Publ. Math. Debrecen
56 / 3-4 (2000), 677–711

On optimal linear congruences for $L_2(k, \chi\omega^{1-k})$

By JERZY URBANOWICZ (Warszawa)

Dedicated to Professor Kálmán Győry
on the occasion of his 60th birthday

Abstract. Our purpose in the paper is to investigate divisibility properties of 2-adic L-functions attached to quadratic characters at integers. Following UEHARA's ideas we extend the linear congruence relations proved in [6], [8] and [10] (see also [3], [4], [5], [6] and [7]). For any two-element subset L of the set $\{-1, 0, 1, 2\}$ we determine the so-called optimal linear congruence relations for $L_2\big(k, \chi\omega^{1-k}\big)$, with $k \in L$.

1. Notation

For prime p as usual we denote by $\mathbb{C}_p$ the completion of the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. $\mathbb{Q}_p$ denotes the field of p-adic numbers. For $a, b \in \mathbb{C}_p$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ the notation $a \equiv b \pmod{p^\alpha}$ means that $|a - b|_p \leq p^{-\alpha}$. $|\cdot|_p$ denotes the normalized (such that $|p|_p = 1/p$) absolute value on $\mathbb{C}_p$. For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ these congruences are the usual congruences for integral rational numbers. We say that $a \in \mathbb{C}_p$ is p-integral if $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p^0}$. For $a \in \mathbb{Q}$, if a is p-integral in the above sense then its denominator is not divisible by p. We say that p-integral number a is divisible by p^α ($\alpha \geq 1$) if $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p^\alpha}$. We write $p^\alpha \mid a$. If for p-integral number a we have

Mathematics Subject Classification: 11R043, 11R11, 11R29, 11S40.
Key words and phrases: 2-adic L-functions, Coleman's multilogarithms, class number congruences, special values of L-functions.
Research was supported by State Committee for Scientific Research of Poland (KBN) grant 2 P03A 036 15 and Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst (DAAD) grant A/98/07281.

$a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^\alpha}$, we write $p^\alpha \nmid a$ and say that a is not divisible by p^α. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ if $p^\alpha \mid a$ and $p^{\alpha+1} \nmid a$, we set $p^\alpha \| a$. For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p^\alpha \| a$, we write $\gcd(p^\beta, a) = p^\alpha$ (resp. p^β) if $\alpha \le \beta$ (resp. $\beta < \alpha$). If $a \equiv b \pmod{p^\beta}$, we have

$$\gcd\big(p^\beta, a\big) = \gcd\big(p^\beta, b\big). \tag{1.1}$$

Moreover if $m, n \in \mathbb{C}_p$ are p-integers not divisible by p, we observe that

$$\gcd\big(p^\beta, a\big) = \gcd\Big(p^\beta, \frac{a}{m}\Big) = \gcd(p^\beta, an). \tag{1.2}$$

We say that a ($\in \mathbb{C}_2$) is even if a is 2-integral and divisible by 2. We say that a is odd if a is 2-integral and is not even.

As usual let $\log = \log_p$, $\omega = \omega_p$ denote the p-adic logarithm and the Teichmüller character at p respectively. For a Dirichlet character χ let $L_p(s, \chi)$ be the Kubota–Leopoldt L-function. For details see [9].

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $l_k = l_{k,p}$ denote the so-called multilogarithms, which are locally analytic functions on the set $\mathbb{C}_p - \{1\}$ defined inductively by $l_0(s) = -s/(1-s)$, $dl_k(s) = l_{k-1}(s)ds/s$ and $\lim\limits_{s\to 0} l_k(s) = 0$. For details, see [1]. Moreover if $k \le 0$, we have $l_k(s) = s(-1)^k R_{-k}(s)/(1-s)^{1-k}$, where $R_n \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ ($n \ge 0$) are the so-called Frobenius polynomials defined in [2]. If $k = -1$ we have $l_{-1}(s) = s/(1-s)^2$ in particular. If $k = 1$, we have $l_1(s) = -\log_p(1-s)$.

The main interest of the multilogarithms is that they give the Coleman formulas

$$L_p(k, \chi\omega^{1-k}) = (1 - \chi(p)p^{-k})\frac{\tau\big(\chi, \zeta_M\big)}{M} \sum_{a=1}^{M-1} \overline{\chi}(a) l_{k,p}\big(\zeta_M^{-a}\big).$$

Here χ is a primitive non-trivial Dirichlet character modulo M and throughout the paper we denote by ζ_M a primitive Mth root of unity in $\mathbb{C}_p$.

For a fundamental discriminant d ($\neq 1$) as usual we denote by χ_d the associated quadratic character (Kronecker symbol). We set $\chi_1 = 1$. Denote by $\mathcal{T}_d$ the set of all fundamental discriminants dividing d. Throughout the paper, for $t, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ ($t \neq 0$, $c \ge 1$) we denote by $\nu(t)$ the number of distinct prime factors of t and adopt the notation $\sum\limits_{a=1}^{c}{}'$ to a sum taken over integers a prime to c. As usual ϕ denotes Euler's phi function.

The proofs of the main theorems of the paper (Theorems 1 and 2) are based on the following lemma.

Lemma 1 (see [8, Lemma 1], cf. [6, Lemma 3]). *Let χ be a Dirichlet character modulo $M > 1$ and let N be a multiple of M such that $N/M > 0$ is a rational square-free integer relatively prime to M. For arbitrary natural number T satisfying $M|T|N$ we assume that $\zeta_T = \zeta_M \zeta_{T/M}$ and set*

$$\mathcal{S}_{k,\chi}(T) = \sum_{a=1}^{T}{}' \chi(a) l_k(\zeta_T^a).$$

Then for any integer k we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{k,\chi}(N) = (-1)^{\nu(N/M)} \prod_{\substack{p|(N/M) \\ p \text{ prime}}} \bigl(1 - \overline{\chi}(p) p^{1-k}\bigr) \mathcal{S}_{k,\chi}(M).$$

2. Quadratic fields

If d is the discriminant of a quadratic field, we denote by $h(d)$, $k_2(d)$, ε_d, resp. $R_2(d)$ the class number, the order of the K_2-group of the integers, the fundamental unit, resp. the second Borel regulator of the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. For $k \in \{-1, 0, 1, 2\}$ we have

$$L(k, \chi_d) = \begin{cases} -12 w_2^{-1}(d) k_2(d), & \text{if } k = -1 \text{ and } d > 1, \\ 2 w^{-1}(d) h(d), & \text{if } k = 0 \text{ and } d < 0, \\ 2 d^{-1/2} h(d) \log \varepsilon_d, & \text{if } k = 1 \text{ and } d > 1, \\ 2 R_2(d) |d|^{-3/2} k_2(d), & \text{if } k = 2 \text{ and } d < 0, \end{cases}$$

where $w(-3) = 6$, $w(-4) = 4$, $w(d) = 2$ if $d < -4$ and $w_2(8) = 48$, $w_2(5) = 120$, $w_2(d) = 24$ if $d > 8$. Here $L(s, \chi)$ is the classical, complex Dirichlet L-function attached to χ. In the case when $k = 2$ we assume that the so-called Lichtenbaum conjecture for imaginary quadratic fields holds.

Usually, the complex and p-adic formulas differ by an Euler factor. Namely we have

$$L_p(k,\chi_d\omega^{1-k}) = \begin{cases} -12w_2^{-1}(d)\big(1-\chi_d(p)p\big)k_2(d), & \text{if } k=-1 \text{ and } d>1,\\ 2w^{-1}(d)\big(1-\chi_d(p)\big)h(d), & \text{if } k=0 \text{ and } d<0,\\ 2d^{-1/2}\big(1-\chi_d(p)p^{-1}\big)h(d)_p\log\varepsilon_d, & \text{if } k=1 \text{ and } d>1,\\ 2R_{2,p}(d)|d|^{-3/2}\big(1-\chi_d(p)p^{-2}\big)k_2(d), & \text{if } k=2 \text{ and } d<0, \end{cases}$$

where by analogy $R_{2,p}(d)$ denotes the second p-adic regulator of the corresponding field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. In the case when $k=2$ the above equation is the statement of a p-adic analogue of the Lichtenbaum conjecture for imaginary quadratic fields.

3. The numbers $W_{k,e}(n)$

Let k, $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $e\in\mathcal{T}_8$. For $n\geq 0$ write

$$\gamma_{n,e} = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } n\equiv 1,2 \pmod 4 \text{ and } e\in\mathcal{T}_8-\mathcal{T}_4,\\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$W_{k,e}(n) = \sum_{l=0}^{n}(-1)^{l(k+1)}(2l+1)^{1-k}\gamma_{l,e}\binom{2n+1}{n-l}.$$

The numbers $W_{k,e}(n)$ are 2-integral rational numbers. We have $\operatorname{ord}_2(W_{k,e}(n))\geq n$. For details see [10].

4. Uehara's functions

From now on we assume that $p=2$, $\omega=\omega_2$ and $l_k=l_{k,2}$. For any Dirichlet character ψ modulo f and $k\in\mathbb{Z}$ let $\mathcal{L}_{k,\psi}$ denote the so-called Uehara functions. These functions are defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_{k,\psi}(s) = \frac{1}{2}(-1)^{k+1}\big(l_k(s)-l_k(-s)\big) \quad (s\neq\pm 1),$$

if ψ is the trivial character, and

$$\mathcal{L}_{k,\psi}(s)=(-1)^{k+1}\frac{\tau(\overline{\psi},\zeta_f)}{f}\sum_{a=1}^{f}{}'\psi(a)l_k(\zeta_f^a s)\quad(s\neq\zeta_f^a)$$

otherwise. For details see [8]. For $\psi=\chi_e$ set $\mathcal{L}_{k,\psi}=\mathcal{L}_{k,e}$.

The proof of the main result of the paper (Theorem 1) is based on the following properties of Uehara's functions implied by the identity of Lemma 1 and proved in [8] and [10].

