$ and U an open set about $f_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha)$. The set $p^{-1}(U)$ is an open neighborhood of $p^{-1}f_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha) = p_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha)$. By virtue of 2.1. there is an open U_α , $x_\alpha \in U_\alpha$, such that $p_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha) \subseteq p^{-1}(U)$. Clearly, $f_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha) \subseteq U$. This means that f_α is closed.

Conversely, for each closed F_β and $\beta \cong \alpha$ we have the set $F = f_\beta^{-1}(F_\beta)$ and the relation $f_{\alpha\beta} f_\beta(F) = f_\alpha(F) = f_{\alpha\beta}(F_\beta)$. This means that $f_{\alpha\beta}(F_\beta)$ is closed since f_α and f_β are closed. The proof is completed.

2.4. Theorem. Let $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ be a WR-system for the class of normal spaces. If $f_{\alpha\beta}$ are closed, f_α onto mappings and X_α normal, then $\lim \underline{X}$ is normal.

PROOF. Let F_1, F_2 be closed disjoint subsets of $\lim \underline{X}$. If we apply 1.8. and 2.3. on the sets $p^{-1}(F_1)$ and $p^{-1}(F_2)$ we obtain a $\alpha \in A$ such $f_\alpha(R_1) \cap f_\alpha(F_2) = \emptyset$. By virtue of the normality of X_α and closedness of f_α (Theorem 2.3.) it follows that there exist open disjoint sets U_α, V_α such that $f_\alpha(F_1) \subseteq U_\alpha$ and $f_\alpha(F_2) \subseteq V_\alpha$. The set $f_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha)$ and $f_\alpha^{-1}(V_\alpha)$ are open disjoint sets which contain F_1 and F_2 . The proof is completed.

2.5. Theorem. Let $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ be a WR-system for the class of normal spaces. If $f_{\alpha\beta}$ are hereditarily quotient and p_α onto, then $p_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha$ and $f_\alpha: \lim \underline{X} \rightarrow X_\alpha$, $\alpha \in A$, are hereditarily quotient.

PROOF. Let us recall that from the definition of the morphism p it follows that $p_\alpha = f_{\alpha\beta} p_\beta$ and $p_\beta^{-1} f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} = p_\alpha^{-1}$, $\beta \equiv \alpha$. In order to complete the proof it suffices to prove that for each $x_\alpha \in X_\alpha$ and each open neighborhood U of $p_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha)$ ($f_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha)$) we have $x_\alpha \in \text{Int } p_\alpha(U)$ ($x_\alpha \in \text{Int } f_\alpha(U)$). By virtue of 1.8. and directedness of A it follows that there is a $\beta \equiv \alpha$ and an open set $U_\beta \supseteq p_\beta p_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha) = f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_\alpha)$ such that $p_\beta^{-1}(U_\beta) \subseteq U$. Clearly, $U_\beta \subseteq \text{Int } p_\beta(U)$. Since $f_{\alpha\beta}$ is hereditarily quotient, we have $x_\alpha \in \text{Int } f_{\alpha\beta}(U) = \text{Int } p_\alpha(U)$. Thus, $x_\alpha \in \text{Int } p_\alpha(U)$. Similarly we prove that $x_\alpha \in \text{Int } f_\alpha(U)$. The proof is completed.

By the same method of proof one can prove

2.6. Theorem. Let $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ be a resolution with onto mappings $p_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha$, $\alpha \in A$. The mappings p_α are open iff $f_{\alpha\beta}$ are open.

2.7. Remark. Let $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ be an inverse system. If the mappings $f_{\alpha\beta}$ are hereditarily quotient, then the projections $f_\alpha: \lim \underline{X} \rightarrow X_\alpha$, $\alpha \in A$, need not be hereditarily quotient [6:161]. The projections are hereditarily quotient if $\underline{X}$ is an inverse sequence with hereditarily quotient bonding mappings [6:161].

