

On locally monomial functions

By ATTILA GILÁNYI (Debrecen)

Abstract. In the present paper the equation

$$\Delta_y^n f(x) - n!f(y) = o(y^\alpha) \quad ((x, y) \rightarrow (0, 0), x \leq 0 \leq x + ny),$$

for real functions, where n is a natural number and α a non-negative real number, is considered.

1. Introduction

The subject of this paper is related to the study of real polynomial and monomial functions with the aid of the Dinghas interval-derivative and the operator $\tilde{D}$ defined below. In the sequel, in the Introduction we assume that f is a real function.

For real numbers x, y write

$$\Delta_y^1 f(x) = f(x + y) - f(x)$$

and, for $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$,

$$\Delta_y^{n+1} f(x) = \Delta_y^1(\Delta_y^n f(x)).$$

For a non-negative integer n we say that f is a polynomial function of degree n if $\Delta_y^{n+1} f(x) = 0$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$; f is called a monomial function

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 39B22; Secondary 26A24.

Key words and phrases: monomial function, polynomial function, stability, interval-derivative.

Research supported by the Hungarian National Science Foundation no. OTKA T-016846.

of degree $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if $\Delta_y^n f(x) = n!f(y)$, $(x, y \in \mathbb{R})$. A monomial function of degree 1 is considered as an additive function, as well. (For polynomial and monomial functions we refer to [10].)

If, for a positive integer n and for a real number ξ , the limit

$$D^n f(\xi) := \lim_{\substack{(x,y) \rightarrow (\xi,0) \\ x \leq \xi \leq x+ny}} \frac{\Delta_y^n f(x)}{y^n}$$

exists, then $D^n f(\xi)$ is said to be the n^{th} Dinghas interval-derivative of f at ξ (cf. [1]). We consider, furthermore, the operator

$$\tilde{D}^n f(\xi) := \lim_{\substack{(x,y) \rightarrow (\xi,0) \\ x \leq \xi \leq x+ny}} \frac{\Delta_y^n f(x) - n!f(y)}{y^n},$$

as far as it exists.

Polynomial and monomial functions can be characterized by the operators above: A. SIMON and P. VOLKMANN proved in [6] that for a non-negative integer n , a function is a polynomial function of degree n if and only if its $(n+1)^{\text{th}}$ Dinghas derivative is zero at all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. It was shown in [2] that for a positive integer n , a function f is a monomial function of degree n if and only if $\tilde{D}^n f(\xi) = 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. It was also proved in [2] that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the property $\tilde{D}^n f(0) = 0$ implies $f(l y) - l^n f(y) = o(y^n)$, $(y \searrow 0)$ for any integer l .

The investigation of the local properties of the operators D and $\tilde{D}$ are motivated by the result mentioned above. The following two problems in this field are due to P. Volkmann: given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, does the property $D^{n+1} f(0) = 0$ imply that there exists a polynomial function $p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of degree n such that $f(z) - p(z) = o(z^n)$, $(z \rightarrow 0)$; and similarly does $\tilde{D}^n f(0) = 0$ imply that there exists a monomial function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of degree n such that $f(z) - g(z) = o(z^n)$, $(z \rightarrow 0)$? A. SIMON and P. VOLKMANN in [7] gave a positive answer to the first question in the case when $n = 1$. Furthermore, they proved the following more general theorem: for an arbitrary non-negative real number $\alpha \neq 1$ if

$$\lim_{\substack{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0) \\ x \leq 0 \leq x+2y}} \frac{\Delta_y^2 f(x)}{y^\alpha} = 0,$$

then there exists a polynomial function $p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of degree 1 such that $f(z) - p(z) = o(|z|^\alpha)$, $(z \rightarrow 0)$.

Surprisingly, the answer to the question related to the operator $\tilde{D}^n f(0)$ is negative. A counterexample is given by $F : (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $F(x) = x \ln(-\ln|x|)$ for $x \neq 0$, $F(0) = 0$. (See [3] and [7].) In the present paper the relation

$$\lim_{\substack{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0) \\ x \leq 0 \leq x+ny}} \frac{\Delta_y^n f(x) - n!f(y)}{y^\alpha} = 0,$$

or in other words

$$(1) \quad \Delta_y^n f(x) - n!f(y) = o(y^\alpha) \quad ((x, y) \rightarrow (0, 0), \ x \leq 0 \leq x + ny)$$

is studied (it is strongly related to some results in [7]), and a function f satisfying (1) is called a locally monomial function of degree n with order α , at 0.

In the second part of the paper we show that if, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha > n$, a function f is a locally monomial function of degree n with order α , at 0, then there exists a monomial function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of degree n such that

$$(2) \quad f(x) - g(x) = o(|x|^\alpha) \quad (x \rightarrow 0).$$

For some similar results on monomial functions of degree 1 and 2 we refer to [8] and [9].