Lemma 2 (see [6], [8, Lemma 2] and [10, Lemma 1])**.** *Given any odd integer M, let χ by a primitive Dirichlet character modulo M. Suppose that N is an odd multiple of M such that N/M (>0) is a rational square-free integer relatively prime to M. Let ψ be a primitive Dirichlet character being either trivial or of even conductor coprime to N. Assume that for arbitrary natural number T satisfying $M|T|N$ we have $\zeta_T=\zeta_M\zeta_{T/M}$. Then for any integer k we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\tau(\overline{\chi},\zeta_M)}{M}\sum_{a=1}^{N}{}'\chi(a)\mathcal{L}_{k,\psi}(\zeta_N^a)\\&=(-1)^{\nu(N/M)}\prod_{\substack{p|(N/M)\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\overline{\chi\psi}(p)p^{1-k}\big)L_2\big(k,\overline{\chi\psi}\omega^{1-k}\big),\end{aligned}$$

unless $k=1$ and the characters χ and ψ are trivial, in which case we have

$$\sum_{a=1}^{N}{}'\mathcal{L}_{k,\psi}(\zeta_N^a)=\begin{cases}-(\log_2 N)/2, & \text{if } N \text{ is a prime number},\\ 0, & \text{otherwise}.\end{cases}$$

Remark. In the formulation of Lemma 2 of [8] there is a small error, which implies the same error in Lemma 1 of [10]. The right hand sides of the identities of the lemmas should be multiplied by $(-1)^{k+1}$.

Lemma 3. *Let c (>1) be an odd natural number. If $k\neq 0,\ 1$ we have*

$$\sum_{a=1}^{c}{}'l_k(\zeta_c^a)=(-1)^{k+1+\nu(c)}\big(1-2^{-k}\big)^{-1}\prod_{\substack{p|c\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-p^{1-k}\big)L_2\big(k,\omega^{1-k}\big).$$

If $k=0$ or 1 we have

$$\sum_{a=1}^{c}{}'l_k(\zeta_c^a)=\begin{cases}-\dfrac{1}{2}\phi(c), & \text{if } k=0,\\ -\log_2 c, & \text{if } k=1 \text{ and } c \text{ is a prime number},\\ 0, & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

Proof. Given $r\in\mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\frac{1}{r}\sum_{\zeta^r=1}l_k(\zeta z)=\frac{l_k(z^r)}{r^k}$$

(see [1, Proposition 6.1]). Applying this formula with $r=2$ we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_{k,1}(s)=(-1)^{k+1}\big(l_k(s)-2^{-k}l_k(s^2)\big)\quad(s\neq\pm1).$$

Hence we have

$$\sum_{a=1}^{c}{}'l_k(\zeta_c^a)=(-1)^{k+1}\big(1-2^{-k}\big)^{-1}\sum_{a=1}^{c}{}'\mathcal{L}_{k,1}(\zeta_c^a)$$

because

$$\begin{aligned}\big(1-2^{-k}\big)\sum_{a=1}^{c}{}'l_k(\zeta_c^a)&=(-1)^{k+1}\sum_{a=1}^{c}{}'(-1)^{k+1}\big(l_k(\zeta_c^a)-l_k(\zeta_c^{2a})\big)\\&=(-1)^{k+1}\sum_{a=1}^{c}{}'\mathcal{L}_{k,1}(\zeta_c^a).\end{aligned}$$

Thus Lemma 3 in the case when $k\neq0$ follows easily from Lemma 2.

If $k=0$ we have

$$\sum_{a=1}^{c}{}'l_0(\zeta_c^a)=\sum_{a=1}^{c}{}'\frac{\zeta_c^a}{1-\zeta_c^a}=\sum_{a=1}^{c}{}'\frac{1}{1-\zeta_c^a}-\phi(c)=\frac{1}{2}\phi(c)-\phi(c)=-\frac{1}{2}\phi(c),$$

which completes the proof. □

Lemma 4 (cf. [6, Lemma 2])**.** *Given d $(\neq1)$ an odd fundamental discriminant we have*

$$\sum_{a=1}^{|d|}\chi_d(a)l_0(\zeta_{|d|}^a)=\begin{cases}-\dfrac{|d|h(d)}{\tau\big(\chi_d,\zeta_{|d|}\big)}, & \text{if } d<0,\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

PROOF. By the definition of l_0 we have

$$\sum_{a=1}^{|d|} \chi_d(a) l_0(\zeta_{|d|}^a) = \sum_{a=1}^{|d|} \frac{\chi_d(a)\zeta_{|d|}^a}{1-\zeta_{|d|}^a} = \sum_{a=1}^{|d|} \frac{\chi_d(a)}{1-\zeta_{|d|}^a} - \sum_{a=1}^{|d|} \chi_d(a).$$

Hence and from Lemma 2 [6] the identity of the hypothesis of Lemma 4 follows immediately. □

In Lemmas 5 and 6 ξ ($\neq 1$) denotes a primitive Nth root of unity, where N is an odd natural number.

Lemma 5 (see [6] and [8, Lemma 4]). *For any $e \in \mathcal{T}_8$ write $\alpha = \operatorname{sgn} e$ and set*

$$w_\alpha = \frac{\alpha\xi}{1+\alpha\xi^2}.$$

Then we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{-1,e}(\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (4\alpha)^k w_\alpha^{2k+1}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{0,e}(\xi) = \omega_{-\alpha},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{1,e}(\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(4\alpha)^k \omega_\alpha^{2k+1}}{2k+1}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{2,e}(\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-16\alpha)^k \omega_{-\alpha}^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)^2} \binom{2k}{k}^{-1},$$

if $e \in \mathcal{T}_4$, and

$$\mathcal{L}_{-1,e}(\xi) = -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2\alpha)^k (2k-1) \omega_\alpha^{2k+1}, \qquad \mathcal{L}_{0,e}(\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-2\alpha)^k \omega_{-\alpha}^{2k+1},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{1,e}(\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2\alpha)^k \omega_\alpha^{2k+1}}{2k+1},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{2,e}(\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-16\alpha)^k \omega_{-\alpha}^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)^2} \binom{2k}{k}^{-1} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \binom{2l}{l} 2^{-3l},$$

if $e \in \mathcal{T}_8 - \mathcal{T}_4$.

Remark. Uehara in a letter to the author has observed that the formulas for $\mathcal{L}_{-1,e}(\xi)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2,e}(\xi)$ given in the above lemma can be deduced easily from his formulas for $\mathcal{L}_{0,e}(\xi)$, $\mathcal{L}_{1,e}(\xi)$, and differential properties of Coleman's multilogarithms. The details of the proof are left to the reader as an exercise.

Lemma 6 (see [10, Lemma 3]). *For any $e \in \mathcal{T}_8$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ write $\alpha = (-1)^{m+1} \operatorname{sgn} e$ and let*

$$w_\alpha = \frac{\alpha\xi}{1+\alpha\xi^2}.$$

Then we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{m,e}(\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^k W_{m,e}(k)}{2k+1} w_\alpha^{2k+1}.$$

5. Some special sequences

Let K be a finite non-empty subset of the rational integers. We will consider linear combinations of Uehara's functions at ξ with 2-adic integral coefficients

$$x = \{x_{k,e}\}_{(k,e)\in K\times\mathcal{T}_8} \subseteq \mathbb{C}_2.$$

For any $L \subseteq K$ the x is said to be defined on L if $x_{k,e} = 0$ for $k \notin L$. Let

$$\alpha_k = \binom{2k}{k}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_k = \binom{2k}{k}^{-1} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \binom{2l}{l} 2^{-3l}.$$

Given 2-adic integers $a_{k,e}(n)$ $(\in \mathbb{C}_2)$ with $k \in K$, $e \in \mathcal{T}_8$, $n \geq 0$ we consider some sequences of linear combinations of $x_{k,e}$ of the form

$$y_n(x) = \sum_{(k,e)\in K\times\mathcal{T}_8} a_{k,e}(n) x_{k,e}, \quad n \geq 0. \tag{5.3}$$

For any $L \subseteq K$ the sequence $(y_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of this form is said to be defined on L, if the sum is taken over $(k,e) \in L \times \mathcal{T}_8$.

For $x = \{x_{k,e}\}_{(k,e)\in K\times\mathcal{T}_8}$ we consider two sequences $z = (z_n)_{n\geq 0}$ and $u = (u_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of the form (5.3). The sequences are defined on $K = \{-1,0,1,2\}$ in the former case and on any finite subset K of $\mathbb{Z}$ in the latter case by

$$z_0 = \sum_{(k,e)\in K\times\mathcal{T}_8} x_{k,e}, \qquad z_1 = 2 \sum_{\substack{(k,e)\in K\times\mathcal{T}_8 \\ \operatorname{sgn} e=(-1)^k}} x_{k,e},$$

$$\begin{aligned}z_{2l+\varrho} = 2^{l+\varrho}\Big(&2^l(2l+1)^2\big((1-\varrho)x_{-1,1}+x_{-1,-4}\big)\\ &-(2l-1)(2l+1)^2\big((1-\varrho)x_{-1,8}+x_{-1,-8}\big)\\ &+(2l+1)^2\big((1-\varrho)x_{0,-8}+x_{0,8}\big)\\ &+2^l(2l+1)\big((1-\varrho)x_{1,1}+x_{1,-4}\big)\\ &+(2l+1)\big((1-\varrho)x_{1,8}+x_{1,-8}\big)\\ &+2^{3l}\alpha_l\big((1-\varrho)x_{2,-4}+x_{2,1}\big)\\ &+2^{3l}\beta_l\big((1-\varrho)x_{2,-8}+x_{2,8}\big)\Big),\end{aligned}$$

if $l \geq 1$, $\varrho \in \{0,1\}$, and

$$u_{2l+\varrho} = 2^{\varrho}\sum_{k,e}(-1)^{l(k+1)}(2l+1)^{1-k}\gamma_{l,e}x_{k,e}, \quad l \geq 0,\ \varrho \in \{0,1\},$$

where the sum in the latter case is taken over all $(k,e) \in K \times \mathcal{T}_8$ if $\varrho = 0$, and over $(k,e) \in K \times \mathcal{T}_8$ with $\operatorname{sgn} e = (-1)^k$ if $\varrho = 1$.

Let $y = (y_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be a sequence of the form (5.3). Let $c = c(y)$ be a non-negative number such that there exist 2-adic integers $x_{k,e}$ not all even satisfying

$$y_n(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^c}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

and if for some 2-adic integers $x_{k,e}$ we have

$$y_n(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{c+1}}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

then all the numbers $x_{k,e}$ are even.