We say that a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is fully closed [8] if for each point $y \in Y$ and each family $\{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$ of open sets of X such that $f^{-1}(y) \subseteq \{U_i: 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ the set $\{y\} \cup (\cup \{f^*(U_i): 1 \leq i \leq k\})$ is open.

Let $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$. If $f_{\alpha\beta}$ are fully closed, then the projections $f_\alpha: \lim \underline{X} \rightarrow X_\alpha$, $\alpha \in A$, need not be fully closed. If $f_{\alpha\beta}$ are perfect fully closed, then f_α are perfect fully closed [8]. By virtue of theorems on the non-emptiness of the inverse limit from [17] one can prove that if $\underline{X} = \{X_n, f_{nm}, N\}$ is an inverse sequence of countably compact spaces X_n and fully closed mappings f_{nm} , then $f_n: \lim \underline{X} \rightarrow X_n$, $n \in N$, are fully closed.

In contrast to this, we now prove

2.8. Theorem. Let $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ be an inverse system of normal spaces and $p: X \rightarrow X$ a resolution of a normal space X . Then the $p_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha$, $\alpha \in A$, are fully closed iff $f_{\alpha\beta}$ are fully closed.

PROOF. Necessity. See [8:113, Lemma 2.].

Sufficiency. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$ be a family of open sets in X such that $p_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha) \subseteq \cup \mathcal{U}$. The cover $\mathcal{V} = \{U_1, \dots, U_k, X - p_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha)\}$ is a normal cover of X since X is normal [6:379]. From (B2) it follows that there is a $\beta \equiv \alpha$ and a normal covering $\mathcal{V}_\beta$ of X_β such that $p_\beta^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_\beta)$ refines $\mathcal{V}$. We can assume that $\beta \equiv \alpha$ since A is directed. Let $U_{i\alpha}$ be the union of all $V_\beta \in \mathcal{V}_\beta$ such that $V_\beta \cap f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ and $p_\beta^{-1}(V_\beta) \subseteq$

$\subseteq U_i$. The family $\{U_{1x}, \dots, U_{kx}\}$ covers $f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_x)$. This means that the family $\{p_\beta^\#(U_1), \dots, p_\beta^\#(U_k)\}$ covers $f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_x)$. From the fact that $f_{\alpha\beta}$ is fully closed it follows that $f_{\alpha\beta}$ is closed and that each $p_\beta^\#(U_i)$ is open (apply Theorem 1.4.13. of [6] and Theorem 2.1.). This means that $\{x_x\} \cup f_{\alpha\beta}^\# p_\beta^\#(U_1) \cup \dots \cup f_{\alpha\beta}^\# p_\beta^\#(U_k)$ is open. Finally, the set $\{x_x\} \cup p_\alpha^\#(U_1) \cup \dots \cup p_\alpha^\#(U_k)$ is open since $p_\alpha^\#(U) - f_{\alpha\beta}^\# p_\beta^\#(U)$. The proof is completed.

2.9. Lemma. Let $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ be a resolution of a normal space X . If $|f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_x)| \leq k$ for each α, β and x_x , then $|p_\alpha^{-1}(x_x)| \leq k$ for each α and x_x .

PROOF. Suppose that for some $x_x \in X_\alpha$ we have $|p_\alpha^{-1}(x_x)| \geq k+1$. Let $p_\alpha^{-1}(x_x) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots\}$. For each pair (x_i, x_j) , $i \neq j$, we have (from 1.8.) some $\alpha_{ij} \in A$ such that $p_\beta(x_i) \neq p_\beta(x_j)$, $\beta \equiv \alpha_{ij}$. Let $\alpha \equiv \alpha_{ij}$: $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k, k+1\}$. Clearly, $p_\alpha(x_i) \neq p_\alpha(x_j)$ for each pair (i, j) . The proof is completed.

We close this Section with the following remark.