In the third part of the paper we prove that if f is a locally monomial function of degree 1 with order α (i.e. a locally additive function with order α), at 0, then even for $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ there exists a monomial function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of degree 1 (i.e. an additive function), such that (2) holds.

The results in the paper lead to the conjecture that for an arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $\alpha \neq n$ if the function f satisfies (1) then there exists a monomial function of degree n with property (2), but it may occur that exactly when $\alpha = n$ (i.e. in the case of the operator $\tilde{D}$) there exists no such monomial function.

2. Locally monomial functions of degree n with order $\alpha > n$

Lemma 1. For $n, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda \geq 2$ put

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(0)} & \cdots & \alpha_0^{(\lambda n)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{(\lambda-1)n}^{(0)} & \cdots & \alpha_{(\lambda-1)n}^{(\lambda n)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where for $i = 0, \dots, (\lambda-1)n$ and $k = -i, \dots, \lambda n - i$

$$\alpha_i^{(i+k)} = \begin{cases} (-1)^k \binom{n}{n-k}, & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let a_i denote the i^{th} row in A , ($i = 0, \dots, (\lambda-1)n$). Furthermore, let $b = (\beta^{(0)} \dots \beta^{(\lambda n)})$, where

$$\beta^{(k)} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\frac{k}{\lambda}} \binom{n}{n-\frac{k}{\lambda}}, & \text{if } \lambda \mid k \\ 0, & \text{if } \lambda \nmid k \end{cases}$$

for $k = 0, \dots, \lambda n$.

There are positive integers $K_0, \dots, K_{(\lambda-1)n}$ such that

$$(3) \quad K_0 a_0 + \dots + K_{(\lambda-1)n} a_{(\lambda-1)n} = b,$$

and

$$(4) \quad K_0 + \dots + K_{(\lambda-1)n} = \lambda^n.$$

PROOF. It is trivial that the lemma holds for $n = 1$, $\lambda \geq 2$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ with $K_0 = \dots = K_{\lambda-1} = 1$.

For $n, \lambda \geq 2$, $n, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ the existence of positive integers satisfying (3) was proved in Lemma 2 in [3]. The numbers $K_0, \dots, K_{(\lambda-1)n}$ satisfy

$$(1 + x + \dots + x^{\lambda-1})^n = K_0 + K_1 x^1 + \dots + K_{(\lambda-1)n} x^{(\lambda-1)n} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}),$$

therefore, substituting $x = 1$ we get (4).

Theorem 1. *Let $\alpha \geq 0$ be a real, n be an arbitrary natural number and f be a real function with property (1). Then we have*

$$(5) \quad f(lz) - l^n f(z) = o(|z|^\alpha) \quad (z \rightarrow 0).$$

for any integer l .

PROOF. In the special case $\alpha = n$ Theorem 1 was proved in [2]. The proof, given here, is similar, with some technical simplifications.

Let $\alpha \geq 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be given numbers and let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy (1). We show relation (5) in two steps.

I. At first we prove, by induction on l , that (1) implies

$$(6) \quad f(lz) = l^n f(z) + o(z^\alpha) \quad (z \searrow 0)$$

for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

The case $l = 1$ is trivial.

Let $l > 1$ be an arbitrary integer and suppose that

$$(7) \quad f(jy) - j^n f(y) = o(y^\alpha) \quad (y \searrow 0)$$

has already been proved for $j = 1, \dots, l-1$.

We define the real functions $\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_{(l-1)n}$ and ε as follows:

$$(8) \quad \varepsilon_i(z) := \Delta_z^n f(-iz) - n! f(z) \quad (i = 0, \dots, (l-1)n; z \in \mathbb{R})$$

and

$$(9) \quad \varepsilon(z) := \Delta_{lz}^n f(-(l-1)nz) - n! f(lz) \quad (z \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Using the notation of Lemma 1 for $\lambda = l$ and by the well-known formula

$$\Delta_y^n f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} f(x + ky) \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{R})$$

we get that these equations can be written as

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \varepsilon_i(z) &= \sum_{k=0}^{ln} \alpha_i^{(k)} f((n-k)z) - n! f(z) \\ &\quad (i = 0, \dots, (l-1)n; z \in \mathbb{R}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\varepsilon(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{ln} \beta^{(k)} f((n-k)z) - n!f(lz) \quad (z \in \mathbb{R}).$$

By Lemma 1 there exist positive integers $K_1, \dots, K_{(l-1)n}$ for which

$$K_0 a_0 + \dots + K_{(l-1)n} a_{(l-1)n} - b = 0$$

and

$$K_0 + \dots + K_{(l-1)n} = l^n.$$

Therefore, by the equations in (8) and (9) we obtain

$$n! \left(f(lz) - l^n f(z) \right) = K_0 \varepsilon_0(z) + \dots + K_{(l-1)n} \varepsilon_{(l-1)n}(z) - \varepsilon(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{R}).$$

To prove (6), we show that for $k = 0, \dots, (l-1)n$

$$(12) \quad \varepsilon_k(z) = o(z^\alpha) \quad (z \searrow 0)$$

and

$$(13) \quad \varepsilon(z) = o(z^\alpha) \quad (z \searrow 0).$$

If we choose $x = -(l-1)nz$ and $y = lz$ for $z > 0$, $z \in \mathbb{R}$, then $x \leq 0 \leq x + ny$, so (1) and (9) imply (13).