Lemma 7 (see [8, Lemma 5])**.** *Let $K = \{-1,0,1,2\}$ and let L be a non-empty subset of K. Write $c(L) = c(z)$, where $z = (z_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is the sequence given above, defined on L. Then we have*

$$c(L) = 12,\ 9,\ 5,\ \textit{resp.}\ 2,$$

if $\operatorname{card}(L) = 4,3,2$, *resp.* 1, *unless $L = \{-1,1\}$ or $\{0,2\}$, in which cases*

$$c(L) = 6.$$

Lemma 8 (see [10, Lemma 5]). *Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer and let*

$$K = \{-m+2, -m+3, \dots, 1\}.$$

Then we have

$$c(u_n) = 3m - 1 + \operatorname{ord}_2\big((m-1)!\big).$$

Remark. Lemma 8 is also valid for any set consisting of m consecutive integers. In order to prove it we apply the same reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 5 [10].

6. Linear combinations of $\mathcal{L}_{k,e}(\xi)$

Recall that N is an odd natural number and ξ ($\neq 1$) is a primitive Nth root of unity in $\mathbb{C}_2$. Given 2-adic integers $\{x_{k,e}\}_{(k,e)\in K\times\mathcal{T}_8} \subseteq \mathbb{C}_2$ not all even, defined on a non-empty subset L of K, our purpose is to evaluate the linear combinations

$$\sum_{(k,e)\in K\times\mathcal{T}_8} x_{k,e}\mathcal{L}_{k,e}(\xi),$$

modulo powers of 2. In order to obtain the congruences stated in Lemma 9 we appeal to Lemmas 5 and 7. Combining the obtained congruences with Lemmas 1 and 2 we shall derive some new congruences for linear combinations of the values of 2-adic L-functions $L_2(k, \chi\omega^{1-k})$ with arbitrary 2-adic integral coefficients, where χ are primitive quadratic Dirichlet characters.

Lemma 9 (see [8, Lemma 5]). *Set $K = \{-1, 0, 1, 2\}$. Let $x_{k,e}$ ($k \in K$, $e \in \mathcal{T}_8$) be 2-adic integers not all even defined on a non-empty subset L of K. Then we have*

$$\sum_{(k,e)\in L\times\mathcal{T}_8} x_{k,e}\mathcal{L}_{k,e}(\xi) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^\lambda},$$

where 2^λ is the greatest common divisor of

$$2^{c(L)} \text{ and } z_n, \quad 0 \leq n \leq \max\big(2c(L) - 4, 2\big),$$

and

$$c(L) = 12,\ 9,\ 5, \text{ resp. } 2,$$

if $\operatorname{card}(L) = 4, 3, 2$, *resp.* 1, *unless* $L = \{-1, 1\}$ *or* $\{0, 2\}$, *in which cases*

$$c(L) = 6.$$

PROOF. We first observe that for n even

$$2z_n = z_{n+1} + \tilde{z}_{n+1},$$

where the $\tilde{z}_{n+1}$ comes from z_{n+1} by replacing $x_{k,-4}$ (resp. $x_{k,1}$, $x_{k,-8}$ or $x_{k,8}$) by $x_{k,1}$ (resp. $x_{k,-4}$, $x_{k,8}$ or $x_{k,-8}$).

In [8, Lemma 5] the congruence of Lemma 9 was proved modulo the greatest common divisor of $2^{c(L)}$ and z_n, $0 \le n \le 2c(L)-2$. Now it suffices to use the congruences

$$z_{2l+1} \equiv 2^{l+1}\eta \pmod{2^{l+2}}, \quad \tilde{z}_{2l+1} \equiv 2^{l+1}\tilde{\eta} \pmod{2^{l+2}},$$

$$z_{2l} \equiv 2^l\big(\eta + \tilde{\eta}\big) \pmod{2^{l+1}},$$

where $l \ge 1$ and

$$\eta = x_{-1,-8} + x_{0,8} + x_{1,-8} + x_{2,8}.$$

These congruences follow immediately by the definition of the $z_{2l+\varrho}$. Indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned} z_{2l+\varrho} \equiv 2^{l+\varrho}\Big(&\big((1-\varrho)x_{-1,8} + x_{-1,-8}\big) + \big((1-\varrho)x_{0,-8} + x_{0,8}\big) \\ &+ \big((1-\varrho)x_{1,8} + x_{1,-8}\big) + \big((1-\varrho)x_{2,-8} + x_{2,8}\big)\Big) \pmod{2^{l+\varrho+1}} \end{aligned}$$

because $\operatorname{ord}_2\big(2^{3l}\alpha_l\big) \ge 2l$ and $\operatorname{ord}_2\big(2^{3l}\beta_l\big) = 0$.

By the above, we have

$$z_{2c(L)-2} \equiv 2^{c(L)-1}\big(\eta + \tilde{\eta}\big) \pmod{2^{c(L)}}$$

$$z_{2c(L)-3} \equiv 2^{c(L)-1}\eta \pmod{2^{c(L)}}$$

$$z_{2c(L)-4} \equiv 2^{c(L)-2}\big(\eta + \tilde{\eta}\big) \pmod{2^{c(L)-1}},$$

$$z_{2c(L)-5} \equiv 2^{c(L)-2}\eta \pmod{2^{c(L)-1}},$$

provided $c(L) > 2$. Therefore we may ignore $z_{2c(L)-2}$ and $z_{2c(L)-3}$ if $c(L) > 2$. □

Appealing to Lemmas 6 and 8 we obtain:

Lemma 10 (see [10, Lemma 6]). *Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer and let*

$$K = \{-m+2, -m+3, \dots, 1\}.$$

Let $x_{k,e}$ $(k, \in K, e \in \mathcal{T}_8)$ be integers in $\mathbb{C}_2$ not all even. Then we have

(i) $$\sum_{(k,e)\in K\times\mathcal{T}_8} x_{k,e}\mathcal{L}_{k,e}(\xi) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^\lambda},$$

where 2^λ is the greatest common divisor of

$$2^{c(u_n)} \text{ and } u_n, \quad 0 \leq n \leq 4m-1,$$

(ii) *for an arbitrary integer s*

$$\sum_{(k,e)\in K\times\mathcal{T}_8} x_{k,e}\mathcal{L}_{k+s,e}(\xi) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^\lambda}.$$

7. Main theorems

In this section we extend linear congruence relations proved in [8] and [10]. We follow Uehara's ideas from [6] and give a further generalization of the Gras–Uehara type congruence for linear combinations of the values of 2-adic L-functions $L_2\big(k, \chi\omega^{1-k}\big)$, where χ is a quadratic Dirichlet character. We restrict our attention to the cases when k is taken over an arbitrary non-empty subset L of the set $K = \{-1, 0, 1, 2\}$ or when k is taken over an arbitrary finite set of consecutive integers. These cases were considered in [8] and [10] respectively. It appears to be still an open problem to find the Gras–Uehara type congruence when k is taken over any finite subset of the rational integers.

Let d be an odd fundamental discriminant and let $m > 1$ be a natural number. Throughout the paper let Ψ, $\Theta : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}_2$ be multiplicative functions such that $\Psi(s) \equiv \Theta(s) \equiv 1 \pmod 2$ if $s \mid m$. Let $\delta_{X,Y}$ denote the Kronecker delta function, that is, $\delta_{X,Y} = 1$ if $X = Y$ and is zero otherwise. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $e \in \mathcal{T}_8$ we write

$$L_2^{[m,\Theta]}\big(k, \chi_{ed}\omega^{1-k}\big) = 0$$

if $d=e=k=1$, and

$$L_2^{[m,\Theta]}\big(k,\chi_{ed}\omega^{1-k}\big)$$
$$=\Big(\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\chi_{ed}(p)\Theta(p)p^{1-k}\big)-\delta_{d,1}\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)\big)\Big)L_2\big(k,\chi_{ed}\omega^{1-k}\big)$$

otherwise. Set

$$L_{2,*}^{[m,\Theta]}\big(k,\chi_d\omega^{1-k}\big)$$
$$=\begin{cases}h(d), & \text{if } k=0 \text{ and } d<0,\\ 0, & \text{if } k=0 \text{ and } d>0,\\ \big(1-\chi_d(2)2^{-k}\big)^{-1}L_2^{[m,\Theta]}\big(k,\chi_d\omega^{1-k}\big), & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

If $\Theta(s)=1$ for $s\mid m$, we have $L_2^{[m,\Theta]}\big(k,\chi_{ed}\omega^{1-k}\big)=L_2^{[m]}\big(k,\chi_{ed}\omega^{1-k}\big)$ and

$$L_2^{[m]}\big(k,\chi_{ed}\omega^{1-k}\big)$$
$$=\begin{cases}0, & \text{if } d=e=k=1,\\ \displaystyle\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\chi_{ed}(p)p^{1-k}\big)L_2\big(k,\chi_e\omega^{1-k}\big), & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Now we are ready to extend the main theorems of the papers [8] and [10]. Let $m,s>1$ be square-free natural numbers with $s\mid m$. We shall apply the following identity

$$\sum_{t\mid s}\Theta(t)\prod_{\substack{p\mid(s/t)\\ p\text{ prime}}}(1-\Theta(p))\prod_{\substack{p\mid t\\ p\text{ prime}}}(1-\Phi(p))=\prod_{\substack{p\mid s\\ p\text{ prime}}}(1-\Phi(p)\Theta(p)),\tag{7.4}$$

see [6, (3.1)].