2.10. Remark. By a similar method of proof one can prove that if in 2.9. $|f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_x)| \leq k$, then $|\text{Fr } p_\alpha^{-1}(x_x)| \leq k$.

If X is σ -directed, then $|\text{Fr } f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_x)| < \aleph_0$ ($|f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_x)| < \aleph_0$) implies $|\text{Fr } p_\alpha^{-1}(x_x)| < \aleph_0$ ($|p_\alpha^{-1}(x_x)| < \aleph_0$).

3. Resolutions and weak infiniteness

We say that a space X is A -weakly infinite-dimensional [2] if for every sequence $\{(A_i, B_i): i \in N\}$ of a pairs of disjoint closed subsets of X there exist a partition C_i between A_i and B_i such that $\bigcap \{C_i: i \in N\} = \emptyset$. If $C_1 \cap \dots \cap C_k \neq \emptyset$ for some $k \in N$, then we say that X is S -weakly infinite-dimensional.

A space X is said to be A -strongly (S -strongly) infinite-dimensional if X is not A -weakly (S -weakly) infinite-dimensional.

A space X is called an infinite-dimensional Cantor-manifold [2] if X is compact and if $X - F$ is connected for each closed A -weakly infinite-dimensional subspace $F \subseteq X$.

We start with the following theorem.

3.1. Theorem. Let $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ be a resolution of a normal spaces X_α , $\alpha \in A$, such that $\underline{X}$ is σ -directed, X normal and $p_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha$, $\alpha \in A$, $p: X \rightarrow \lim \underline{X}$ are onto mapping. If the spaces X_α , $\alpha \in A$, are A -weakly infinite-dimensional, then $\underline{X}$ and $\lim \underline{X}$ are A -weakly infinite-dimensional.

PROOF. Let $\{(A_i, B_i): i \in N\}$ be any sequence of a pairs of disjoint closed subsets of X . From Lemma 1.8. it follows that for each pair (A_i, B_i) there is an $\alpha_i \in A$ such that $\text{Cl } p_{\alpha_i}(A_i) \cap \text{Cl } p_{\alpha_i}(B_i) = \emptyset$. Since X is σ -directed we have an $\alpha \in A$ such that $\alpha \equiv \alpha_i$, $i \in N$. This means that $\text{Cl } p_\alpha(A_i) \cap \text{Cl } p_\alpha(B_i) = \emptyset$ for each $i \in N$. From A -weak infinite-dimensionality of X_α it follows that there exist the partitions C_i , $i \in N$, between $\text{Cl } p_\alpha(A_i)$ and $\text{Cl } p_\alpha(B_i)$ such that $\bigcap \{C_i: i \in N\} = \emptyset$. Since $p_\alpha^{-1}(C_i)$, $i \in N$, are partitions between A_i and B_i , the proof is completed.

If $\{(A_i, B_i): i \in N\}$ is a sequence of a pairs of disjoint closed subsets of $\lim \underline{X}$, then we repeat the preceding part of the proof for the sets $p^{-1}(A_i)$ and $p^{-1}(B_i)$, $i \in N$.

We say that the inverse system $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ is an S -system if for each pair (F_1, F_2) of disjoint closed subsets of $\lim \underline{X}$ there exists an $\alpha \in A$ such that $\text{Cl } f_\alpha(F_1) \cap \text{Cl } f_\alpha(F_2) = \emptyset$. The system $\underline{X}$ is factorizable (or f -system) [31] if for each real-valued function $f: \lim \underline{X} \rightarrow I = [0, 1]$ there is an $\alpha \in A$ and a function $g_\alpha: X \rightarrow I$ such that $f = g_\alpha f_\alpha$.

3.2. Remark. Each inverse system of compact spaces is a S -system. Each inverse sequence of countably compact spaces an S -system. If $\underline{X}$ is a σ -directed inverse system of compact spaces, then $\underline{X}$ is an f -system [31:28]. Each σ -directed inverse system $\underline{X}$ with Lindelöf is an f -system.