If we replace (x, y) by

$$(0, z), (-z, z), \dots, (-nz, z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{R}, z > 0),$$

then $x \leq 0 \leq x + ny$, therefore, from (1) and (8) we have (12) for $k = 0, \dots, n$. In the case $l = 2$ property (12) is already proved. If $l > 2$ for $k = 0, \dots, (l-1)n$ we prove it by induction on k . The proof is done for $0 \leq k \leq n$. Let $n < k \leq (l-1)n$ be an arbitrary fixed integer and suppose that

$$(14) \quad \varepsilon_r(z) = o(z^\alpha) \quad (z \searrow 0)$$

is true for $r = 0, \dots, k-1$. Set

$$\tilde{l} = \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{n} \right\rfloor + 1,$$

where $[]$ denotes the integer part of a real number and we define $\tilde{\varepsilon} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$(15) \quad \tilde{\varepsilon}(z) := \Delta_{\tilde{l}z}^n f(-kz) - n! f(\tilde{l}z).$$

Since

$$k - n \leq n \left[\frac{k-1}{n} \right]$$

for $x = -kz$ and $y = \tilde{l}z$, we have $x \leq 0$ and

$$x + ny = -kz + n \left(\left[\frac{k-1}{n} \right] + 1 \right) z \geq 0,$$

hence (1) implies

$$(16) \quad \tilde{\varepsilon}(z) = o(z^\alpha) \quad (z \searrow 0).$$

Let $c = (\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_{n+k})$ be a vector with components $\gamma_0 = \dots = \gamma_{n+k-\tilde{l}n-1} = 0$ and write

$$\gamma_{n+k-\tilde{l}n+j} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\frac{j}{\tilde{l}}} \binom{n}{n-\frac{j}{\tilde{l}}}, & \text{if } \tilde{l} \mid j \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $j = 0, \dots, \tilde{l}n$. The simple inequality

$$\left[\frac{k-1}{n} \right] n \leq k-1$$

yields

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} n + k - \tilde{l}n &= n + k - \left[\frac{k-1}{n} \right] n - n \\ &\geq k - (k-1) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and then the components $\gamma_{n+k-\tilde{l}n}, \gamma_{n+k-\tilde{l}n+1}, \dots, \gamma_{n+k}$ of the vector c , defined above, exist.

It is easy to see, like in (10) and (11), that (15) can be written in the following form:

$$(18) \quad \tilde{\varepsilon}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n+k} \gamma_j f((n-j)z) - n!f(\tilde{l}z).$$

Let us omit the components $\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_{n+k-\tilde{l}n-1}$ of the vector c and denote the resulting vector by $\tilde{b} = (\tilde{\beta}^{(0)} \dots \tilde{\beta}^{(\tilde{l}n)})$. It can be seen from the definition of c that $\tilde{b}$ equals $b = (\beta^{(0)} \dots \beta^{(\tilde{l}n)})$ which was given for n and $\lambda = \tilde{l}$ in Lemma 2.2. It is also easy to see, since we have cancelled only zeroes from c , that (18) can be written as follows:

$$(19) \quad \tilde{\varepsilon}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\tilde{l}n} \tilde{\beta}^{(j)} f(n - (n+k-\tilde{l}n+j)z) - n!f(\tilde{l}z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Let us now consider the functions $\varepsilon_{n+k-\tilde{l}n}, \varepsilon_{n+k-\tilde{l}n+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_k$ and the corresponding coefficient vectors $a_{n+k-\tilde{l}n}, a_{n+k-\tilde{l}n+1}, \dots, a_k$ from (10). It follows from the definition of these vectors (see Lemma 1) that for their components $i = n+k-\tilde{l}n, n+k-\tilde{l}n+1, \dots, k$

$$\alpha_i^{(0)} = \alpha_i^{(1)} = \dots = \alpha_i^{n+k-\tilde{l}n-2} = \alpha_i^{n+k-\tilde{l}n-1} = 0.$$