Theorem 1 (cf. [8, Main Theorem], [10, Theorem])**.** *Let $m>1$ be a square-free odd natural number having ν prime factors and let Ψ, $\Theta:\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{C}_2$ be multiplicative functions satisfying $\Psi(s)\equiv\Theta(s)\equiv 1 \pmod 2$ if $s\mid m$. Let K have the same meaning as in Lemma 9 (resp. Lemma 10)*

and let $x=\{x_{k,e}\}_{(k,e)\in K\times\mathcal{T}_8}$ *be a set of 2-adic integers not all even. Set*

$$\Lambda_1(m,\Theta)=-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\Theta(p)\log_2 p\prod_{\substack{q\mid(m/p)\\ q\text{ prime}}}(1-\Theta(q)).$$

Then the number

$$\Lambda(x,m,\Psi,\Theta):=\sum_{(k,e)\in K\times\mathcal{T}_8}x_{k,e}\sum_{d\in\mathcal{T}_m}\Psi(|d|)L_2^{[m,\Theta]}\big(k,\chi_{ed}\omega^{1-k}\big)+x_{1,1}\Lambda_1(m,\Theta)$$

is a 2-adic integer divisible by $2^{\nu+\lambda}$*, where* λ *has the same meaning as in Lemma 9 if* $K=\{-1,0,1,2\}$ *and* x *is defined on a non-empty finite subset* L *of* K *(resp. Lemma 10 if* K *is a finite set of consecutive integers).*

Proof. Write

$$\Lambda_2(x,m,\Theta)=\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)\big)\sum_{\substack{(k,e)\in K\times\mathcal{T}_8\\ (k,e)\neq(1,1)}}x_{k,e}L_2\big(k,\chi_e\omega^{1-k}\big).$$

and

$$L_2'\big(k,\chi_{ed}\omega^{1-k}\big)=\begin{cases}0, & \text{if } e=d=k=1,\\ L_2\big(k,\chi_{ed}\omega^{1-k}\big), & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

We proceed in the same manner as in the proof of the Main Theorem in [8] (resp. the Theorem in [10]). Making use of (7.4), for any multiplicative function $\Phi:\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{C}_2$ and fixed u, s with $u\mid s$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta^{-1}(u)\sum_{u\mid t\mid s}\Theta(t)\prod_{\substack{p\mid(s/t)\\ p\text{ prime}}}(1-\Theta(p))\prod_{\substack{p\mid(t/u)\\ p\text{ prime}}}(1-\Phi(p))\\ =\prod_{\substack{p\mid(s/u)\\ p\text{ prime}}}(1-\Phi(p)\Theta(p)).\end{aligned}\tag{7.5}$$

This follows from (7.4) by a simple induction on the number of prime factors of s/u. We observe that for any functions f and g

$$\sum_{d\mid m}f(d)\sum_{c\mid d}g(c)h(d,c)=\sum_{d\mid m}g(d)\sum_{d\mid c\mid m}f(c)h(c,d).\tag{7.6}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Lambda(x,m,\Psi,\Theta)-x_{1,1}\Lambda_1(m,\Theta)+\Lambda_2(x,m,\Theta)\\
&\quad=\sum_{(k,e)\in K\times\mathcal{T}_8}x_{k,e}\sum_{d\in\mathcal{T}_m}\Psi(|d|)\prod_{\substack{p\mid(m/d)\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)\chi_{ed}(p)p^{1-k}\big)L_2'\big(k,\chi_{ed}\omega^{1-k}\big)\\
&\quad=\sum_{(k,e)\in K\times\mathcal{T}_8}x_{k,e}\sum_{d\in\mathcal{T}_m}\Psi(|d|)\Theta^{-1}(|d|)L_2'\big(k,\chi_{ed}\omega^{1-k}\big)\\
&\qquad\times\sum_{\substack{c\in\mathcal{T}_m\\ pd\in\mathcal{T}_c}}\Theta(|c|)\prod_{\substack{p\mid(m/c)\\ p\text{ prime}}}(1-\Theta(p))\prod_{\substack{p\mid(c/d)\\ p\ \text{ prime}}}(1-\chi_{ed}(p)p^{1-k})\\
&\quad=\sum_{(k,e)\in K\times\mathcal{T}_8}x_{k,e}\sum_{d\in\mathcal{T}_m}\Theta(|d|)\prod_{\substack{p\mid(m/d)\\ p\text{ prime}}}(1-\Theta(p))\\
&\qquad\times\sum_{c\in\mathcal{T}_d}\Psi(|c|)\Theta^{-1}(|c|)\prod_{\substack{p\mid(d/c)\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\chi_{ec}(p)p^{1-k}\big)L_2'\big(k,\chi_{ec}\omega^{1-k}\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently appealing to Lemma 2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Lambda(x,m,\Psi,\Theta)\\
&\quad=\sum_{1\neq d\in\mathcal{T}_m}\Theta(|d|)\mu(|d|)\prod_{\substack{p\mid(m/d)\\ p\text{ prime}}}(1-\Theta(p))\sum_{a=1}^{|d|}{}'\Big(\sum_{\substack{k\in K\\ e\in\mathcal{T}_8}}x_{k,e}\mathcal{L}_{k,e}\big(\zeta_{|d|}^a\big)\Big)\\
&\qquad\times\Big(\sum_{c\in\mathcal{T}_d}\mu(|c|)\Psi(|c|)\Theta^{-1}(|c|)\tau\big(\chi_c,\zeta_{|c|}\big)|c|^{-1}\chi_c(a)\Big)\\
&\quad=\sum_{1\neq d\in\mathcal{T}_m}\Theta(|d|)\mu(|d|)\prod_{\substack{p\mid(m/d)\\ p\text{ prime}}}(1-\Theta(p))\sum_{a=1}^{|d|}{}'\Big(\sum_{\substack{k\in K\\ e\in\mathcal{T}_8}}x_{k,e}\mathcal{L}_{k,e}\big(\zeta_{|d|}^a\big)\Big)\\
&\qquad\times\Big(\prod_{\substack{p\mid d\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\tau(\chi_{p^*},\zeta_p)p^{-1}\Psi(p)\Theta^{-1}(p)\chi_{p^*}(a)\big)\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

where $p^*=(-1)^{(p-1)/2}p$ and $\zeta_{|d|}=\prod\limits_{\substack{p\mid d\\ p\text{ prime}}}\zeta_p$.

Now Theorem 1 follows from Lemma 9 when $K=\{-1,0,1,2\}$ or from Lemma 10 when K is a set of consecutive integers. □

The Main Theorem in [8] and Theorem in [10] are special cases of Theorem 1 when $\Theta(s)=1$ for $s \mid m$.

We now extend Theorem 2 [6] (a supplement of Theorem 1 [6]). Let m (>1) be a square-free odd natural number. Denote by $I(m)$ the set of $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $l_k(\zeta_c^a)$ are 2-adic integers for any c and a with $c \mid m$, $c \neq 1$, $1 \le a \le c$ and $\gcd(a,c)=1$. By definition, we have $1 \in I(m)$ and $r \in I(m)$ for any integer $r \le 0$. The question whether $I(m)=\mathbb{Z}$ remains to be open.

Theorem 2 (cf. [6, Theorem 2]). *Let $m>1$ be a square-free odd natural number having ν prime factors and let Ψ, $\Theta : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}_2$ be multiplicative functions satisfying $\Psi(s) \equiv \Theta(s) \equiv 1 \pmod 2$ if $s \mid m$. Set*

$$\Lambda_{0,*}(m,\Theta)=\frac{1}{2}\Big(\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p \text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)p\big)-\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p \text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)\big)\Big)$$

and

$$\Lambda_{1,*}(m,\Theta)=\sum_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p \ prime}}\Theta(p)\log_2 p\prod_{\substack{q\mid (m/p)\\ q \text{ prime}}}(1-\Theta(q)).$$

For $k \in I(m)$ the number

$$\Lambda_*(k,m,\Psi,\Theta):=\sum_{d\in\mathcal{T}_m}\Psi(|d|)L_{2,*}^{[m,\Theta]}\big(k,\chi_d\omega^{1-k}\big)+\delta_{k,0}\Lambda_{0,*}(m,\Theta)+\delta_{k,1}\Lambda_{1,*}(m,\Theta)$$

is a 2-adic integer divisible by 2^ν.

Proof. Write

$$\Lambda'(k,m,\Theta)=\begin{cases}\big(1-2^{-k}\big)^{-1}L_2^{[m,\Theta]}\big(k,\omega^{1-k}\big), & \text{if } k\neq 0,1,\\ \Lambda_{k,*}(m,\Theta), & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

and

$$\Lambda''(k,m,\Psi,\Theta)=\sum_{d\in\mathcal{T}_m}\Psi(|d|)\prod_{\substack{p\mid (m/d)\\ p \text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)\chi_d(p)p^{1-k}\big)L_2''\big(k,\chi_d\omega^{1-k}\big),$$

where

$$L_2''\big(k,\chi_d\omega^{1-k}\big)=\begin{cases} h(d), & \text{if } k=0 \text{ and } d<0,\\ 0, & \text{if } k=0 \text{ and } d>0,\\ & \text{or } k\neq 0 \text{ and } d=1,\\ \big(1-\chi_d(2)2^{-k}\big)^{-1}L_2\big(k,\chi_d\omega^{1-k}\big), & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

We first observe that

$$\Lambda_*(k,m,\Psi,\Theta)=\Lambda'(k,m,\Theta)+\Lambda''(k,m,\Psi,\Theta).$$

On the other hand, by virtue of (7.4) we have

$$\Lambda'(k,m,\Theta)=\big(1-2^{-k}\big)^{-1}\sum_{\substack{d\in\mathcal{T}_m\\ d\neq 1}}\Theta(|d|)\prod_{\substack{p|(m/d)\\ p \text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)\big)\times\prod_{\substack{p|d\\ p \text{ prime}}}\big(1-p^{1-k}\big)L_2\big(k,\omega^{1-k}\big),$$

if $k\neq 0,1$ and

$$\Lambda'(0,m,\Theta)=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{d\in\mathcal{T}_m\\ d\neq 1}}(-1)^{\nu(d)}\Theta(|d|)\phi(|d|)\prod_{\substack{p|(m/d)\\ p \text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)\big).$$

Moreover by virtue of (7.5) we have

$$\Lambda''(k,m,\Psi,\Theta)=\sum_{d\in\mathcal{T}_m}\Psi(|d|)L_2''\big(k,\chi_d\omega^{1-k}\big)\Theta^{-1}(|d|)\sum_{d|c|m}\Theta(|c|)\times\prod_{\substack{p|(m/c)\\ p \text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)\big)\prod_{\substack{p|(c/d)\\ p \text{ prime}}}\big(1-\chi_d(p)p^{1-k}\big),$$

and so in view of (7.6) we obtain

$$\Lambda''(k,m,\Psi,\Theta)=\sum_{d\in\mathcal{T}_m}\Theta(|d|)\prod_{\substack{p|(m/d)\\ p \text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)\big)\times\sum_{c\in\mathcal{T}_d}\Psi(|c|)\Theta^{-1}(|c|)\prod_{\substack{p|(d/c)\\ p \ \ prime}}\big(1-\chi_c(p)p^{1-k}\big)L_2''\big(k,\chi_c\omega^{1-k}\big).$$