3.3. Lemma. Let $\underline{X}$ be an f -system. If $\lim \underline{X}$ is normal, then $\underline{X}$ is an S -system.

PROOF. Trivial.

3.4. Lemma. If $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ is a σ -directed S -system of A -weak infinite-dimensional spaces X_α , then $\lim \underline{X}$ is A -weak infinite-dimensional.

PROOF is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1.

3.5. Corollary. If $\underline{X}$ is a σ -directed inverse system of compact weak infinite-dimensional spaces, then $\lim \underline{X}$ is weak infinite-dimensional.

3.6. Corollary. Let $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ be a σ -directed inverse system with Lindelöf limit. If $X_\alpha, \alpha \in A$, are A -weak infinite-dimensional spaces, then $\lim \underline{X}$ is A -weak infinite-dimensional.

If the mappings $f_{\alpha\beta}$ are fully closed, then we have

3.7. Theorem. Let a morphism $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ be a resolution in the category pro-Cpt such that the mappings $f_{\alpha\beta}$ are fully closed and $\dim f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_\alpha) \leq k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If the spaces $X_\alpha, \alpha \in A$, are weakly infinite-dimensional, then X and $\lim \underline{X}$ are weakly infinite-dimensional.

PROOF. First, note that X and $\lim \underline{X}$ are homeomorphic [22:74]. Moreover, from [6:482] it follows that $f_\alpha: \lim \underline{X} \rightarrow X_\alpha, \alpha \in A$, are weakly infinite-dimensional. Since $f_\alpha, \alpha \in A$, are fully (Theorem 2.8.) it follows from [8: Theorem 9₀] that $\lim \underline{X}$ is weakly infinite-dimensional.

By the same method of proof we have

3.8. Theorem. Let $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ be a resolution such that $X, X_\alpha, \alpha \in A$, are normal and $p_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha, \alpha \in A, p: X \rightarrow \lim \underline{X}$ are onto. If A is σ -directed and $X_\alpha, \alpha \in A$, are S -weakly infinite-dimensional, then X and $\lim \underline{X}$ are S -weakly infinite-dimensional.

If $\dim X_\alpha \leq n$ for each $\alpha \in A$, then we have

3.9. Theorem. Let $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ be a resolution of normal spaces X and $X_\alpha, \alpha \in A$, such that $p: X \rightarrow \lim \underline{X}$ is onto. If $\dim X_\alpha \leq n, \alpha \in A$, then $\dim X \leq n$ and $\dim (\lim \underline{X}) \leq n$.

PROOF. A straightforward modification of the proof of Theorem 3.1. using Theorem on partitions [6:488].

3.10. Remark. Let us note that an alternate proof of the last Theorem can be found from [18]. In this paper it is proved that Theorem 3.9. holds for $\dim_f X$ i.e. for a covering dimension defined using functionally open covers of a Tychonoff space X [6:472].

Now we prove a partial converse of Theorem 3.1.

3.11. Theorem. Let $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ be a resolution in the category *pro-Cpt* of a compact spaces and a continuous onto mappings such that A is σ -directed and $|\text{Fr } f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_\alpha)| < \aleph_0$ for each $\alpha, \beta \in A$ and $x_\alpha \in X_\alpha$. A space X and $\lim \underline{X}$ are weakly infinite-dimensional iff the spaces X_α , $\alpha \in A$, are weakly infinite-dimensional.

PROOF. Let us recall that X and $\lim \underline{X}$ are homeomorphic spaces [22:74]. By 2.10. we have that $|\text{Fr } f_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha)| < \aleph_0$. Suppose that $\lim \underline{X}$ is weakly infinite-dimensional. In order to prove Theorem it suffices to prove that X_α , $\alpha \in A$, are weakly infinite-dimensional. If we suppose that X_α , for some $\alpha \in A$, is strongly infinite-dimensional, then from Skljarenko's theorem [1:23] it follows that there exists a point $x_\alpha \in X_\alpha$ such that $|\text{Fr } f_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha)| \geq \aleph_0$. This is in a contradiction with $|\text{Fr } f_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha)| < \aleph_0$. The proof is completed.

