Publ. Math. Debrecen
62/3-4 (2003), 437–446

Homogeneous Riemannian manifolds with only one homogeneous geodesic

By OLDŘICH KOWALSKI (Praha) and ZDENĚK VLÁŠEK (Praha)

Dedicated to Professor L. Tamássy
at the occasion of his 80th birthday

Abstract. In [6], the first author and J. SZENTHE proved that each homogeneous Riemannian manifold (M, g) admits at least one homogeneous geodesic, i.e., a geodesic which is an orbit of a one-parameter group of isometries. (For Lie groups this result was proved earlier in [1].) In the present article we show that, for each dimension $n \geq 4$, there is an n-dimensional (solvable) Lie group with a left-invariant metric which admits exactly one homogeneous geodesic through each point, up to a parametrization. (For dimension $n = 3$ such example was found in [5].) Hence the result from [6] cannot be improved, in general.

1. Introduction

Consider a Riemannian homogeneous space $(M, g) = G/H$, i.e., such that G is a connected group of isometries acting transitively on (M, g) and H is the isotropy group at a point $o \in M$. Let $\mathbf{g}$ and $\mathbf{h}$ denote the corresponding Lie algebras. It is well-known [2], [6] that G/H always admits an $\mathrm{ad}(H)$-invariant decomposition $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{h}$, where $\mathbf{m}$ is a linear subspace

Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C20, 53C22, 53C30.
Key words and phrases: Riemannian manifold, Lie group, geodesics as orbits.
This work was partially supported by the grant GA ČR 201/02/0616 and by the research project MSM 113200007.

and which makes $(G/H, g)$ a *reductive space*. Using this decomposition, one can define on (M, g) *the canonical connection* $\widetilde{\nabla}$, which is a metric connection with parallel torsion $\widetilde{T}$ and parallel curvature $\widetilde{R}$. Moreover, the last tensor fields are expressed through the formulas

$$\widetilde{T}(X,Y)_o = -[X,Y]_{\mathbf{m}},\ (\widetilde{R}(X,Y)Z)_o = -[[X,Y]_{\mathbf{h}}, Z], \quad \text{for } X,Y,Z \in \mathbf{m},$$

where the subscripts at the brackets denote the corresponding components, and the elements X, Y are also considered as tangent vectors at o via the natural isomorphism between the subspace $\mathbf{m}$ and the tangent space T_oM. Because the scalar product g_o is defined on T_oM, this natural isomorphism defines an $\mathrm{ad}(H)$-*invariant* scalar product $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ on $\mathbf{m}$.

We start with the following

Proposition 1.1 ([2], [3], [9])**.** *Let ∇ denote the Levi–Civita connection of $(G/H, g)$ and $\widetilde{\nabla}$ the canonical connection corresponding to some* $\mathrm{ad}(H)$*-invariant decomposition $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{h}$. Denote by D the difference tensor field $\nabla - \widetilde{\nabla}$ between the both connections. Then the tensor field D is determined by the algebraic equation*

$$2g(D_YX, Z) = g(\widetilde{T}(X,Y), Z) + g(\widetilde{T}(X,Z), Y) + g(\widetilde{T}(Y,Z), X)$$

for all vector fields X, Y, Z. Further, the Riemannian curvature tensor R is given by the algebraic formula

$$R(X,Y) = \widetilde{R}(X,Y) + [D_X, D_Y] + D_{\widetilde{T}(X,Y)}.$$

Hence we see that the Riemannian curvature tensor R can be calculated in a purely algebraic way, using the Lie algebra structure of $\mathbf{g}$, the decomposition $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{h}$ and the scalar product $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ on $\mathbf{m}$.