Therefore appealing to Lemmas 3 and 4 we deduce that

$$\Lambda'(k,m,\Theta)=(-1)^{k+1}\sum_{\substack{d\in\mathcal{T}_m\\ d\neq 1}}(-1)^{\nu(d)}\Theta(|d|)\prod_{\substack{p|(m/d)\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)\big)\sum_{b=1}^{|d|}{}'l_k\big(\zeta_{|d|}^b\big)$$

and

$$\Lambda''(k,m,\Psi,\Theta)=(-1)^{k+1}\sum_{\substack{d\in\mathcal{T}_m\\ d\neq 1}}\Theta(|d|)\prod_{\substack{p|(m/d)\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)\big)$$

$$\times\sum_{c\in\mathcal{T}_d}\Psi(|c|)\Theta^{-1}(|c|)\frac{\tau\big(\chi_c,\zeta_{|c|}\big)}{|c|}\prod_{\substack{p|(d/c)\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\chi_c(p)p^{1-k}\big)\sum_{b=1}^{|c|}{}'\chi_c(b)l_k\big(\zeta_{|c|}^b\big).$$

Thus in view of Lemma 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_*(k,m,\Psi,\Theta)&=(-1)^{k+1}\sum_{\substack{d\in\mathcal{T}_m\\ d\neq 1}}(-1)^{\nu(d)}\Theta(|d|)\prod_{\substack{p|(m/d)\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)\big)\\&\quad\times\sum_{c\in\mathcal{T}_d}\Psi(|c|)\Theta^{-1}(|c|)\mu(|c|)\frac{\tau\big(\chi_c,\zeta_{|c|}\big)}{|c|}\sum_{b=1}^{|d|}{}'\chi_c(b)l_k\big(\zeta_{|d|}^b\big)\\&=(-1)^{k+1}\sum_{\substack{d\in\mathcal{T}_m\\ d\neq 1}}(-1)^{\nu(d)}\Theta(|d|)\prod_{\substack{p|(m/d)\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)\big)\sum_{b=1}^{|d|}{}'l_k\big(\zeta_{|d|}^b\big)\\&\quad\times\sum_{c\in\mathcal{T}_d}\mu(|c|)\Psi(|c|)\Theta^{-1}(|c|)\frac{\tau\big(\chi_c,\zeta_{|c|}\big)}{|c|}\chi_c(b)\\&=(-1)^{k+1}\sum_{\substack{d\in\mathcal{T}_m\\ d\neq 1}}\Theta(|d|)\prod_{\substack{p|(m/d)\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)\big)\sum_{b=1}^{|d|}{}'l_k\big(\zeta_{|d|}^b\big)\\&\quad\times\prod_{\substack{p|d\\ p\text{ prime}}}\Big(\tau\big(\chi_{p^*},\zeta_p\big)p^{-1}\Psi\Theta^{-1}(p)\chi_{p^*}(b)-1\Big),\end{aligned}$$

which proves Theorem 2. □

8. Optimal linear congruences

The congruences in the hypothesis of Theorem 1

$$\sum_{(k,e)\in K\times\mathcal{T}_8} x_{k,e} \sum_{d\in\mathcal{T}_m} \Psi(|d|) L_2^{[m,\Theta]}\big(k, \chi_{ed}\omega^{1-k}\big) + x_{1,1}\Lambda_1(m,\Theta) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\nu+\lambda}}$$

are said to be optimal if $\lambda = c(L)$ (resp. $\lambda = c(u_n)$). The 2-adic integers $x_{k,e}$ ($k \in K$, $e \in \mathcal{T}_8$) determining an optimal linear congruence are called optimal for K. For example, the congruences proved in [4], [7] or resp. [5] are optimal for $K = \{0\}$, $K = \{-1, 0\}$ or resp. $K = \{-m, \dots, -1, 0\}$ ($m \geq 0$).

Optimal linear congruences exist for any non-empty subset L of $K = \{-1, 0, 1, 2\}$ and when K is a finite subset of consecutive integers. Such a congruence was given explicitly in the proof of Lemma 5 in [8] in the former case and inductively in the proof of Lemma 6 in [10] in the latter case.

9. Applications of Theorem 1

When $L = \{0, 1\}$ Theorem 1 gives the congruences of Gras [3] and Uehara [6] for class numbers of quadratic fields which are modulo $2^{\nu+\lambda}$, where $\lambda \leq 5$. When $L = \{-1, 0\}$ (resp. $L = \{0\}$) we obtain congruences for the same objects as those in [7] (resp. [4]). The obtained congruences are modulo $2^{\nu+\lambda}$, where $\lambda \leq 6$ (resp. $\lambda \leq 2$). When $2 \in L$ the congruences implied by Theorem 1 are quite new and especially interesting. They produce, via a 2-adic version of the Lichtenbaum conjecture, some new congruences for the conjectured orders of K_2-groups of the integers of imaginary quadratic fields. We present these congruences in a general form in Theorem 3.

For the discriminant $\mathcal{D}$ of a quadratic field, we write

$$H(\mathcal{D}) = L_2\big(k, \chi_{\mathcal{D}}\omega^{1-k}\big) \quad \big(\text{resp. } K_2(\mathcal{D}) = 2L_2\big(k, \chi_{\mathcal{D}}\omega^{1-k}\big)\big),$$

if $k = 0$, $\mathcal{D} < 0$ or $k = 1$, $\mathcal{D} > 1$ (resp. $k = -1$, $\mathcal{D} > 1$ or $k = 2$, $\mathcal{D} < 0$). We have

$$H(\mathcal{D}) = \begin{cases} 2w^{-1}(\mathcal{D})\big(1 - \chi_{\mathcal{D}}(2)\big)h(\mathcal{D}), & \text{if } \mathcal{D} < 0, \\ \big(2 - \chi_{\mathcal{D}}(2)\big)\mathcal{D}^{-1/2}h(\mathcal{D})\log_2 \varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}}, & \text{if } \mathcal{D} > 1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$K_2(\mathcal{D}) = \begin{cases} -24w_2^{-1}(\mathcal{D})\big(1-\chi_{\mathcal{D}}(2)2\big)k_2(\mathcal{D}), & \text{if } \mathcal{D}>1,\\ \big(4-\chi_{\mathcal{D}}(2)\big)|\mathcal{D}|^{-3/2}R_{2,2}(\mathcal{D})k_2(\mathcal{D}), & \text{if } \mathcal{D}<0. \end{cases}$$

In the formula for $K_2(\mathcal{D})$ when $\mathcal{D}<0$ we assume that the 2-adic Lichtenbaum conjecture for imaginary quadratic fields holds. Now we are ready to extend results of [8, Applications]. We rewrite Theorem 1 with $K=\{-1,0,1,2\}$ in the form:

Theorem 3 (cf. [8, Applications])**.** *Let $m>1$ be a square-free odd natural number having ν prime factors and let $\Theta,\Psi:\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{C}_2$ be multiplicative functions such that $\Theta(s)\equiv\Psi(s)\equiv 1 \pmod 2$ if $s\mid m$. Set $K=\{-1,0,1,2\}$ and let L be a non-empty subset of K. Given a set $x=\{x_{k,e}\}_{(k,e)\in K\times\mathcal{T}_8}$ of 2-adic integers not all even defined on L, set*

$$\Lambda=\Lambda_{-1}+\Lambda_0+\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2+\Lambda'_{-1}+\Lambda'_1,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{-1} &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{e\in\mathcal{T}_8} x_{-1,e}\sum_{\substack{d\in\mathcal{T}_m\\ ed>1}}\Psi(|d|)\\
&\quad\times\Big(\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\chi_{ed}(p)\Theta(p)p^2\big)-\delta_{d,1}\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)\big)\Big)K_2(ed),\\
\Lambda_0 &= \sum_{e\in\mathcal{T}_8} x_{0,e}\sum_{\substack{d\in\mathcal{T}_m\\ ed<0}}\Psi(|d|)\\
&\quad\times\Big(\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\chi_{ed}(p)\Theta(p)p\big)-\delta_{d,1}\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)\big)\Big)H(ed),\\
\Lambda_1 &= \sum_{e\in\mathcal{T}_8} x_{1,e}\sum_{\substack{d\in\mathcal{T}_m\\ ed>1}}\Psi(|d|)\\
&\quad\times\Big(\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\chi_{ed}(p)\Theta(p)\big)-\delta_{d,1}\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)\big)\Big)H(ed),\\
\Lambda_2 &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{e\in\mathcal{T}_8} x_{2,e}\sum_{\substack{d\in\mathcal{T}_m\\ ed<0}}\Psi(|d|)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times\Big(\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\chi_{ed}(p)\Theta(p)p^{-1}\big)-\delta_{d,1}\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)\big)\Big)K_2(ed),$$

$$\Lambda'_{-1}=\frac{1}{12}x_{-1,1}\Big(\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)p^2\big)-\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)\big)\Big),$$

$$\Lambda'_1=-\frac{1}{2}x_{1,1}\sum_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\Theta(p)\log_2 p\prod_{\substack{q\mid(m/p)\\ q\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(q)\big).$$

Then the number Λ is a 2-adic integer divisible by $2^{\nu+\lambda}$, where λ has the same meaning as in Theorem 1.

10. The case $L=\{0,1\}$

Hardy and Williams [4] discovered a new type of linear congruence relating class numbers of imaginary quadratic fields. A general linear congruence relating class numbers and units both of real and imaginary quadratic fields was discovered by Gras [3]. Gras derived his congruence using 2-adic measure theory. Uehara [6] reproved Gras' congruence using elementary 2-adic arguments. Both Gras and Uehara used the 2-adic analogue of Dirichlet's class number formulas. Urbanowicz and Wójcik [8] and Wójcik [10] indicated how Uehara's techniques may be used to obtain more general congruences among the values of 2-adic L-functions. Gras and Uehara's congruences are special cases of Theorems 1 and 2.