3.12. Remark. Theorem 3.11. holds for inverse systems of compact spaces since such systems are a resolutions [22:74].

3.13. Remark. If $f_\alpha: \lim \underline{X} \rightarrow X_\alpha$ in Theorem 3.11. is not onto mapping, then we infer that $f_\alpha(\lim \underline{X})$ is weakly infinite-dimensional.

Now we consider the inverse systems of infinite-dimensional Cantor-manifolds.

3.14. Theorem. Let $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X}$ be as in Theorem 3.11. If the spaces X_α , $\alpha \in A$, are infinite-dimensional Cantor-manifolds and if the mappings $f_{\alpha\beta}$ are monotone, then X and $\lim \underline{X}$ are infinite-dimensional Cantor-manifolds.

PROOF. Let F be a weakly infinite-dimensional subspace of $\lim \underline{X} \approx X$. By 3.13. it follows that $f_\alpha(F)$ is weakly infinite-dimensional for each $\alpha \in A$. This means that $Y_\alpha = X_\alpha - f_\alpha(F)$ is connected since X_α is infinite-dimensional Cantor-manifold. Since f_α , $\alpha \in A$, are monotone [6:436] we infer that each $f_\alpha^{-1}(Y_\alpha)$ is connected. Clearly, $\lim \underline{X} - F = \bigcup \{f_\alpha^{-1}(Y_\alpha): \alpha \in A\}$. Since the set $\bigcup \{f_\alpha^{-1}(Y_\alpha): \alpha \in A\}$ is connected [6:435] we infer that $\lim \underline{X} - F$ is connected. The proof is completed.

3.15. Remark. The assumption that $\underline{X}$ is σ -directed in the above Theorem (and in Theorem 3.11.) can be omitted if $|\text{Fr } f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_\alpha)| \leq k$ for some fixed integer k and each α, β and x_α .

3.15. Theorem. Let $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X}$ be as in Theorem 3.11. If the spaces X_α , $\alpha \in A$, are infinite-dimensional Cantor-manifolds and if the mappings f_α are open onto mappings, then X and $\lim \underline{X}$ are infinite-dimensional Cantor-manifolds.

PROOF. Let F be a weakly infinite-dimensional subspace of $\lim \underline{X}$. For each $\alpha \in A$ a subspace $f_\alpha(F) \subseteq X_\alpha$ is weakly infinite-dimensional [27: Theorem 1.]. This means that $Y_\alpha = X_\alpha - f_\alpha(F)$ is connected. A subspace $f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(f_\alpha(F)) \subseteq X_\beta$, $\alpha \leq \beta$, is also weakly infinite-dimensional since $f_{\alpha\beta}/f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(f_\alpha(F))$ is open [27: Theorem 1.]. Thus $Y_{\alpha\beta} = X_\beta - f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(f_\alpha(F))$ is connected for each $\beta \geq \alpha$. The inverse system $Y_\alpha = \{Y_{\alpha\beta}, f_{\beta\gamma}/Y_{\beta\gamma}, \alpha \leq \beta \leq \gamma\}$ has open and closed bonding mappings [6: 95]. From the next Lemma

it follows that $\lim Y_\alpha = f_\alpha^{-1}(Y_\alpha)$ is connected. From [6: 434] it follows that $\bigcup \{f_\alpha^{-1}(Y_\alpha) : \alpha \in A\}$ is connected. The proof is completed.