Further, a nonzero vector $X \in \mathbf{g}$ is called a *geodesic vector* if the curve $\gamma(t) = \exp(tX)(o)$ is a geodesic on $(G/H, g)$. The following can be found in [7] or [4]:

Lemma 1.2. *A vector $X \in \mathbf{g} - (0)$ is a geodesic vector if and only if*

$$\langle [X,Y]_{\mathbf{m}}, X_{\mathbf{m}} \rangle = 0 \quad \textit{for all } Y \in \mathbf{m},$$

where $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ is the $\mathrm{ad}(H)$*-invariant scalar product on $\mathbf{m}$ induced by the Riemannian scalar product on T_oM and the subscripts indicate the corresponding projection $\mathbf{g} \to \mathbf{m}$.*

Finally, a *homogeneous geodesic* on a homogeneous Riemannian manifold (M, g) is a geodesic which is an orbit of a one-parameter group of isometries. In other words, it is a geodesic determined by a geodesic vector which belongs to the Lie algebra $\mathbf{g}$ of the full isometry group $\mathfrak{I}(M, g)$.

2. The main example

Consider, for each $n \geq 3$, a Lie algebra $\mathbf{g}_n$ of dimension $n+1$ which is given, with respect to a basis $\{X_1, \ldots, X_{n+1}\}$, by the multiplication table

$$\begin{aligned} [X_i, X_j] &= 0 \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, \ldots, n, \\ [X_{n+1}, X_i] &= a_i X_i + X_{i+1} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ [X_{n+1}, X_n] &= a_n X_n, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ are arbitrary parameters. Define a scalar product $\langle\, , \rangle$ on $\mathbf{g}_n$ for which the above basis is orthonormal. The family of Lie algebras $(\mathbf{g}_n, \langle\, , \rangle)$ gives rise to an (n-parameter) family of solvable Lie groups G_n with a set of invariant Riemann metrics g. Here we can assume that G_n is always diffeomorphic to the $(n+1)$-dimensional Euclidean space.

Our first aim is to prove that, for a specific choice of the parameters $a_1, \ldots, a_n$, all principal Ricci curvatures of (G_n, g) are distinct and hence the group G_n acting on itself by the left translations is the identity component of the full isometry group $\mathfrak{I}(G_n, g)$. This is a nontrivial part of our paper.

The following proposition is a special case of Proposition 1.1 in the situation where $\mathbf{h} = (0)$ and $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{g}$.

Proposition 2.1 ([2], [3], [9])**.** *Let ∇ denote the Levi–Civita connection of (G_n, g) and $\widetilde{\nabla}$ the canonical connection on G_n (for which all left-invariant vector fields are parallel). Denote by D the difference tensor field $\nabla - \widetilde{\nabla}$ between the both connections. Then the tensor field D is determined by the algebraic equation*

$$2g(D_Y X, Z) = -g([X, Y], Z) - g([X, Z], Y) - g([Y, Z), X) \tag{2}$$

for all vector fields X, Y, Z. Further, the Riemannian curvature tensor R is given by the algebraic formula

$$R(X,Y) = [D_X, D_Y] - D_{[X,Y]}. \tag{3}$$

Here we used the fact that the torsion and the curvature of the canonical connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$ are given by the formulas $\widetilde{T}(X,Y) = -[X,Y]$, $\widetilde{R} = 0$. It is obvious that all calculations for determining the curvature tensor R are now reduced to those in the Lie algebra $\mathbf{g}_n$. By a routine calculation we obtain the following two propositions:

Proposition 2.2. *The tensor field D is given on $\mathbf{g}_n$, expressed through the vector fields $X_1, \dots, X_{n+1}$, as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&D_{X_i}X_j = 0 \quad \text{for } i,j \le n,\ |i-j| > 1,\\
&D_{X_i}X_j = \frac{1}{2}X_{n+1} \quad \text{for } i,j \le n,\ |i-j| = 1,\\
&D_{X_i}X_i = a_iX_{n+1} \quad \text{for } i = 1,\dots,n; \qquad (4)\\
&D_{X_i}X_{n+1} = -a_iX_i - \frac{1}{2}(X_{i-1} + X_{i+1}) \quad \text{for } 1 < i < n,\\
&D_{X_1}X_{n+1} = -a_1X_1 - \frac{1}{2}X_2,\\
&D_{X_n}X_{n+1} = -a_nX_n - \frac{1}{2}X_{n-1}; \qquad (5)\\
&D_{X_{n+1}}X_i = \frac{1}{2}(X_{i+1} - X_{i-1}), \quad \text{for } 1 < i < n,\\
&D_{X_{n+1}}X_1 = \frac{1}{2}X_2,\\
&D_{X_{n+1}}X_n = -\frac{1}{2}X_{n-1},\\
&D_{X_{n+1}}X_{n+1} = 0. \qquad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.3. *The curvature tensor field R is expressed on $\mathbf{g}_n$ through the basic vector fields $X_1, \dots, X_{n+1}$ as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned}
R(X_i, X_{n+1})X_{n+1} = &-\frac{1}{4}X_{i-2} - a_iX_{i-1} - \left(\frac{1}{2} + (a_i)^2\right)X_i\\
&- a_{i+1}X_{i+1} - \frac{1}{4}X_{i+2},
\end{aligned}$$

$$R(X_1, X_{n+1})X_{n+1} = -\left(\frac{3}{4} + (a_1)^2\right) X_1 - a_2 X_2 - \frac{1}{4} X_3,$$

$$R(X_2, X_{n+1})X_{n+1} = -a_2 X_1 - \left(\frac{1}{2} + (a_2)^2\right) X_2 - a_3 X_3 - \frac{1}{4} X_4$$

(*where one puts* $X_4 = 0$ *for* $n = 3$),

$$R(X_{n-1}, X_{n+1})X_{n+1} = -\frac{1}{4} X_{n-3} - a_{n-1} X_{n-2} - \left(\frac{1}{2} + (a_{n-1})^2\right) X_{n-1}$$

$$- a_n X_n \qquad (\textit{where one puts } X_0 = 0 \ \textit{ for } n = 3),$$

$$R(X_n, X_{n+1})X_{n+1} = -\frac{1}{4} X_{n-2} - a_n X_{n-1} + \left(\frac{1}{4} - (a_n)^2\right) X_n; \tag{7}$$

$$R(X_i, X_j)X_k = 0 \quad \textit{for } i, j, k \le n, \ |i-k| > 1, \ |j-k| > 1,$$

$$R(X_i, X_j)X_{n+1} = 0 \quad \textit{for } i, j \le n, \ |i-j| > 1. \tag{8}$$

Next, we shall calculate the Ricci tensor S.

Proposition 2.4. *We have*

$$S(X_i, X_{n+1}) = 0 \quad \textit{for } i = 1, \dots, n, \tag{9}$$

$$S(X_{n+1}, X_{n+1}) = -\frac{n-1}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} (a_j)^2. \tag{10}$$

Proof. Because $S(X_{n+1}, X_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle R(X_i, X_{n+1})X_{n+1}, X_i\rangle$, we get (10) directly from (7). Further, for $i \le n$, $S(X_i, X_{n+1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \times \langle R(X_j, X_i)X_{n+1}, X_j\rangle$, and according to the second formula of (8), the only nontrivial terms can be $\langle R(X_{i-1}, X_i)X_{n+1}, X_{i-1}\rangle$ and $\langle R(X_{i+1}, X_i)X_{n+1}, X_{i+1}\rangle$. A direct check shows that they are both equal to zero. □

Proposition 2.5. *We have*

$$S(X_i, X_j) = 0 \quad \textit{for } i, j \le n \textit{ and } |i-j| > 1. \tag{11}$$