Theorem 4 (see [6, Theorem 1])**.** *Let $m>1$ be an odd square-free integer having ν prime factors, and let $\Theta,\Psi:\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{C}_2$ be multiplicative functions such that $\Psi(s)\equiv\Theta(s)\equiv 1 \pmod 2$ for any divisor $s\mid m$. In the notation of Theorem 3, for any 2-adic integers $x_{0,e}$, $x_{1,e}$ $(e\in\mathcal{T}_8)$ not all even we have*

$$\sum_{e\in\mathcal{T}_8}x_{0,e}\sum_{\substack{d\in\mathcal{T}_m\\ ed<0}}\Psi(|d|)\Big(\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\chi_{ed}(p)\Theta(p)p\big)-\delta_{d,1}\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)\big)\Big)H(ed)$$

$$+\sum_{e\in\mathcal{T}_8}x_{1,e}\sum_{\substack{d\in\mathcal{T}_m\\ ed>1}}\Psi(|d|)\Big(\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\chi_{ed}(p)\Theta(p)\big)-\delta_{d,1}\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)\big)\Big)H(ed)$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}x_{1,1}\sum_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\Theta(p)\log_2 p\prod_{\substack{q\mid(m/p)\\ q\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(q)\big)\equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\nu+\lambda}},$$

where 2^λ is the greatest common divisor of the eight integers s_i $(0 \le i \le 7)$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_0 &= x_{0,-8} + x_{0,-4} + x_{0,1} + x_{0,8} + x_{1,-8} + x_{1,-4} + x_{1,1} + x_{1,8},\\
s_1 &= 2\big(x_{0,1} + x_{0,8} + x_{1,-8} + x_{1,-4}\big),\\
s_2 &= 2\big(3x_{0,-8} + 3x_{0,8} + x_{1,-8} + 2x_{1,-4} + 2x_{1,1} + x_{1,8}\big),\\
s_3 &= 4\big(3x_{0,8} + x_{1,-8} + 2x_{1,-4}\big),\\
s_4 &= 4\big(5x_{0,-8} + 5x_{0,8} + x_{1,-8} + 4x_{1,-4} + 4x_{1,1} + x_{1,8}\big),\\
s_5 &= 8\big(x_{0,8} + x_{1,-8}\big),\\
s_6 &= 8\big(x_{0,-8} + x_{0,8} - x_{1,-8} - x_{1,8}\big),\\
s_7 &= 32.
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark. The proof of Theorem 4 is straightforward. We see at once that $\gcd(z_i, 32) = \gcd(s_i, 32)$, $0 \le i \le 6$, which is clear from (1.1) and (1.2) (with $p = 2$).

Theorem 4 is the main result of [6]. This theorem and its supplement stated in [6, Theorem 2] include the congruences proved in [3, Théorèmes (1.3), (1.4)] and [4]. For details and other applications see [6].

In fact Uehara has provided a general method of producing such congruences. It is a simple matter to determine linear congruence relations with given λ. We will look more closely at the case when $\lambda = 5$.

Corollary 1. *The congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 4 is optimal if and only if*

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{0,-8} &= a,\\
x_{0,-4} &= a + 32b - 16c - 24d + 4e + 4f + 2g,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{0,1} &= -a + 16c + 16d - 4e - 4f - 2g + 2h,\\
x_{0,8} &= -a + 16d - 4f + 2h,\\
x_{1,-8} &= a - 16d + 4f + 4g - 2h,\\
x_{1,-4} &= a - 16d + 4e + 4f - 2g - 2h,\\
x_{1,1} &= -a - 8d - 4e + 4f + 2g,\\
x_{1,8} &= -a + 32d - 8f - 4g,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h \in \mathbb{C}_2$ are integers with a odd.

PROOF. The congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 4 is valid modulo $2^{\nu+5}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_0 = 32b,\ s_1 = 32c,\ s_2 = 32d,\ s_3 = 32e,\\
s_4 = 32f,\ s_5 = 32g,\ s_6 = 32h
\end{gathered}
\tag{10.7}
$$

for some integers $b, c, d, e, f, g, h \in \mathbb{C}_2$. Taking $x_{0,-8} = a$ we obtain a system of seven linear equations with seven unknowns $x_{0,-4}$, $x_{0,1}$, $x_{0,8}$, $x_{1,-8}$, $x_{1,-4}$, $x_{1,1}$, $x_{1,8}$ and determinant -8. An easy computation gives the formulas of Corollary 1 at once. □

Corollary 2. *If the congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 4 is optimal then all the $x_{0,e}$, $x_{1,e}$ ($e \in \mathcal{T}_8$) are odd. None of these coefficients can vanish in particular.*

11. The case $L = \{-1, 0\}$

In this case the obtained congruences extend those of [7] for the orders of K_2-groups of the integers of real quadratic fields and class numbers of imaginary quadratic fields. We leave it to the reader to show that Theorem 5 implies the Theorem in [7]. In the case when $L = \{-1, 0\}$ we have $c(L) = 5$ and the congruences are valid modulo $2^{\nu+\lambda+1}$, where $\lambda \leq 5$.

Theorem 5. *Let $m > 1$ be an odd square-free integer having ν prime factors, and let $\Theta, \Psi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}_2$ be multiplicative functions such that $\Psi(s) \equiv$*

$\Theta(s)\equiv 1 \pmod 2$ *for any divisor* $s\mid m$. *In the notation of Theorem 3, for any 2-adic integers* $x_{-1,e}$, $x_{0,e}$ $(e\in\mathcal{T}_8)$ *not all even we have*

$$\sum_{e\in\mathcal{T}_8} x_{-1,e}\sum_{\substack{d\in\mathcal{T}_m\\ ed>1}}\Psi(|d|)\Big(\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\chi_{ed}(p)\Theta(p)p^2\big)-\delta_{d,1}\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)\big)\Big)K_2(ed)$$

$$+2\sum_{e\in\mathcal{T}_8} x_{0,e}\sum_{\substack{d\in\mathcal{T}_m\\ ed<0}}\Psi(|d|)\Big(\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\chi_{ed}(p)\Theta(p)p\big)-\delta_{d,1}\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)\big)\Big)H(ed)$$

$$+\frac{1}{6}x_{-1,1}\Big(\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)p^2\big)-\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)\big)\Big)\equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\nu+\lambda+1}},$$

where 2^λ *is the greatest common divisor of the eight integers* s_i $(0\le i\le 7)$ *defined by*

$$s_0 = x_{-1,-8}+x_{-1,-4}+x_{-1,1}+x_{-1,8}+x_{0,-8}+x_{0,-4}+x_{0,1}+x_{0,8},$$

$$s_1 = 2\big(x_{-1,-8}+x_{-1,-4}+x_{0,1}+x_{0,8}\big),$$

$$s_2 = 2\big(-x_{-1,-8}+2x_{-1,-4}+2x_{-1,1}-x_{-1,8}+x_{0,-8}+x_{0,8}\big),$$

$$s_3 = 4\big(-x_{-1,-8}+2x_{-1,-4}+x_{0,8}\big),$$

$$s_4 = 4\big(-3x_{-1,-8}+4x_{-1,-4}+4x_{-1,1}-3x_{-1,8}+x_{0,-8}+x_{0,8}\big),$$

$$s_5 = 8\big(x_{-1,-8}+x_{0,8}\big),$$

$$s_6 = 8\big(-x_{-1,-8}-x_{-1,8}+x_{0,-8}+x_{0,8}\big),$$

$$s_7 = 32.$$

Proof. The proof is immediate. We apply (1.1) and (1.2) again. □

Corollary 1. *The congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 5 is optimal if and only if*

$$x_{-1,-8} = a,$$

$$x_{-1,-4} = a+4e-2g,$$

$$x_{-1,1} = -a + 8d - 4e + 2g - 2h,$$

$$x_{-1,8} = -a + 16d - 4f - 2h,$$

$$x_{1,-8} = a + 16d - 4f - 4g + 2h,$$

$$x_{1,-4} = a + 32b - 16c - 40d + 4e + 8f + 2g + 2h,$$

$$x_{1,1} = -a + 16c - 4e - 2g,$$

$$x_{1,8} = -a + 4g,$$

where $a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h \in \mathbb{C}_2$ are integers with odd a.

PROOF. The congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 5 is valid modulo $2^{\nu+5}$ if and only if s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5, s_6 satisfy (10.7) for some integers $b, c, d, e, f, g, h \in \mathbb{C}_2$. Taking $x_{-1,-8} = a$ we obtain a system of seven linear equations with seven unknowns $x_{-1,-4}$, $x_{-1,1}$, $x_{-1,8}$, $x_{0,-8}$, $x_{0,-4}$, $x_{0,1}$, $x_{0,8}$ and determinant -8. A standard computation gives the formulas of Corollary 1 at once. □

Corollary 2. *If the congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 5 is optimal then all the $x_{-1,e}$, $x_{0,e}$ ($e \in \mathcal{T}_8$) are odd. None of these coefficients can vanish in particular.*

12. The case $L = \{-1, 2\}$

In the case when $L = \{-1, 2\}$ we derive linear congruences among the conjectured orders of K_2-groups of the integers of quadratic fields. In this case the obtained congruence provides an analogue of the Gras and Uehara congruence in K_2-theory. Here $c(L) = 5$ and the congruences are valid modulo $2^{\nu+\lambda+1}$, where $\lambda \leq 5$.