3.15. Lemma. *Let $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ be an inverse system with open and closed mappings $f_\alpha: \lim \underline{X} \rightarrow X_\alpha$, $\alpha \in A$. If the spaces X_α , $\alpha \in A$, are connected, then $\lim \underline{X}$ is connected.*

PROOF. Suppose that $\lim \underline{X}$ is not connected. This means that there is a nonempty open and closed subset F of $\lim \underline{X}$ such that $\lim X - F$ is also non-empty. For each $\alpha \in A$ a set $Y_\alpha = f_\alpha(F)$ is open and closed. From the connectedness of X_α it follows that $f_\alpha(F) = X_\alpha$. Since $F = \lim \{f_\alpha(F), f_{\alpha\beta}/f_\beta(F), A\}$ [6:137] we have $F = \lim X$. This is in contradiction with $\lim X - F \neq \emptyset$. The proof is completed.

If $f_{\alpha\beta}$ are open mappings with finite fibers, then we have

3.16. Theorem. *Let $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ be a σ -directed resolution such that X, X_α , $\alpha \in A$, are paracompact and the mappings $f_{\alpha\beta}$ open onto mappings with the property $|\text{Fr } f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_\alpha)| < \aleph_0$. The spaces X and $\lim \underline{X}$ are A -weakly infinite-dimensional iff the spaces X_α , $\alpha \in A$, are A -weakly infinite-dimensional.*

PROOF. If the spaces X_α , $\alpha \in A$, are A -weakly infinite-dimensional, then X and $\lim \underline{X}$ are A -weakly infinite-dimensional (Theorem 3.1.). In order to complete the proof suppose that $\lim \underline{X}$ is A -weakly infinite-dimensional. From [22: 83] it follows that X is A -weakly infinite-dimensional since $X \approx \lim \underline{X}$. Moreover, the projections $f_\alpha: \lim \underline{X} \rightarrow X_\alpha$, $\alpha \in A$, are onto since $1 \leq |\text{Fr } f_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha)| < \aleph_0$ (Remark 2.10.). This means that $f_{\alpha\beta}$ are open mappings (Theorem 2.6.). By [27: Theorem 1.] we complete the proof.

By the same method of proof, we have

3.17. Theorem. *Let $p: X \rightarrow \underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ be a resolution such that X, X_α , $\alpha \in A$, are paracompact spaces and $f_{\alpha\beta}$ are open mappings with $1 \leq |f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_\alpha)| \leq k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The spaces X and $\lim \underline{X}$ are A -weakly infinite-dimensional iff the spaces X_α , $\alpha \in A$, are A -weakly infinite-dimensional.*

We close this Section with the following corollary.

3.18. Corollary. *Let $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$ be an inverse system of paracompact spaces X_α , $\alpha \in A$, and open perfect mappings $f_{\alpha\beta}$ with the property $1 \leq |f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_\alpha)| \leq k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The spaces $\lim \underline{X}$ is A -weakly (A -strongly) infinite-dimensional iff the spaces X_α , $\alpha \in A$, are A -weakly (A -strongly) infinite-dimensional.*

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to the referee for his help and valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] P. S. ALEKSANDROV, O nekotoryh osnovnyh napravlenijah v obščej topologii, *UMN* 19 (1964), 3—46.
- [2] P. S. ALEKSANDROV, V. A. PASYNKOV, Vvedenije v teoriju razmernosti, *Nauka, Moskva*, 1973.
- [3] A. V. ARHANGEL'SKIJ, Otobraženija otkrytie i bliskie k otkrytym. Svjazi meždu prostranstvami. *Trudy Mosk. mat. obšč.* 15 (1966), 181—223.
- [4] A. V. ARHANGEL'SKIJ, V. I. PONOMAREV, Osnovy obščej topologii v zadačah i upražnjenijah, *Nauka, Moskva*, 1974.