If we omit these components from these vectors and denote them, in the order above, by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}_0 &= (\tilde{\alpha}_0^{(0)} \dots \tilde{\alpha}_0^{(\tilde{l}n)}) \\ &\vdots \\ \tilde{a}_{(\tilde{l}-1)n} &= (\tilde{\alpha}_{(\tilde{l}-1)n}^{(0)} \dots \tilde{\alpha}_{(\tilde{l}-1)n}^{(\tilde{l}n)}), \end{aligned}$$

then we can write the functions $\varepsilon_{n+k-\tilde{l}n}, \varepsilon_{n+k-\tilde{l}n+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_k$ in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{n+k-\tilde{l}n}(z) &= \sum_{s=0}^{\tilde{l}n} \tilde{\alpha}_0^{(s)} f(n - (n+k-\tilde{l}n+s)z) - n!f(z) \\ (20) \quad &\vdots \\ \varepsilon_k(z) &= \sum_{s=0}^{\tilde{l}n} \tilde{\alpha}_{(\tilde{l}-1)n}^{(s)} f(n - (n+k-\tilde{l}n+s)z) - n!f(z). \end{aligned}$$

One can see that $\tilde{a}_0, \dots, \tilde{a}_{(\tilde{l}-1)n}$ are equal to the vectors

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= (\alpha_0^{(0)} \dots \alpha_0^{(\tilde{l}n)}) \\ &\vdots \\ a_{(\tilde{l}-1)n} &= (\alpha_{(\tilde{l}-1)n}^{(0)} \dots \alpha_{(\tilde{l}-1)n}^{(\tilde{l}n)}), \end{aligned}$$

defined for n and $\lambda = \tilde{l}$ in Lemma 1. So by this lemma, there exist positive integers $\tilde{K}_0, \dots, \tilde{K}_{(\tilde{l}-1)n}$ such that $\tilde{K}_0 \tilde{a}_0 + \dots + \tilde{K}_{(\tilde{l}-1)n} \tilde{a}_{(\tilde{l}-1)n} - \tilde{b} = 0$ and $\tilde{K}_0 + \dots + \tilde{K}_{(\tilde{l}-1)n} = \tilde{l}^n$. Thus (19) and (20) imply

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{K}_0 \varepsilon_{n+k-\tilde{l}n}(z) + \tilde{K}_1 \varepsilon_{n+k-\tilde{l}n+1}(z) + \dots + \tilde{K}_{(\tilde{l}-1)n} \varepsilon_k(z) \\ &= \tilde{\varepsilon}(z) + n! f(\tilde{l}z) - n! \tilde{l}^n f(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{R}), \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned} (21) \quad \varepsilon_k(z) &= -\frac{1}{\tilde{K}_{(\tilde{l}-1)n}} \left(\tilde{K}_0 \varepsilon_{n+k-\tilde{l}n}(z) + \tilde{K}_1 \varepsilon_{n+k-\tilde{l}n+1}(z) + \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. \dots + \tilde{K}_{(\tilde{l}-1)n-1} \varepsilon_{k-1}(z) + \tilde{\varepsilon}(z) + n! (f(\tilde{l}z) - \tilde{l}^n f(z)) \right) \quad (z \in \mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

From

$$\tilde{l} = \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{n} \right\rfloor + 1 \leq \frac{k-1}{n} + 1 \leq \frac{(l-1)n-1+n}{n} < l$$

together with the inductive hypothesis (7) we get:

$$f(\tilde{l}z) - \tilde{l}^n f(z) = o(z^\alpha) \quad (z \searrow 0).$$

By (14) and (17)

$$\varepsilon_r(z) = o(z^\alpha) \quad (z \searrow 0)$$

for $r = n + k - \tilde{l}n, \dots, k-1$. Combining (21), (16) and the previous two formulae we get

$$\varepsilon_k(z) = o(z^\alpha) \quad (z \searrow 0).$$

II. Now we prove that under our assumptions $f(0) = 0$ and

$$(22) \quad f(-z) - (-1)^n f(z) = o(|z|^\alpha) \quad (z \rightarrow 0).$$

We consider the functions

$$\varepsilon_0(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} f(kz) - n! f(z)$$

and

$$\varepsilon_1(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} f((k-1)z) - n! f(z),$$

defined in (8). By the well-known formulae

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} k^n - n! = 0$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} (k-1)^n - n! = 0$$

we can write the functions ε_0 and ε_1 in the form

$$(23) \quad \varepsilon_0(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} \left(f(kz) - k^n f(z) \right)$$

and

$$(24) \quad \varepsilon_1(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} \left(f((k-1)z) - (k-1)^n f(z) \right).$$

In the first part of the proof we have shown that $\varepsilon_0(z) = o(z^\alpha)$, $\varepsilon_1(z) = o(z^\alpha)$ and $f(lz) - l^n f(z) = o(z^\alpha)$, $(z \searrow 0, l = 1, \dots, n)$. This relation together with (23) implies $f(0) = 0$, therefore, applying (24) we get

$$f(-z) - (-1)^n f(z) = o(z^\alpha) \quad (z \searrow 0)$$

which yields (22).