Proof. The proof is routine for $|i-j| > 2$. It remains to check that $S(X_i, X_{i+2}) = 0$ for all $i \le n-2$. But due to the first statement of (8) we have $S(X_i, X_{i+2}) = \langle R(X_{i+1}, X_i)X_{i+2}, X_{i+1}\rangle + \langle R(X_{i+3}, X_i)X_{i+2}, X_{i+3}\rangle + \langle R(X_{n+1}, X_i)X_{i+2}, X_{n+1}\rangle$ where the last two terms coincide if $i = n-2$. The middle term can be written in the form $\langle R(X_{i+3}, X_{i+2})X_i, X_{i+3}\rangle$,

which is zero according to (8), unless $i = n-2$. It remains the sum $\langle R(X_{i+1}, X_i)X_{i+2}, X_{i+1}\rangle + \langle R(X_{n+1}, X_i)X_{i+2}, X_{n+1}\rangle =$
$-\langle D_{X_i}D_{X_{i+1}}X_{i+2}, X_{i+1}\rangle - \langle D_{X_i}D_{X_{n+1}}X_{i+2}, X_{n+1}\rangle -$
$\langle D_{[X_{n+1},X_i]}X_{i+2}, X_{n+1}\rangle = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2} = 0.$ □

Proposition 2.6.

$$S(X_i, X_{i+1}) = \frac{1}{2}(a_i - a_{i+1}) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1. \tag{12}$$

Proof.

$$S(X_i, X_{i+1}) = \sum_{j=1, j\neq i, i+1}^{n} \langle D_{X_j}D_{X_i}X_{i+1}, X_j\rangle - \langle D_{X_i}D_{X_{n+1}}X_{i+1}, X_{n+1}\rangle$$

$$- \langle D_{[X_{n+1},X_i]}X_{i+1}, X_{n+1}\rangle = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_j + \sum_{j=i+2}^{n} a_j\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}a_i - a_{i+1} - \frac{1}{2}a_i.$$ □

Proposition 2.7. *The diagonal elements of the Ricci tensor are given by the formulas*

$$\begin{aligned} &S(X_1, X_1) = -\frac{1}{2} + sa_1, \\ &S(X_i, X_i) = sa_i \quad \text{for} \quad 2 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ &S(X_n, X_n) = \frac{1}{2} + sa_n, \\ &S(X_{n+1}, X_{n+1}) = -\frac{n-1}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^{n}(a_j)^2, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $s = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j$.

Proof. We first recall that $S(X_j, X_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\langle R(X_i, X_j)X_j, X_i\rangle$ for all j=1, …, n. A routine calculation using (3), (4) and also the notation $s = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j$ leads to the first three formulas of (13). The last formula is identical with (10). □

Let us denote

$$q = \frac{1}{n(n+1)},\ k = \left[\frac{2n+1}{3}\right]\ \left(\text{the integral part of } \frac{2n+1}{3}\right). \tag{14}$$

We have $n \geq 3$, so k satisfies the inequalities $2 \leq k \leq n-1$.

Let us fix the parameters $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ as follows:

$$a_j = (-2j + \delta_{jk} - \delta_{j,k+1})\ qs \qquad \text{for } j = 1, \ldots, n, \tag{15}$$

where s is a parameter and δ_{ij} is the Kronecker's symbol. Then obviously

$$s = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j. \tag{16}$$

From (12), (13) and (15) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&S(X_i, X_{i+1}) = ((2 - \delta_{i,k-1} + 2\delta_{ik} - \delta_{i,k+1})q + 1)s/2 \\
&\qquad\qquad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, n-1, \\
&S(X_1, X_1) = -2qs^2 - 1/2, \\
&S(X_i, X_i) = (-2i + \delta_{ik} - \delta_{i,k+1})\ qs^2 \quad \text{for } i = 2, \ldots, n-1, \\
&S(X_n, X_n) = (-2n - \delta_{n,k+1})\ qs^2 + 1/2, \\
&S(X_{n+1}, X_{n+1}) = -\left(\frac{2}{3}(2n+1) + 6q\right)\ qs^2 - \frac{n-1}{2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Now we shall prove the basic

