

On a basic property of Lagrangians

By J. SZENTHE (Budapest)

Dedicated to Professor Lajos Tamásy on his 70th birthday

Let M be a C^∞ manifold and $\mathcal{O}_{TM}$ the zero section of its tangent bundle. A function $L : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is continuous on TM and C^∞ on $\check{TM} = TM - \mathcal{O}_{TM}$ is said to be *Lagrangian*. The Euler–Lagrange equation for L can be given as follows

$$\iota_X dd_v L = d(L - AL)$$

where $d_v : \wedge(\check{TM}) \rightarrow \wedge(\check{TM})$ the *vertical differential* is an antiderivation of degree 1 and $A : TM \rightarrow TTM$ is the *Liouville field* of the tangent bundle ([Go] pp. 159–164, 169–175). If a vector field $X : \check{TM} \rightarrow TTM$ satisfies the above equation then it is called a *Lagrangian field* associated with the Lagrangian function L . The Lagrangian function L is said to be *regular* if 2-form $dd_v L$ is nondegenerate. In case of a nondegenerate Lagrangian L the above equation has obviously a unique solution X which is a second-order differential equation ([Go] pp. 170–171). The integral curves of X when projected to M yield those curves which are stationary for L .

If a second-order equation $X : \check{TM} \rightarrow TTM$ is given then the set $\mathbf{L}(X)$ of those Lagrangian functions L with which X is associated as a Lagrangian field has a canonical $\mathbb{R}$ vector space structure. The study of $\mathbf{L}(X)$ includes also the inverse problem which concerns those conditions on X under which $\mathbf{L}(X)$ is not empty. In the study of $L(X)$ recently differential geometric methods have been successfully applied. Namely, a second-order differential equation X induces the field of endomorphisms $\mathcal{L}_X v$ of the tangent spaces $T_v TM$, $v \in \check{TM}$ where $v : TTM \rightarrow \mathcal{V}TM$ is

the vertical endomorphism of the second tangent bundle ([Go] pp. 159–161). Since the field of endomorphisms $\gamma = -\mathcal{L}_X v$ is involutive and its -1 eigenspaces are exactly the vertical subspaces of TTM , a connection is defined by γ on TM which, in general, is not homogeneous, and, in turn, yields a horizontal distribution $\mathcal{H}$ on $\check{TM}$ [K]. The corresponding field of horizontal projections

$$\chi_v : T_v TM \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_v,$$

as a field of endomorphisms of the tangent spaces $v \in \check{TM}$ defines the derivation

$$\iota_X : \wedge(\check{TM}) \rightarrow \wedge(\check{TM})$$

of degree 0 and a differential $d_X = \iota_X d - d\iota_X$ which is an antiderivation of degree 1. In that particular case, when X is a *spray*, i.e., a second-order differential equation which is homogeneous of degree 2, the elements of $\mathcal{L}(X)$ are exactly those Lagrangian functions L for which $d_X L = 0$ holds according to a result of J. Klein [K]. It is shown below that the elements of $\mathbf{L}(X)$ are characterized by the above property in case of any second-order differential equation X too. The result is based on an extension of the identity

$$\mathcal{L}_X = \iota_X d + d\iota_X$$

to the case when instead of d the vertical differential d_v appears. The extension of the above identity will be presented first.

In fact, if $X \in \mathcal{T}(\check{TM})$ then ι_X is an antiderivation of degree -1 of $\wedge(\check{TM})$; on the other hand, d_v is an antiderivation of degree 1 of $\wedge(\check{TM})$ ([Go] pp. 87–89, 161–164). Consequently,

$$\iota_X d_v + d_v \iota_X$$

is a derivation of degree 0 by a fundamental result concerning composition of antiderivations ([Go] pp. 88–89). Moreover, $\mathcal{L}_{vX}$ is a derivation of degree 0 too. Furthermore, the field of endomorphisms $\gamma = -\mathcal{L}_X v$ induces an endomorphism γ^* of the algebra $\wedge(\check{TM})$ which in turn defines a derivation ι_γ of degree 0 of $\wedge(\check{TM})$ in the canonical way; similarly, as the vertical endomorphism v defines the vertical derivation ι_v ([Go] pp. 161–162). The extension of the above mentioned identity is given now by the following Proposition.

Proposition. *Let be a C^∞ manifold and $X \in \mathcal{T}(\check{TM})$ an arbitrary vector field then the following holds*

$$\iota_X d_v + d_v \iota_X = \mathcal{L}_{vX} + \iota_\gamma$$

where ι_γ is the derivation of degree 0 of $\wedge(\check{TM})$ defined by the homomorphism γ^* where $\gamma = -\mathcal{L}_X v$.

PROOF. Since two derivations of $\wedge(\check{TM})$ are equal if they coincide on those elements $\xi \in \wedge(\check{TM})$ which are obtainable as $\xi = f, df$ where

$f \in \mathcal{F}(\check{T}M)$ ([Go] pp. 87–89), the validity of the identity has to be verified only in these two cases.

1st case: $\xi = f$. Obvious calculations yield the following

$$\begin{aligned}\iota_X d_v f &= \iota_X (\iota_v d - d\iota_v) f = \iota_X \iota_v df = (vX)f, \\ d_v \iota_X f &= 0, \\ \mathcal{L}_{vX} f &= (vX)f, \\ \iota_\gamma f &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Now the above equalities obviously yield the validity of the identity in the case $\xi = f$.