Finally, (6) and (22) prove Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *Let $\delta > 0$ be a real number and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If the function $f : [-\delta, \delta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the property*

$$(25) \quad \Delta_y^n f(x) - n!f(y) = 0 \quad (x \in [-\delta, 0], y, x + ny \in [0, \delta]),$$

then for any integer l there exists a real number $\delta_l > 0$ such that

$$(26) \quad f(lz) - l^n f(z) = 0 \quad (z \in [-\delta_l, \delta_l]).$$

PROOF. Let $\delta > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be given and let $f : [-\delta, \delta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying (25). We prove that for an arbitrary integer l with $\delta_l = \frac{\delta}{|l|^n}$ equation (26) holds.

The proof can be done in a similar way as in the proof of Theorem 1, therefore, we give the outline of the argument, only.

At first, we show by induction on l that for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(27) \quad f(lz) - l^n f(z) = 0 \quad \left(z \in \left[-\frac{\delta}{ln}, \frac{\delta}{ln} \right] \right).$$

For $l > 1$ we define the functions

$$\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_{(l-1)n} \text{ and } \varepsilon : \left[-\frac{\delta}{ln}, \frac{\delta}{ln} \right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

by the same formula as in (8) and (9) and we use a similar method as in the proof of Theorem 1, to show that

$$\varepsilon_k(z) = 0 \quad \left(z \in \left[-\frac{\delta}{ln}, \frac{\delta}{ln} \right] \right)$$

for $k = 0, \dots, (l-1)n$ and

$$\varepsilon(z) = 0 \quad \left(z \in \left[-\frac{\delta}{ln}, \frac{\delta}{ln} \right] \right).$$

By Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned} n! \left(f(lz) - l^n f(z) \right) &= K_0 \varepsilon_0(z) + \dots + K_{(l-1)n} \varepsilon_{(l-1)n}(z) - \varepsilon(z) \\ &\quad \left(z \in \left[-\frac{\delta}{ln}, \frac{\delta}{ln} \right] \right), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (27).

To prove

$$(28) \quad f(-z) - (-1)^n f(z) = 0 \quad \left(z \in \left[-\frac{\delta}{n}, \frac{\delta}{n} \right] \right)$$

we consider the functions ε_0 and ε_1 on the interval $\left[-\frac{\delta}{n^2}, \frac{\delta}{n^2} \right]$. Here we have

$$\varepsilon_0(z) = 0 \quad \left(z \in \left[-\frac{\delta}{n^2}, \frac{\delta}{n^2} \right] \right)$$

and

$$\varepsilon_1(z) = 0 \quad \left(z \in \left[-\frac{\delta}{n^2}, \frac{\delta}{n^2} \right] \right),$$

therefore, we get, by the method used in the second part of the proof of Theorem 1, that

$$f(-z) - (-1)^n f(z) = 0 \quad \left(z \in \left[-\frac{\delta}{n^2}, \frac{\delta}{n^2} \right] \right),$$

from which with

$$f(2z) - 2^n f(z) = 0 \quad \left(z \in \left[-\frac{\delta}{2n}, \frac{\delta}{2n} \right] \right)$$

(28) follows.

Finally, (28) together with (27) implies (26).

Theorem 3. *Let $\delta > 0$ be a real number and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If the function $f : [-\delta, \delta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies property (25), then there exists a real number $\bar{\delta} > 0$ such that*

$$(29) \quad \Delta_y^n f(x) - n! f(y) = 0$$

for $x, y, x + ny \in [-\bar{\delta}, \bar{\delta}]$.

PROOF. Let $\delta > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be given numbers and let $f : [-\delta, \delta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function with property (25). Let, furthermore, $\bar{\delta} = \frac{\delta}{2n}$ and $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{y}$ be fixed numbers for which $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{x} + n\bar{y} \in [-\bar{\delta}, \bar{\delta}]$.

It is trivial, that in the case when $\bar{y} = 0$ equation (29) holds. For an arbitrary function $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we have the simple formula

$$\Delta_y^n \varphi(x) = (-1)^n \Delta_{-y}^n \varphi(x + ny) \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{R}),$$

so by

$$f(-y) - (-1)^n f(y) = 0 \quad (y \in [-\bar{\delta}, \bar{\delta}]),$$

which was proved in Theorem 2, we can write

$$\Delta_y^n f(x) - n!f(y) = (-1)^n (\Delta_{-y}^n f(x + ny) - n!f(-y)) \\ (x, y, x + ny \in [-\bar{\delta}, \bar{\delta}]).$$

Therefore, we may suppose that $\bar{y} \in (0, \bar{\delta}]$.