Proposition 2.8. *For the choice of the parameters a_j as in* (15) *and for all sufficiently large values of s, the eigenvalues $\rho_i(s)$ of the Ricci matrix $[S(X_i, X_j)]$ are all distinct.*

Proof. For $s \to +\infty$, all diagonal elements of the matrix are of order s^2 and all other elements are of a lower order. Therefore we define matrices $P(s)$ for all $s \neq 0$ and a constant matrix Q as follows:

$$P(s) = [S(X_i, X_j)]\ /\ qs^2, \quad Q = \lim_{s \to +\infty} P(s). \tag{18}$$

The matrix Q is a *diagonal* matrix. We use (17) to calculate its diagonal elements:

$$Q_{ii} = -2i + \delta_{ik} - \delta_{i,k+1} \qquad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, n, \tag{19}$$

$$Q_{n+1,n+1} = -\frac{2}{3}(2n+1) - 6q = -\left(\frac{4n+2}{3} + \frac{6}{n(n+1)}\right) \notin \mathbb{Z}, \tag{20}$$

where for $n = 3$ we evaluate $Q_{n+1,n+1} = -\frac{31}{6} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ and for $n \geq 4$ we estimate $0 < \frac{6}{n(n+1)} \leq \frac{6}{20} < \frac{1}{3}$, so $Q_{n+1,n+1} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, too.

We see that all elements Q_{ii} $(i = 1, \ldots, n+1)$ of the diagonal matrix Q are *distinct*. Consider now the symmetric matrix $\widetilde{P}(t) = P(1/t)$. We see easily that the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial $\widetilde{C}(t, \lambda)$ of $\widetilde{P}(t)$ are polynomials with respect to t and hence they are continuous at $t = 0$. The roots of the equation $\widetilde{C}(0, \lambda) = 0$ are Q_{ii}, and hence all of them are simple roots, which implies $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}\widetilde{C}(0, \lambda)\big|_{\lambda = Q_{ii}} \neq 0$ for all i. Using the implicit function theorem, we see that the roots $\widetilde{\lambda}_i(t)$ of the equation $\widetilde{C}(t, \lambda) = 0$ are continuous functions of t, and because $\widetilde{\lambda}_i(0) = Q_{ii}$, they are all distinct in a neighborhood of $t = 0$. Hence all values $\lambda_i(s) = \widetilde{\lambda}_i(1/s)$ are distinct for each sufficiently large s. But the same is valid for the eigenvalues $\rho_i(s) = qs^2\lambda_i(s)$ of the Ricci matrix $[S(X_i, X_j)]$. □

Remark. The choice (15) cannot be simplified to the form $a_j = -2jqs$ because, if $2n + 1$ is divisible by 3, then the Q_{ii} are not all distinct and the proof does not work.

We can summarize:

Proposition 2.9. *Consider the space (G_n, g) with the parameters a_j as in* (15)*. Then, for any sufficiently large value of s, each isometry preserving the identity $e \in G_n$ can act on the basis $\{X_1, \ldots, X_{n+1}\}$ of $g_n = T_eG_n$ only as a composition of reflections and hence there is only finite number of such isometries. Hence G_n acting on itself by the left translations is the identity component of the full isometry group $\mathfrak{I}(G_n, g)$.*

Corollary 2.10. *For the space (G_n, g) as above, if the parameter s is sufficiently large, then all geodesic vectors are contained in the Lie algebra $\mathbf{g}_n$.*

Now, Lemma 1.2 can be applied in the simplified form and we obtain

Theorem 2.11. *For the space (G_n, g) as above, if the numbers a_j are given by* (15) *and if the parameter s is sufficiently large, then all geodesic vectors $X \in \mathbf{g}_n$ are multiples of the vector X_{n+1}. Consequently, there is (up to a parametrization) only one homogeneous geodesic through each point.*