2nd case: $\xi = df$. Let now $V \in \mathcal{T}(\check{T}M)$ be an arbitrary vector field, then the following equalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned}\iota_X d_v df(V) &= \iota_X (\iota_v d - d\iota_v) df(V) = -\iota_X d\iota_v df(V) = \\ &= -d(\iota_v df)(X, V) = -(X(vV)f - V(vX)f - v[X, V]f), \\ d_v \iota_X df(V) &= (\iota_v d - d\iota_v) \iota_X df(V) = \\ &= \iota_v d(Xf)(V) - d\iota_v(Xf)(V) = (vV)(Xf), \\ \mathcal{L}_{vX} df(V) &= (vX)(Vf) - [vX, V]f, \\ \iota_\gamma df(V) &= -df(\mathcal{L}_X v(V)) = -df([X, vV] - v[X, V]) = \\ &= v[X, V]f - [X, vV]f.\end{aligned}$$

But now the validity of the identity in the case $\xi = df$ follows directly from the above equalities.

The following lemma yields a simple observation which is essential for the main result.

Lemma. *Let $X : \check{T}M \rightarrow TTM$ be a second-order differential equation, χ the horizontal projection field of the connection which is defined by the endomorphism field $\gamma = -\mathcal{L}_X v$, and $d_\chi : \wedge(\check{T}M) \rightarrow \wedge(\check{T}M)$ the differential defined by χ . Then $d_\chi L = 0$ for any Lagrangian function L if and only if*

$$dL(V) = dL(v[X, V]) - dL([X, vV])$$

holds in case of any vector field $V \in \mathcal{T}(\check{T}M)$.

PROOF. Let I be the field of identity endomorphisms of the tangent spaces of $\check{T}M$ and χ, ϕ the fields of horizontal and vertical projections of these spaces corresponding to the connection which is defined by $\gamma = -\mathcal{L}_X v$. Then $I = \chi + \phi$, $\gamma = \chi - \phi$ hold and consequently $\chi = \frac{1}{2}(I + \gamma) = \frac{1}{2}(I - \mathcal{L}_X v)$ is valid. If L is a Lagrangian function then $d_\chi L = (\iota_\chi d - d\iota_\chi)L =$

$\iota_X dL$ since $\iota_X L = 0$. Let now $V \in \mathcal{T}(\check{T}M)$ be an arbitrary vector field then

$$\begin{aligned} d_X L(V) &= \iota_X dL(V) = dL(\chi V) = \frac{1}{2} dL(V - \mathcal{L}_X v(V)) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \{dL(V) - dL([X, V] - v[X, V])\} \end{aligned}$$

holds. But now the assertion of the lemma directly follows by the preceding equalities.

It has been observed by J. Szilasi that a proof of the above Lemma can be obtained also by means of the Frölicher–Nijenhuis theory of vector-valued differential forms [F–N].

Theorem. *Let $X : \check{T}M \rightarrow TTM$ be a second-order differential equation and $L : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a Lagrangian function. Then X is associated with L as a Lagrangian vector field if and only if $d_X L = 0$ holds where χ is the horizontal projection field of the connection defined by $\gamma = -\mathcal{L}_X v$.*

PROOF. Let $L : \check{T}M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an arbitrary Lagrangian function then by the preceding Proposition the following holds

$$\begin{aligned} (d_v \iota_X + \iota_X d_v) L &= \mathcal{L}_{vX} L + \iota_\gamma L, \\ \iota_X d_v L &= AL, \end{aligned}$$

since $vX = A$ and $\iota_X L = 0$, $\iota_\gamma L = 0$. Then by differentiation of the last equality the following ones are obtained

$$\begin{aligned} d\iota_X d_v L &= dAL, \\ (\mathcal{L}_X - \iota_X d)d_v L &= dAL, \\ \iota_X dd_v L &= \mathcal{L}_X d_v L - dAL. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the Euler-Lagrange equation for L can be successively rewritten in the following equivalent forms

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_X dd_v L + d(AL - L) &= 0 \\ \mathcal{L}_X d_v L - dL &= 0, \\ \mathcal{L}_X(\iota_v d - d\iota_v - dL) &= 0, \\ \mathcal{L}_X \iota_v dL - dL &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the Euler-Lagrange equation is satisfied by X and L if and only if for arbitrary vector field $V \in \mathcal{T}(\check{T}M)$ the following holds

$$(\mathcal{L}_X \iota_v dL - dL)(V) = X dL(vV) - dL(v[X, V]) - dL(V) = 0.$$

But then the preceding Lemma applies and yields that L satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation for a given X if and only if $d_X L = 0$ holds.

References

- [F–N] A. FRÖLICHER and A. NIJENHUIS, Theory of vector valued differential forms I, *Indag. Math.* **18** (1956), 338–359; II, *ibid* **20** (1958), 414–429.
- [Go] C. GODBILLON, Géométrie Différentielle et Mécanique Analytique, *Paris*, 1969.
- [K] J. KLEIN, Geometry of sprays. Lagrangian case. Principle of least curvature, *Proc. IUTAM–ISIMM Symposium on Modern Development in Analytical Mechanics, Turin*, 1982.

J. SZENTHE
EÖTVÖS LORÁND UNIVERSITY
DEPARTMENT OF GEOMETRY
RÁKÓCZI U. 5
1088. BUDAPEST, HUNGARY

(Received November 16, 1992)