In the case when $\bar{x} \in [-\bar{\delta}, 0]$ and $\bar{x} + n\bar{y} \in [0, \bar{\delta}]$, (29) comes from (1). If $\bar{x}, \bar{x} + n\bar{y} \in [-\bar{\delta}, 0]$ and $\bar{y} \in (0, \bar{\delta}]$ since

$$\Delta_y^n f(x) - n!f(y) = (-1)^n \Delta_{-y}^n f(-x) - (-1)^n n!f(-y) \\ = \Delta_y^n f(-x - ny) - n!f(y) \quad (x, y, x + ny \in [-\bar{\delta}, \bar{\delta}])$$

with $\tilde{x} = -\bar{x} - n\bar{y}$ we get $\tilde{x}, \bar{y}, \tilde{x} + n\bar{y} \in (0, \bar{\delta}]$, which means that we may suppose that $\bar{x} \in (0, \bar{\delta}]$. Therefore, it is sufficient to prove (29) for $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in (0, \bar{\delta}]$.

If $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{y}$ have these properties, then there exist natural numbers m such that $\bar{x} - m\bar{y} \leq 0$. Let m_0 be the smallest natural number with this property and we define $x_\mu^* = \bar{x} - (m_0 - \mu)\bar{y}$ for $\mu = 0, \dots, m_0$.

We prove by induction on μ that by

$$(30) \quad c_\mu := \Delta_{\bar{y}}^n f(x_\mu^*) - n!f(\bar{y})$$

$c_\mu = 0$ for $\mu = 0, \dots, m_0$, which with $\mu = m_0$ implies

$$\Delta_{\bar{y}}^n f(\bar{x}) - n!f(\bar{y}) = 0,$$

which is our statement.

By (25), obviously, $c_0 = 0$.

Let $\mu \in \{1, \dots, m_0\}$ and suppose that $c_\nu = 0$ is already proved for $\nu = 0, \dots, \mu - 1$. Taking

$$x = x_\mu^* - i\bar{y}, \quad y = \bar{y} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

and

$$x = x_\mu^* - n\bar{y}, \quad y = 2\bar{y},$$

respectively, the inductive hypothesis and (25) lead to

$$\Delta_{\bar{y}}^n f(x_\mu^* - i\bar{y}) - n!f(\bar{y}) = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

and

$$\Delta_{2\bar{y}}^n f(x_\mu^* - n\bar{y}) - n!f(2\bar{y}) = 0.$$

It is easy to see that with the notation of Lemma 1 (for $\lambda = 2$) we can write these equations as follows

$$(31) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \alpha_i^{(k)} f(x_\mu^* + (n-k)\bar{y}) - n!f(\bar{y}) = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

and

$$(32) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \beta^{(k)} f(x_\mu^* + (n-k)\bar{y}) - n!f(2\bar{y}) = 0.$$

Furthermore, (30) has the form

$$(33) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \alpha_0^{(k)} f(x_\mu^* + (n-k)\bar{y}) - n!f(\bar{y}) = c_\mu.$$

By Lemma 1 for $a_i = (\alpha_i^{(0)}, \dots, \alpha_i^{(2n)})$, $(i = 0, \dots, n)$ and $b = (\beta^{(0)}, \dots, \beta^{(2n)})$ there exist positive integers $K_0, \dots, K_n$ such that $K_0 a_0 + \dots + K_n a_n - b = 0$ and $K_0 + \dots + K_n = 2^n$. Therefore, by the equations in (31), (32) and (33) we get

$$-(K_0 + \dots + K_n)n!f(\bar{y}) + n!f(2\bar{y}) = K_0 c_\mu,$$

that is

$$-2^n n!f(\bar{y}) + n!f(2\bar{y}) = K_0 c_\mu.$$

By Theorem 2 we have $f(2\bar{y}) - 2^n f(\bar{y}) = 0$, which implies $c_\mu = 0$.

Theorem 4. *Let n be a natural number and $\alpha > n$ be a real number. If a function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies*

$$(1) \quad \Delta_y^n f(x) - n!f(y) = o(y^\alpha) \quad ((x, y) \rightarrow (0, 0), x \leq 0 \leq x + ny)$$

then there exists a monomial function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of degree n such that

$$(2) \quad f(x) - g(x) = o(|x|^\alpha) \quad (x \rightarrow 0).$$

PROOF. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha > n$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ be given. For a function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying (1) Theorem 1 implies

$$(34) \quad f(lz) - l^n f(z) = o(|z|^\alpha) \quad (z \rightarrow 0)$$

for any integer l . Let now $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $l > 1$ be fixed. It is easy to see, that (34) is equivalent to the following statement: there exist a real number $\delta > 0$ and a continuous, increasing function $h : [0, \delta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the property $\lim_{z \searrow 0} h(z) = 0$ such that

$$|f(lz) - l^n f(z)| \leq |z|^\alpha h(|z|) \quad (z \in [-\delta, \delta]).$$

Therefore, for an arbitrary $z_0 \in [-\delta, \delta]$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\left| f\left(\frac{z_0}{l^{k-1}}\right) - l^n f\left(\frac{z_0}{l^k}\right) \right| \leq \frac{|z_0|^\alpha}{l^{k\alpha}} h\left(\frac{|z_0|}{l^k}\right).$$