Theorem 6. *Let $m > 1$ be an odd square-free integer having ν prime factors, and let $\Theta, \Psi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}_2$ be multiplicative functions such that $\Psi(s) \equiv \Theta(s) \equiv 1 \pmod 2$ for any divisor $s \mid m$. In the notation of Theorem 3, for*

any 2-adic integers $x_{-1,e}$, $x_{2,e}$ $(e \in \mathcal{T}_8)$ *not all even we have*

$$\sum_{e\in\mathcal{T}_8} x_{-1,e} \sum_{\substack{d\in\mathcal{T}_m\\ ed>1}} \Psi(|d|)\Big(\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p \text{ prime}}} \big(1-\chi_{ed}(p)\Theta(p)p^2\big) - \delta_{d,1} \prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p \text{ prime}}} \big(1-\Theta(p)\big)\Big) K_2(ed)$$
$$+ \sum_{e\in\mathcal{T}_8} x_{2,e} \sum_{\substack{d\in\mathcal{T}_m\\ ed<0}} \Psi(|d|)\Big(\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p \text{ prime}}} \big(1-\chi_{ed}(p)\Theta(p)p^{-1}\big) - \delta_{d,1} \prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p \text{ prime}}} \big(1-\Theta(p)\big)\Big) K_2(ed),$$
$$+ \frac{1}{6} x_{-1,1}\Big(\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p \text{ prime}}} \big(1-\Theta(p)p^2\big) - \prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p \text{ prime}}} \big(1-\Theta(p)\big)\Big) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\nu+\lambda+1}},$$

where 2^λ *is the greatest common divisor of the eight integers* s_i $(0 \le i \le 7)$ *defined by*

$$s_0 = x_{-1,-8} + x_{-1,-4} + x_{-1,1} + x_{-1,8} + x_{2,-8} + x_{2,-4} + x_{2,1} + x_{2,8},$$
$$s_1 = 2\big(x_{-1,-8} + x_{-1,-4} + x_{2,1} + x_{2,8}\big),$$
$$s_2 = 2\big(7x_{-1,-8} + 2x_{-1,-4} + 2x_{-1,1} + 7x_{-1,8} + 5x_{2,-8} + 4x_{2,-4} + 4x_{2,1} + 5x_{2,8}\big),$$
$$s_3 = 4\big(-x_{-1,-8} + 2x_{-1,-4} + 4x_{2,1} + 5x_{2,8}\big),$$
$$s_4 = 4\big(5x_{-1,-8} + 4x_{-1,-4} + 4x_{-1,1} + 5x_{-1,8} + x_{2,-8} + x_{2,8}\big),$$
$$s_5 = 8\big(x_{-1,-8} + x_{2,8}\big),$$
$$s_6 = 8\big(3x_{-1,-8} + 3x_{-1,8} + x_{2,-8} + x_{2,8}\big),$$
$$s_7 = 32.$$

PROOF. In order to obtain the above formulas for s_i, $0 \le i \le 6$ we make use of (1.1) and (1.2). □

Corollary 1. *The congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 6 is optimal if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned}
x_{-1,-8} &= a,\\
x_{-1,-4} &= -3a + 32c - 4e + 2g,\\
x_{-1,1} &= 3a + 64b - 32c - 8d + 4e - 2g + 2h,\\
x_{-1,8} &= -a - 128b + 16d + 4f - 6h,\\
x_{2,-8} &= a + 384b - 48d - 12f - 4g + 22h,\\
x_{2,-4} &= -3a - 288b + 16c + 40d - 4e + 8f + 6g - 18h,\\
x_{2,1} &= 3a - 16c + 4e - 6g,\\
x_{2,8} &= -a + 4g,
\end{aligned}$$

where $a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h \in \mathbb{C}_2$ are integers with a odd.

Proof. We proceed in the same way as in the proof of Corollary 1 to Theorem 5. Taking $x_{-1,-8} = a$ we obtain a system of seven linear equations with seven unknowns $x_{-1,-4}$, $x_{-1,1}$, $x_{-1,8}$, $x_{2,-8}$, $x_{2,-4}$, $x_{2,1}$, $x_{2,8}$ and determinant 8. An easy verification gives the above formulas immediately. □

Corollary 2. *If the congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 6 is optimal then all the $x_{-1,e}$, $x_{2,e}$ ($e \in \mathcal{T}_8$) are odd. None of these coefficients can vanish in particular.*

13. The case $L = \{1, 2\}$

In the case when $L = \{1, 2\}$ we obtain linear congruences for class numbers of real quadratic fields and the orders of K_2-groups of the integers of imaginary quadratic fields. In this case $c(L) = 5$ and the obtained congruences are valid modulo $2^{\nu+\lambda+1}$, where $\lambda \leq 5$.

Theorem 7. *Let $m > 1$ be an odd square-free integer having ν prime factors, and let $\Theta, \Psi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}_2$ be multiplicative functions such that $\Psi(s) \equiv$*

$\Theta(s)\equiv 1 \pmod 2$ for any divisor $s \mid m$. In the notation of Theorem 3, for any 2-adic integers $x_{1,e}$, $x_{2,e}$ $(e\in\mathcal{T}_8)$ not all even we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2\sum_{e\in\mathcal{T}_8} x_{1,e}\sum_{\substack{d\in\mathcal{T}_m\\ ed>1}}\Psi(|d|)\Big(\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\chi_{ed}(p)\Theta(p)\big)\\
-\delta_{d,1}\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)\big)\Big)H(ed)\\
+\sum_{e\in\mathcal{T}_8} x_{2,e}\sum_{\substack{d\in\mathcal{T}_m\\ ed<0}}\Psi(|d|)\Big(\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\chi_{ed}(p)\Theta(p)p^{-1}\big)\\
-\delta_{d,1}\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)\big)\Big)K_2(ed),\\
-x_{1,1}\sum_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\Theta(p)\log_2 p\prod_{\substack{q\mid(m/p)\\ q\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(q)\big)\equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\nu+\lambda+1}},
\end{aligned}$$

where 2^λ is the greatest common divisor of the eight integers s_i $(0\le i\le 7)$ defined by

$$s_0 = x_{1,-8}+x_{1,-4}+x_{1,1}+x_{1,8}+x_{2,-8}+x_{2,-4}+x_{2,1}+x_{2,8},$$

$$s_1 = 2\big(x_{1,-8}+x_{1,-4}+x_{2,1}+x_{2,8}\big),$$

$$s_2 = 2\big(3x_{1,-8}+6x_{1,-4}+6x_{1,1}+3x_{1,8}+5x_{2,-8}+4x_{2,-4}+4x_{2,1}+5x_{2,8}\big),$$

$$s_3 = 4\big(x_{1,-8}+2x_{1,-4}+4x_{2,1}-x_{2,8}\big),$$

$$s_4 = 4\big(-3x_{1,-8}+4x_{1,-4}+4x_{1,1}-3x_{1,8}+x_{2,-8}+x_{2,8}\big),$$

$$s_5 = 8\big(x_{1,-8}+x_{2,8}\big),$$

$$s_6 = 8\big(3x_{1,-8}+3x_{1,8}+x_{2,-8}+x_{2,8}\big),$$

$$s_7 = 32.$$

Proof. It follows from (1.1) and (1.2) that

$$\gcd(z_3,32)=\gcd\big(4(3x_{1,-8}+6x_{1,-4}+4x_{2,1}+5x_{2,8}),32\big)=\gcd(s_3,32)$$

and the corollary follows easily from Theorem 7. □

Corollary 1. *The congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 7 is optimal if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned}
x_{1,-8} &= a,\\
x_{1,-4} &= a + 32c - 4e - 10g,\\
x_{1,1} &= -a + 192b - 32c - 24d + 4e + 8f + 10g + 2h,\\
x_{1,8} &= -a + 128b - 16d + 4f + 2h,\\
x_{2,-8} &= a - 384b + 48d - 12f - 4g - 2h,\\
x_{2,-4} &= a + 96b + 16c - 8d - 4e - 6g - 2h,\\
x_{2,1} &= -a - 16c + 4e + 6g,\\
x_{2,8} &= -a + 4g,
\end{aligned}$$

where $a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h \in \mathbb{C}_2$ are integers with a odd.

Proof. The proof is standard. We proceed in the same way as in the proof of Corollary 1 to Theorem 5. Taking $x_{1,-8} = a$ we obtain a system of seven linear equations with seven unknowns $x_{-1,-4}$, $x_{1,1}$, $x_{1,8}$, $x_{2,-8}$, $x_{2,-4}$, $x_{2,1}$, $x_{2,8}$ and determinant -8. The details are left to the reader. □

Corollary 2. *If the congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 7 is optimal then all the $x_{1,e}$, $x_{2,e}$ ($e \in \mathcal{T}_8$) are odd. None of these coefficients can vanish in particular.*

14. The cases $L = \{-1, 1\}$ and $L = \{0, 2\}$

In the case when $L = \{-1, 1\}$ (resp. $L = \{0, 2\}$) we obtain linear congruences between class numbers and the orders of K_2-groups of the integers of real (resp. imaginary) quadratic fields. In both the cases $c(L) = 6$ and the obtained congruences are valid modulo $2^{\nu+\lambda+1}$, where $\lambda \leq 6$.

Theorem 8. *Let $m>1$ be an odd square-free integer having ν prime factors, and let $\Theta,\Psi:\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{C}_2$ be multiplicative functions such that $\Psi(s)\equiv\Theta(s)\equiv 1 \pmod 2$ for any divisor $s\mid m$. In the notation of Theorem 3, for any 2-adic integers $x_{-1,e}$, $x_{1,e}$ $(e\in\mathcal{T}_8)$ not all even we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{e\in\mathcal{T}_8} x_{-1,e}\sum_{\substack{d\in\mathcal{T}_m\\ ed>1}}\Psi(|d|)\Big(\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\chi_{ed}(p)\Theta(p)p^2\big)\\
&\qquad\qquad -\delta_{d,1}\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)\big)\Big)K_2(ed)\\
&+2\sum_{e\in\mathcal{T}_8} x_{1,e}\sum_{\substack{d\in\mathcal{T}_m\\ ed>1}}\Psi(|d|)\Big(\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\chi_{ed}(p)\Theta(p)\big)\\
&\qquad\qquad -\delta_{d,1}\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)\big)\Big)H(ed)\\
&+\frac{1}{6}x_{-1,1}\Big(\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)p^2\big)-\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(p)\big)\Big)\\
&-x_{1,1}\sum_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p\text{ prime}}}\Theta(p)\log_2 p\prod_{\substack{q\mid(m/p)\\ q\text{ prime}}}\big(1-\Theta(q)\big)\equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\nu+\lambda+1}},
\end{aligned}$$

where 2^λ is the greatest common divisor of the eight integers s_i $(0\le i\le 7)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
s_0&=x_{-1,-8}+x_{-1,-4}+x_{-1,1}+x_{-1,8}+x_{1,-8}+x_{1,-4}+x_{1,1}+x_{1,8},\\
s_1&=2\big(x_{-1,-8}+x_{-1,-4}+x_{1,-8}+x_{1,-4}\big),\\
s_2&=2\big(-3x_{-1,-8}+6x_{-1,-4}+6x_{-1,1}-3x_{-1,8}\\
&\quad+x_{1,-8}+2x_{1,-4}+2x_{1,1}+x_{1,8}\big),\\
s_3&=4\big(-3x_{-1,-8}+6x_{-1,-4}+x_{1,-8}+2x_{1,-4}\big),\\
s_4&=4\big(5x_{-1,-8}+4x_{-1,-4}+4x_{-1,1}+5x_{-1,8}+5x_{1,-8}\\
&\quad+4x_{1,-4}+4x_{1,1}+5x_{1,8}\big),\\
s_5&=8\big(5x_{-1,-8}+4x_{-1,-4}+5x_{1,-8}+4x_{1,-4}\big),
\end{aligned}$$

$$s_6 = 8\big(3x_{-1,-8} + 3x_{-1,8} - x_{1,-8} - x_{1,8}\big),$$

$$s_7 = 64.$$

PROOF. Note that in the case when $L = \{-1, 1\}$ we have

$$z_8 \equiv -2z_6 \pmod{64}, \quad z_7 \equiv -2z_5 \pmod{64},$$

and in consequence we may ignore z_8 and z_7 (the z_n with $n = 2c(L) - 4$, $2c(L) - 5$). In order to obtain formulas for s_i, $0 \le i \le 6$ we use (1.1) and (1.2). For example, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\gcd(z_3, 64) &= \gcd\big(4(-9x_{-1,-8} + 2x_{-1,-4} + 3x_{1,-8} + 6x_{1,-4}), 64\big)\\ &= \gcd(s_3, 64).\end{aligned}$$