- [5] V. H. BALADZE, O funkcijah razmernostnogo tipa, *Trudy Tbilis. mat. univ.* **68** (1982), 5—41.
- [6] R. ENGELKING, General Topology, *PWN, Warszawa*, 1977.
- [7] R. ENGELKING, Dimension Theory, *PWN, Warszawa*, 1978.
- [8] V. V. FEDORČUK, Beskonečnomernye bikompakti, *Izv. AN SSSR. Ser. mat.* **42** (1978), 1162—1178.
- [9] V. V. FEDORČUK, Metod razvertivaemyh spektrov i vpol'ne zamknutyh otobraženij, *UMN* **35** (1980), 112—121.
- [10] G. R. GORDH and S. MARDEŠIĆ, Characterizing local connectedness in inverse limits, *Pacific J. Math.* **58** (1975), 411—417.
- [11] HISAO KATO, A note on infinite-dimension under refinable maps, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **88** (1983), 177—180.
- [12] J. E. JAYNE, C. A. ROGERS, Functions fermées en partie, *C. r. Acad. Sci.* **13** (1980), 667—670.
- [13] J. E. KEESLING, Open and closed mappings and compactification, *Fund. math.* **65** (1969), 73—81.
- [14] KOYAMA AKIRA, Refinable maps in dimension theory, *Topol. and Appl.* **17** (1984), 247—255.
- [15] I. LONČAR, A note on resolutions of spaces, (*to appear*).
- [16] I. LONČAR, Lindelöfov broj i inverzni sistemi, *Zbornik radova Fakulteta organizacije i informatike Varaždin* **7** (1983), 115—123.
- [17] I. LONČAR, Inverse limits for spaces which generalize compact spaces, *Glasnik mat.* **17** (37) (1982), 155—173.
- [18] I. LONČAR, Resolutions and dimension (*to appear*).
- [19] S. MARDEŠIĆ, Lokalno povezani, uredjeni i lančasti kontinuumi, *Radovi JAZU, Zagreb*, 1960, 147—166.
- [20] S. MARDEŠIĆ, Approximate polyhedra, resolutions of maps and shape fibrations, *Fund. Math.* **114** (1981), 53—78.
- [21] S. MARDEŠIĆ, On resolutions for pairs of spaces, *Tsukuba J. Math.* **8** (1984), 81—93.
- [22] S. MARDEŠIĆ, J. SEGAL, Shape theory (the inverse system approach), *North-Holland Publ. Co.* 1981.
- [23] K. NAGAMI, Countable paracompactness of inverse limits and products, *Fund. math.* **73** (1972), 261—270.
- [24] K. NAGAMI, Mappings of finite order and dimension theory, *Japan J. math.*, **30** (1950), 25—64.
- [25] J. NAGATA, Modern dimension theory, *Amsterdam*, 1965.
- [26] V. A. PASYNKOV, Faktorizacionnye teoremy v teoriji razmernosti, *UMN* **36** (1981), 147—175.
- [27] L. T. POLKOVSKI, Open and closed mappings and infinite dimension, *Gen. Topol. and Relat. Mod. Anal. and Algebra* **5**, Berlin 1983, 561—564.
- [28] E. POL, Limiting mappings and projections of inverse systems *Fund. Math.* **80** (1973), 81—97.
- [29] R. POL, A weakly infinite-dimensional compactum which is not countable-dimensional, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **82** (1981), 634—636.
- [30] I. S. RUBANOV, Harakterizacija šejpov bikompaktov s pomoščju podobnyh spektrov, *DAN SSSR* **4** (1983), 584—588.
- [31] E. V. ŠČEPIN, Funktory i nesčetnye stepeni kompaktov, *UMN* **36** (1981), 3—62.
- [32] M. G. TKAČENKO, Capi i kardinaly, *DAN SSSR* **239** (1978), 546—549.
- [33] VÄISÄLÄ JUSSI, Local topological properties of countable mappings, *Duke Math. J.* **41** (1974), 541—546.
- [34] YAJIMA YUKINOBU, On the dimension of limits of inverse systems, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **91** (1984), 461—466.

(Received February 17, 1987)