

## A counterexample on completeness in relator spaces

By J. DEÁK (Budapest)

**Abstract.** Disproving a plausible conjecture on completeness of generalized uniformities, we show that strong topological directedness cannot replace strong proximal directedness in a condition sufficient for completeness (defined by the convergence or adherence of not necessarily directed Cauchy nets).

### Preliminaries

Let us recall some definitions from [2, 3]. A *relator*  $\mathcal{R}$  on the set  $X$  is a nonvoid family of reflexive relations on  $X$ . A (not necessarily directed) net  $(x_\alpha)$  *converges (adheres)* to  $x \in X$  if  $(x_\alpha)$  is eventually (frequently) in  $R(x)$  for each  $R \in \mathcal{R}$ . A net  $(x_\alpha)$  is *convergence (adherence) Cauchy* if it is convergent (adherent) with respect to each relator  $\{R\}$  ( $R \in \mathcal{R}$ ). The relator  $\mathcal{R}$  is *convergence (adherence) complete* if each convergence (adherence) Cauchy net is convergent (adherent).  $\mathcal{R}$  is *topologically transitive* if for each  $x \in X$  and  $R \in \mathcal{R}$  there are  $S, T \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $T(S(x)) \subset R(x)$ ; *strongly proximally directed* if for any  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $A_i \subset X$  and  $R_i \in \mathcal{R}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) there is an  $R \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $R(A_i) \subset R_i(A_i)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ); *topologically directed* if for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\{R(x) : R \in \mathcal{R}\}$  is a filter base; *topologically compact* provided that if an  $R_x \in \mathcal{R}$  is assigned to each  $x \in X$  then there is a finite  $A \subset X$  such that the sets  $R_x(x)$  ( $x \in A$ ) cover  $X$ . [3] Corollary 3.3 states that

**Theorem A.** *Any strongly proximally directed, topologically transitive, topologically compact relator is convergence as well as adherence complete.*

---

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*): 54E15.

Research supported by Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research, grant no. 2114.

Strong proximal directedness falls here out of line: one would expect the theorem to hold for topologically directed relators. This problem was raised by ÁRPÁD SZÁZ in a talk presented at Drujba (Bulgaria) in 1990. [His question was in fact somewhat different, see Remark 4.)] We are going to show that even strong topological directedness cannot replace the strong proximal directedness. (The definition is obvious:  $\mathcal{R}$  is *strongly topologically directed* if for any  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $x_i \in X$  and  $R_i \in \mathcal{R}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) there is an  $R \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $R(x_i) \subset R_i(x_i)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ).

### The example

On  $X = \{-2, -1, 0\} \cup \mathbf{N}$ , let  $\mathcal{R} = \{R_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} R_n(0) &= \{0\} \cup \{k \in \mathbf{N} : k > n\} & (n \in \mathbf{N}), \\ R_n(n) &= \{-2, -1, n\} & (n \in \mathbf{N}), \end{aligned}$$

and  $R_n(x) = \{x\}$  otherwise.

$\mathcal{R}$  is *strongly topologically directed*. Given  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $x_k \in X$  and  $R_{n_k} \in \mathcal{R}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq m$ ), choose  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $n \geq n_k$  and  $n \neq x_k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq m$ ); now  $R_n(x_k) \subset R_{n_k}(x_k)$  for each  $k$ .

$\mathcal{R}$  is *topologically transitive*.  $R_n \circ R_n = R_n$  ( $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ), so  $\mathcal{R}$  is in fact strongly transitive in the sense of [2].

$\mathcal{R}$  is *topologically compact*, since each  $R_n(0)$  is cofinite.

$\mathcal{R}$  is *not convergence complete*. The sequence  $-1, -2, -1, -2, \dots$  is convergence (and adherence) Cauchy (since  $-1, -2 \in R_n(n)$ ), but not convergent.

$\mathcal{R}$  is *not adherence complete*. The non-directed net consisting of the points  $-1$  and  $-2$  is adherence (and convergence) Cauchy, but not adherent.

### Remarks

1) It follows from Theorem A that  $\mathcal{R}$  cannot be strongly proximally directed. A direct proof: consider  $R_1(A)$  and  $R_2(\mathbf{N} \setminus A)$  where  $A$  consists of the even positive integers. However,  $\mathcal{R}$  is *proximally directed*, i.e.  $\{R(A) : R \in \mathcal{R}\}$  is a filter base whenever  $\emptyset \neq A \subset X$ .

2) It is natural that the non-adherent net in the example is not directed, since if  $\mathcal{R}$  is topologically compact then each directed net is adherent ([4] 4.3).

3) For uniformities, the two notions of completeness considered above are strictly stronger than the usual completeness. Indeed, the uniformity in [1] II.50 is complete but not adherence complete (there are even directed



adherence Cauchy nets that are not adherent). On the other hand, the complete uniformity induced on  $X = \mathbf{N}^2$  by the metric

$$d((k_1, n_1), (k_2, n_2)) = \begin{cases} 1/k & \text{if } k_1 = k_2 = k, n_1 \neq n_2, \\ 0 & \text{if } (k_1, n_1) = (k_2, n_2), \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is not convergence complete: take the net  $(x)_{x \in X}$  with the partial order  $(k_1, n_1) \leq (k_2, n_2)$  iff  $k_1 = k_2$ ,  $n_1 \leq n_2$ .

4) Theorem A is proved in [3] in two steps (Theorems 3.1 and 3.2):

**Theorem B.** *If  $\mathcal{R}$  satisfies the assumptions of Theorem A then  $\mathcal{R}$  is a Lebesgue relator.*

( $\mathcal{R}$  is a Lebesgue relator provided that if an  $R_y \in \mathcal{R}$  is assigned to each  $y \in X$  then there is an  $R \in \mathcal{R}$  such that for each  $x \in X$  there is a  $y \in X$  with  $R(x) \subset R_y(y)$ .)

**Theorem C.** *Any Lebesgue relator is both convergence and adherence complete.*

ÁRPÁD SZÁZ originally asked whether topological directedness is sufficient in Theorem B; our example shows that even strong topological directedness is not enough here. The following simplified version of the example also answers the original question: drop the point  $-2$ . To see that the relator is not Lebesgue, take  $R_2(1)$  and  $R_1(x)$  ( $x \neq 1$ ).

### References

- [1] J. R. ISBELL, Uniform spaces, *Amer. Math. Soc., Providence* (1964).
- [2] Á. SZÁZ, Directed, topological and transitive relators, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **35** (1988), 179–196.
- [3] Á. SZÁZ, Lebesgue relators, *Monatshefte Math.* **110** (1990), 315–319.
- [4] Á. SZÁZ, Compact relator spaces (Preprint).

J. DEÁK  
 MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF THE  
 HUNGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES  
 P O B 127  
 H-1364  
 HUNGARY

(Received September 27, 1991)