The corollary follows easily from Theorem 8. □

Corollary 1. *The congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 8 is optimal if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned}x_{-1,-8} &= a,\\ x_{-1,-4} &= a - 48c + 4e + 2g,\\ x_{-1,1} &= -a - 160b + 48c + 8d - 4e + 8f - 2g + 2h,\\ x_{-1,8} &= -a - 64b + 4f + 2h,\\ x_{1,-8} &= -a - 128c + 8g,\\ x_{1,-4} &= -a + 208c - 4e - 10g,\\ x_{1,1} &= a + 480b - 208c - 8d + 4e - 24f + 10g - 2h,\\ x_{1,8} &= a - 192b + 128c + 12f - 8g - 2h,\end{aligned}$$

where $a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h \in \mathbb{C}_2$ are integers with a odd.

PROOF. The congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 8 is valid modulo $2^{\nu+6}$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}s_0 = 64b,\ s_1 = 64c,\ s_2 = 64d,\ s_3 = 64e,\\ s_4 = 64f,\ s_5 = 64g,\ s_6 = 64h\end{aligned} \tag{14.8}$$

for some integers $b,c,d,e,f,g,h \in \mathbb{C}_2$. Taking $x_{0,-8} = a$ we obtain a system of seven linear equations with seven unknowns $x_{-1,-4}$, $x_{-1,1}$, $x_{-1,8}$, $x_{1,-8}$, $x_{1,-4}$, $x_{1,1}$, $x_{1,8}$ and determinant -64. A standard computation gives the formulas of Corollary 1 at once. □

Corollary 2. *If the congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 8 is optimal then all the $x_{k,e}$ are odd. None of these coefficients can vanish in particular.*

Theorem 9. *Let $m > 1$ be an odd square-free integer having ν prime factors, and let $\Theta, \Psi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}_2$ be multiplicative functions such that $\Psi(s) \equiv \Theta(s) \equiv 1 \pmod 2$ for any divisor $s \mid m$. In the notation of Theorem 3, for any 2-adic integers $x_{0,e}$, $x_{2,e}$ $(e \in \mathcal{T}_8)$ not all even we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\sum_{e\in\mathcal{T}_8} x_{0,e} \sum_{\substack{d\in\mathcal{T}_m\\ ed<0}} \Psi(|d|)\Big(\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p \text{ prime}}} \big(1-\chi_{ed}(p)\Theta(p)p\big) \\
&\qquad\qquad - \delta_{d,1} \prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p \text{ prime}}} \big(1-\Theta(p)\big)\Big) H(ed) \\
&+ \sum_{e\in\mathcal{T}_8} x_{2,e} \sum_{\substack{d\in\mathcal{T}_m\\ ed<0}} \Psi(|d|)\Big(\prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p \text{ prime}}} \big(1-\chi_{ed}(p)\Theta(p)p^{-1}\big) \\
&\qquad\qquad - \delta_{d,1} \prod_{\substack{p\mid m\\ p \text{ prime}}} \big(1-\Theta(p)\big)\Big) K_2(ed), \\
&\equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\nu+\lambda+1}},
\end{aligned}$$

where 2^λ is the greatest common divisor of the eight integers s_i $(0 \le i \le 7)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
s_0 &= x_{0,-8} + x_{0,-4} + x_{0,1} + x_{0,8} + x_{2,-8} + x_{2,-4} + x_{2,1} + x_{2,8},\\
s_1 &= 2\big(x_{0,1} + x_{0,8} + x_{2,1} + x_{2,8}\big),\\
s_2 &= 2\big(9x_{0,-8} + 9x_{0,8} + 5x_{2,-8} + 4x_{2,-4} + 4x_{2,1} + 5x_{2,8}\big),\\
s_3 &= 4\big(9x_{0,8} + 4x_{2,1} + 5x_{2,8}\big),\\
s_4 &= 4\big(x_{0,-8} + x_{0,8} + x_{2,-8} + x_{2,8}\big),
\end{aligned}$$

$$s_5 = 8\big(x_{0,8} + x_{2,8}\big),$$

$$s_6 = 8\big(5x_{0,-8} + 5x_{0,8} + x_{2,-8} + x_{2,8}\big),$$

$$s_7 = 64.$$

PROOF. Note that in the case when $L = \{0, 2\}$ we have

$$z_8 \equiv 2z_6 \pmod{64}, \quad z_7 \equiv 2z_5 \pmod{64},$$

and in consequence we may ignore the z_8 and z_7 (the z_n with $n = 2c(L)-4$, $2c(L) - 5$). We apply (1.1) and (1.2) again. □

Corollary 1. *The congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 9 is optimal if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned}
x_{0,-8} &= a,\\
x_{0,-4} &= a + 64b - 32c - 8d + 4e + 4f - 2g,\\
x_{0,1} &= -a + 32c - 4e - 4f + 2g + 2h,\\
x_{0,8} &= -a - 4f + 2h,\\
x_{2,-8} &= -a + 16f - 8g,\\
x_{2,-4} &= -a + 8d - 4e - 20f + 10g,\\
x_{2,1} &= a + 4e + 4f - 10g - 2h,\\
x_{2,8} &= a + 4f + 8g - 2h,
\end{aligned}$$

where $a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h \in \mathbb{C}_2$ are integers with a odd.

PROOF. The congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 9 is valid modulo $2^{\nu+6}$ if and only if s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5, s_6 satisfy (14.8) for some integers $b, c, d, e, f, g, h \in \mathbb{C}_2$. Taking $x_{0,-8} = a$ we obtain a system of seven linear equations with seven unknowns $x_{0,-4}$, $x_{0,1}$, $x_{0,8}$, $x_{2,-8}$, $x_{2,-4}$, $x_{2,1}$, $x_{2,8}$ and determinant 64. An easy verification gives the formulas of Corollary 1 at once. □

Corollary 2. *If the congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 9 is optimal then all the $x_{0,e}$, $x_{2,e}$ ($e \in \mathcal{T}_8$) are odd. None of these coefficients can vanish in particular.*

15. Concluding remarks

Uehara's approach used in [8] and [10] gives a method of producing linear congruences. It would be interesting to use this method to find for given λ explicit formulas for the $x_{k,e}$ such that the linear congruences are valid modulo $2^{\nu+\lambda}$. This approach should yield many new congruences between class numbers and the orders of K_2-groups of the rings of integers of quadratic fields. In the case of the orders of K_2-groups for imaginary quadratic fields such congruences would be completely new. The detailed results will appear in forthcoming publications.

Another direction for further investigation would be to extend Wójcik's congruence [10] by giving a congruence for a linear combination of the values $L_2(k, \chi\omega^{1-k})$, where the numbers k are taken from any finite subset of the integers. Wójcik's congruence involved the case when this subset consisted of consecutive integers. Urbanowicz and Wójcik [8] found such a congruence for any subset of the set $\{-1, 0, 1, 2\}$.

Acknowledgement. The author worked on the paper during his visit to the University of Heidelberg. He thanks warmly Professor W. Kohnen for some helpful conversations and the staff of the university for hospitality. The author extends his gratitude to Professor J. Browkin for some helpful remarks concerning Theorem 2 and M. Rotkiewicz for some calculations concerning Corollary 1 to Theorem 4.

References

[1] R. F. Coleman, Dilogarithms, regulators and p-adic L-functions, *Invent. Math.* **69** (1982), 171–208.

[2] F. G. Frobenius, Über die Bernoullischen Zahlen und die Eulerschen Polynome, *Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss.* **2** (1910), 809–847; Gesammelte Abhandlungen III, *Springer-Verlag*, *Berlin–Heidelberg–New York*, 1968, 440–478.

[3] G. Gras, Relations congruentielles linéaires entre nombres de classes de corps quadratiques, *Acta Arith.* **52** (1989), 147–162.

[4] K. Hardy and K. S. Williams, A congruence relating the class numbers of complex quadratic fields, *Acta Arith.* **47** (1986), 263–276.

[5] J. Szmidt, J. Urbanowicz and D. Zagier, Congruences among generalized Bernoulli numbers, *Acta Arith.* **71** (1995), 273–278.

[6] T. Uehara, On linear congruences between class numbers of quadratic fields, *J. Number Theory* **34** (1990), 362–392.

[7] J. Urbanowicz, Connections between $B_{2,\chi}$ for even quadratic characters χ and class numbers of appropriate imaginary quadratic fields, II, *Compositio Math.* **75** (1990), 271–285; Corrigendum: *ibid.* **77** (1991), 123–125.

[8] J. URBANOWICZ and A. WÓJCIK, Publ. Math. Fac. Sci. Besançon, Théorie des Nombres 1995/1996.

[9] L. C. WASHINGTON, Introduction to cyclotomic fields, 2nd edn, *Springer-Verlag, New York*, 1997.

[10] A. WÓJCIK, Linear congruence relations for 2-adic L-series at integers, *Compositio Math.* **111** (1998), 289–304.

JERZY URBANOWICZ
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
UL. ŚNIADECKICH 8
00–950 WARSZAWA
POLAND

E-mail: urbanowi@impan.gov.pl

(Received September 3, 1999; revised March 7, 2000)