PROOF. Let us denote $X = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i X_i$ and let us express the condition of Lemma 1.2 in an explicit form. We can write it as

$$\left\langle \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i X_i, X_j \right], \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} x_k X_k \right\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n+1. \tag{21}$$

According to (1), this is reduced to the formulas

$$x_{n+1} \left\langle \left[X_{n+1}, X_j \right], \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k X_k \right\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n, \tag{22}$$

and

$$\left\langle \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i X_i, X_{n+1} \right], \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k X_k \right\rangle = 0.$$

The first equations can be expressed in the form

$$\begin{gathered} x_{n+1}(a_j x_j + x_{j+1}) = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ \text{and} \quad x_{n+1} a_n x_n = 0. \end{gathered} \tag{23}$$

According to our choice, all parameters a_j are negative and hence nonzero. Assuming that x_{n+1} is nonzero, we obtain by induction that all other x_j are zero. Suppose now that $x_{n+1} = 0$. Then using the second equation of (22) we get the following quadratic equation for the components $x_1, \dots, x_n$:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j (x_j)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x_k x_{k+1} = 0. \tag{24}$$

Because all a_j are *negative* constant multiples of s, the equation (24) has only trivial solution for any sufficiently large value of s. This concludes the proof of the main result. □

By a simple modification of the previous procedure, we can easily obtain the following

Theorem 2.12. *Let (p, q) denote the prescribed signature of a quadratic form in n variables, $p + q = n$, $p > 0$, $q > 0$. Then there exists a space (G_n, g) and parameters a_j (with sufficiently large absolute values) such that the family of all geodesic vectors $X \in \mathbf{g}_n$ is a disjoint union of $\operatorname{span}(X_{n+1}) - (0)$ and of a real hypercone (with deleted vertex) in the orthogonal complement of X_{n+1} whose signature is equal to (p, q).*

References

[1] V. V. Kajzer, Conjugate points of left-invariant metrics on Lie groups, *Soviet Math.* **34** (1990), 32–44.

[2] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, Foundations of Differential Geometry II, *Interscience Publishers*, *New York*, 1969.

[3] O. Kowalski, Generalized Symmetric Spaces, Vol. 805, Lecture Notes in Math., *Springer-Verlag*, *Berlin – Heidelberg – New York*, 1980.

[4] O. Kowalski and S. Ž. Nikčević, On geodesic graphs of Riemannian g.o. spaces, *Arch. Math.* **73** (1999), 223–234.

[5] O. Kowalski, S. Ž. Nikčević and Z. Vlášek, Homogeneous geodesics in homogeneous Riemannian manifolds – Examples, Preprint *Reihe Mathematik* **665**, *TU Berlin* (2000), Also in: Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds (Beijing/Berlin 1999) (2000), *World Sci. Publishing Co., River Edge, NJ*, 104–112.

[6] O. Kowalski and J. Szenthe, On the existence of homogeneous geodesics in homogeneous Riemannian manifolds, *Geometriae Dedicata* **81** (2000), 209-214, Erratum: *Geometriae Dedicata* **84** (2001), 331–332.

[7] O. Kowalski and L. Vanhecke, Riemannian manifolds with homogeneous geodesics, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* B(7) **5** (1991), 189-246.

[8] R. A. Marinosci, Homogeneous geodesics in a three-dimensional Lie group, *Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae* **43**, 2 (2002), 261–270.

[9] F. Tricerri and L. Vanhecke, Homogeneous structures on Riemannian manifolds, Lecture Note Series London Math. Soc. **83**, *Cambridge Univ. Press*, *London*, 1983.

oldřich kowalski
faculty of mathematics and physics
charles university
sokolovská 83, 186 75 praha
czech republic

E-mail: kowalski@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

zdeněk vlášek
faculty of mathematics and physics
charles university
sokolovská 83, 186 75 praha
czech republic

E-mail: vlasek@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

(Received April 22, 2002; revised August 30, 2002)