With

$$\varepsilon_k(z_0) := l^{(k-1)n} f\left(\frac{z_0}{l^{k-1}}\right) - l^{kn} f\left(\frac{z_0}{l^k}\right)$$

we get

$$|\varepsilon_k(z_0)| \leq l^{(k-1)n} \frac{|z_0|^\alpha}{l^{k\alpha}} h\left(\frac{|z_0|}{l^k}\right)$$

and the monotony of h yields

$$(35) \quad |\varepsilon_k(z_0)| \leq \frac{1}{l^{k(\alpha-n)}} \frac{|z_0|^\alpha}{l^n} h(|z_0|).$$

For an arbitrary $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain

$$(36) \quad \varepsilon_1(z_0) + \cdots + \varepsilon_N(z_0) = f(z_0) - l^{Nn} f\left(\frac{z_0}{l^N}\right).$$

Since $\alpha > n$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l^{k(\alpha-n)}} = \frac{1}{l^{\alpha-n} - 1},$$

therefore,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k(z_0)$$

is convergent, so the limit

$$(37) \quad g(z_0) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} l^{kn} f\left(\frac{z_0}{l^k}\right)$$

exists, and (35) and (36) yield

$$|f(z_0) - g(z_0)| \leq \frac{1}{l^{\alpha-n} - 1} \frac{|z_0|^\alpha}{l^n} h(|z_0|),$$

which implies (2).

For $x \in [-\delta, 0]$, $x + ny \in [0, \delta]$ by (1) we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Delta_{\frac{y}{l^k}}^n f\left(\frac{x}{l^k}\right) - n! f\left(\frac{y}{l^k}\right)}{\left(\frac{y}{l^k}\right)^\alpha} = 0,$$

and (37) gives

$$\Delta_y^n g(x) - n! g(y) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} l^{kn} \left(\Delta_{\frac{y}{l^k}}^n f\left(\frac{x}{l^k}\right) - n! f\left(\frac{y}{l^k}\right) \right) = 0,$$

which together with Theorem 3 show that there exists a real number $\bar{\delta} > 0$ such that g is a monomial function of degree n on the interval $[-\bar{\delta}, \bar{\delta}]$. This result and the known extension theorem for monomial functions (cf. [5], for instance) imply our statement.

3. Locally additive functions with order $\alpha \neq 1$

Lemma 2. *Let δ be a positive real number and $f : [-\delta, \delta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If there exists a real number $K \geq 0$ such that*

$$(38) \quad |f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)| \leq K \quad (x \in [-\delta, 0], y, x+y \in [0, \delta]),$$

then we have

$$(39) \quad |f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)| \leq 3K$$

for all $x, y, x+y \in [-\delta, \delta]$.

PROOF. Let $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{y}$ be fixed real numbers such that $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{x} + \bar{y} \in [-\delta, \delta]$. Then we have one of the following relations:

- (A) $\bar{x} \in [-\delta, 0], \bar{y} \in [0, \delta], \bar{x} + \bar{y} \in [0, \delta];$
- (B) $\bar{x} \in [-\delta, 0], \bar{y} \in [0, \delta], \bar{x} + \bar{y} \in [-\delta, 0];$
- (C) $\bar{x} \in [0, \delta], \bar{y} \in [0, \delta], \bar{x} + \bar{y} \in [0, \delta];$

(D) $\bar{x} \in [-\delta, 0]$, $\bar{y} \in [-\delta, 0]$, $\bar{x} + \bar{y} \in [-\delta, 0]$;

(E) $\bar{x} \in [0, \delta]$, $\bar{y} \in [-\delta, 0]$, $\bar{x} + \bar{y} \in [0, \delta]$;

(F) $\bar{x} \in [0, \delta]$, $\bar{y} \in [-\delta, 0]$, $\bar{x} + \bar{y} \in [-\delta, 0]$;

Case (A) is trivial.

In case (B) we get the following inequalities from (38):

– $|f(\bar{y}) - f(\bar{x} + \bar{y}) - f(-\bar{x})| \leq K$, with $x = \bar{x} + \bar{y}$ and $y = -\bar{x}$;

– $|-f(0) + f(\bar{x}) + f(-\bar{x})| \leq K$, with $x = \bar{x}$ and $y = -\bar{x}$;

– $|f(\bar{y}) - f(0) - f(\bar{y})| \leq K$, with $x = 0$ and $y = \bar{y}$;

and the addition of these inequalities implies (39).

In case (F) we get (39) by case (B) and with $x = \bar{y}$ and $y = \bar{x}$.

The remaining cases can be treated by the substitutions

$x = -\bar{y}$ and $y = \bar{y}$; $x = -\bar{y}$ and $y = \bar{x} + \bar{y}$; $x = 0$ and $y = \bar{y}$ in case (C);

$x = \bar{y}$ and $y = -\bar{y}$; $x = \bar{x}$ and $y = -\bar{x} - \bar{y}$; $x = \bar{x} + \bar{y}$ and $y = -\bar{x} - \bar{y}$

in case (D); $x = \bar{y}$ and $y = \bar{x}$ in case (E), respectively.

Theorem 5. *Let $\alpha \geq 0$, $\alpha \neq 1$ be a real number and let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function with the property*

$$(40) \quad f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y) = o(y^\alpha) \quad (x \leq 0 \leq x+y, \ y \searrow 0).$$

Then there exists an additive function $a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(x) - a(x) = o(|x|^\alpha) \quad (x \rightarrow 0).$$

PROOF. For $\alpha > 1$ the statement is proved in Theorem 4.

In the sequel, $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. In this case the proof is similar to some reasoning in [7].

By (40) there exist real numbers $\delta > 0$ and $K > 0$ such that

$$|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)| \leq K \quad (x \in [-\delta, 0], \ y, x+y \in [0, \delta]),$$

hence from Lemma 2 we have

$$|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)| \leq 3K \quad (x, y, x+y \in [-\delta, \delta]).$$

Z. KOMINEK proved ([4], Lemma 1) that this property implies the existence of an additive function $a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$|f(x) - a(x)| \leq 12K \quad (x \in [-\delta, \delta]).$$

For the function $\varepsilon : [-\delta, \delta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon(x) = f(x) - a(x)$ we have $\varepsilon(0) = 0$ and by Theorem 1

$$\varepsilon(2z) - 2\varepsilon(z) = o(|z|^\alpha) \quad (z \rightarrow 0).$$

It is easy to see, that this property is equivalent to the following: there exist a real number $\delta_1 > 0$ and a continuous, increasing function $h : [0, \delta_1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lim_{z \searrow 0} h(z) = 0$ and

$$|\varepsilon(2z) - 2\varepsilon(z)| \leq |z|^\alpha h(|z|) \quad (z \in [-\delta_1, \delta_1]).$$

Introducing the function

$$\bar{\varepsilon}(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\varepsilon(z)}{|z|^\alpha}, & \text{if } z \in [-\delta_1, \delta_1], \ z \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } z = 0 \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\left| |z|^\alpha \bar{\varepsilon}(z) - \frac{1}{2} 2^\alpha |z|^\alpha \bar{\varepsilon}(2z) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} |z|^\alpha h(|z|) \quad (z \in [-\delta_1, \delta_1])$$

and

$$|\bar{\varepsilon}(z) - 2^{\alpha-1} \bar{\varepsilon}(2z)| \leq \frac{1}{2} h(|z|) \quad (z \in [-\delta_1, \delta_1]).$$

Write

$$s_k = \sup \left\{ |\bar{\varepsilon}(z)| \mid \frac{\delta_1}{2^k} \leq |z| \leq \frac{\delta_1}{2^{k-1}} \right\} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Then

$$s_{k+1} \leq 2^{\alpha-1} s_k + \frac{1}{2} h\left(\frac{\delta_1}{2^k}\right), \quad (k \in \mathbb{N})$$

therefore, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_k = 0$ and

$$\varepsilon(z) = o(|z|^\alpha) \quad (z \rightarrow 0).$$

References

- [1] A. DINGHAS, Zur Theorie der gewöhnlichen Differentialgleichungen, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fennicae*, Ser. A I **375** (1966).
- [2] A. GILÁNYI, A characterization of monomial functions (*to appear in Aequationes Math.*).
- [3] A. GILÁNYI, A remark to a characterization of monomial functions (*to appear*).
- [4] Z. KOMINEK, On the local stability of the Jensen functional equation, *Demonstratio Math.* **22** (1989), 499–507.
- [5] ZS. PÁLES, Extension theorems for functional equations with bisymmetric operations (*preprint*).
- [6] A. SIMON and P. VOLKMANN, Eine Charakterisierung von polynomialen Funktionen mittels der Dinghasschen Intervall-Derivierten, *Results in Math.* **26** (1994), 382–384.
- [7] A. SIMON and P. VOLKMANN, Perturbations de fonctions additives (*to appear*).
- [8] F. SKOF, Sull'approssimazione delle applicazioni localmente δ -additive, *Atti della Accademia delle Scienze di Torino, I. Classe* **117** (1983), 377–389.
- [9] F. SKOF, Sulla stabilità dell'equazione funzionale quadratica su un dominio ristretto, *Atti della Accademia delle Scienze di Torino* **121** 5-6 (1987), 153–167.
- [10] L. SZÉKELYHIDI, Convolution Type Functional Equations on Topological Abelian Groups, *World Sci. Publ. Co., Singapore*, 1991.

ATTILA GILÁNYI
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS
LAJOS KOSSUTH UNIVERSITY
H-4010 DEBRECEN, P.O.BOX 12
HUNGARY

E-mail: gil@math.klte.hu

(Received March 20, 1997)