

Decomposable subspaces of Banach spaces

By MANUEL GONZÁLEZ (Santander) and ANTONIO MARTINÓN (La Laguna)

Abstract. We introduce and study the notion of hereditarily A -indecomposable Banach space for A a space ideal. The case $A = F$, the finite dimensional spaces, corresponds to the hereditarily indecomposable spaces. We show that several properties of the case $A = F$ extend to some other space ideals.

1. Introduction

W. T. GOWERS and B. MAUREY [10] constructed the first example of a *hereditarily indecomposable Banach space* (HI space, for short). The main property of the HI spaces is that they do not contain unconditional basic sequences. So they provide a counterexample to an old question in Banach space theory. Moreover, a result of WEIS [18] characterizes the HI spaces as those spaces X such that for every Banach space Y any operator in $L(X, Y)$ is upper semi-Fredholm or strictly singular.

In this paper we consider a natural notion of hereditarily A -indecomposable Banach space associated to a space ideal A : a Banach space X is hereditarily A -indecomposable (HAI space, for short) if no (closed) subspace of X can be written as the direct sum of two subspaces which are not in A . In the case $A = F$, the finite dimensional spaces, we obtain the

Mathematics Subject Classification: 46B03, 47A53, 47B10.

Key words and phrases: hereditarily indecomposable Banach spaces, space ideals.
Research of the first author supported in part by DGI (Spain), Proyecto BFM2001-1147.
Research of the second author supported in part by Gobierno de Canarias (Spain) PI2001/039.

HI spaces. We show that the notion of HAI space is nontrivial for $\mathbf{A}$ the reflexive spaces, the weakly sequentially complete spaces, the spaces with the Mazur property and for some other space ideals.

Note that X HI means that any two infinite dimensional subspaces M and N of X are very close, in the sense that $\text{dist}(S_M, S_N) = 0$, where S_M is the unit sphere of M . Similarly, X HAI means that $\text{dist}(S_M, S_N) = 0$ when M and N do not belong to $\mathbf{A}$.

We show that the HAI spaces do not contain unconditional basic sequences of some kinds related to $\mathbf{A}$. Moreover, we show that X is HAI if and only if $L(X, Y) = \mathbf{A}\Phi_+(X, Y) \cup \text{ASS}(X, Y)$, for any space Y . Here $\mathbf{A}\Phi_+$ and ASS are classes of operators which were introduced in [8], [9]. These classes generalize the upper semi-Fredholm operators and the strictly singular operators, respectively.

We also consider space ideals satisfying $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_{ii}$. This is a condition defined in terms of incomparability. It means that $\mathbf{A}$ coincides with the class of all Banach spaces X such that every infinite dimensional subspace of X contains an infinite dimensional subspace isomorphic to a subspace of a space in $\mathbf{A}$.

In the case $X \in \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_{ii}$ we prove that the union $L(X, Y) = \mathbf{A}\Phi_+(X, Y) \cup \text{ASS}(X, Y)$ is disjoint, and that for each $T \in L(X, Y)$, $\text{in}_{\mathbf{A}}(TJ_M) = \text{in}_{\mathbf{A}}(T)$ and $\text{sj}_{\mathbf{A}}(TJ_M) = \text{sj}_{\mathbf{A}}(T)$ for all the subspaces M of X which are not in $\mathbf{A}$, where $\text{in}_{\mathbf{A}}(T) > 0$ and $\text{sj}_{\mathbf{A}}(T) = 0$ characterize $T \in \mathbf{A}\Phi_+$ and $T \in \text{ASS}$, respectively.

Notation and terminology. Along the paper, X, Y and Z are Banach spaces, and we denote by X^* and X^{**} the dual space and the second dual of X , respectively. A subspace is always a closed linear subspace. Given a subspace M of X , we denote by J_M the inclusion of M into X , and by Q_M the quotient map from X onto X/M .

We denote by $L(X, Y)$ the set of all (continuous linear) operators from X into Y . An operator $T \in L(X, Y)$ is strictly singular if from TJ_M an isomorphism, we obtain that M has finite dimension; T is upper semi-Fredholm operator if it has finite dimensional null space and closed range.

A space ideal $\mathbf{A}$ is a class of Banach spaces which contains the finite dimensional spaces and is stable under passing to isomorphic spaces, finite

products or complemented subspaces. We refer to [14] for information on operator ideals and space ideals.

2. Hereditarily indecomposable Banach spaces

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a space ideal in the sense of PIETSCH [14]. For each Banach space X we consider

$$S_{\mathbf{A}}(X) := \{M \subset X : M \text{ is a subspace of } X \text{ and } M \notin \mathbf{A}\}.$$

Definition 1. A Banach space X is said to be $\mathbf{A}$ -indecomposable if there are no subspaces M and N in $S_{\mathbf{A}}$ such that $X = M \oplus N$. Equivalently, if $M, N \in S_{\mathbf{A}}(X)$ and $X = M + N$, then $M \cap N \neq \{0\}$.

The space X is said to be *hereditarily $\mathbf{A}$ -indecomposable* (HAI) if every subspace M of X is $\mathbf{A}$ -indecomposable.

Remark 1. Every space in $\mathbf{A}$ is $\mathbf{A}$ -indecomposable. Moreover, if $\mathbf{A}_1 \subset \mathbf{A}_2$, then the (hereditarily) $\mathbf{A}_1$ -indecomposable spaces are (hereditarily) $\mathbf{A}_2$ -indecomposable.

Remark 2. Let $\mathbf{N}\ell_1$ be the ideal of the spaces that contain no copies of ℓ_1 .

This case is trivial because the spaces in $\mathbf{N}\ell_1$ are the only hereditarily $\mathbf{N}\ell_1$ -indecomposable spaces. Indeed, if X contains a subspace isomorphic to ℓ_1 , this subspace can be written as the direct sum of two subspaces isomorphic to ℓ_1 . The same happens for $\mathbf{N}\ell_p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$.

A nontrivial example has to include a $\mathbf{A}$ -indecomposable space X which is not in $\mathbf{A}$. Observe that this space X cannot be isomorphic to $X \times X$.

Example 1. Let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{F}$, the finite dimensional spaces. The hereditarily $\mathbf{F}$ -indecomposable spaces are precisely the hereditarily indecomposable spaces.

The existence of infinite dimensional hereditarily indecomposable spaces has been a long-standing open problem in Banach space theory. Finally, GOWERS and MAUREY have constructed an example that we denote X_{GM} [10].

Example 2. Let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}$ be the reflexive spaces.

James' space J is a hereditarily $\mathbf{R}$ -indecomposable, non-reflexive space. The reason is that $\dim(J^{**}/J) = 1$.

Example 3. Let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{WSC}$ be the weakly sequentially complete spaces.

A Banach space X is weakly sequentially complete if and only if $B_1(X) = X$, where $B_1(X)$ is the subspace of all $F \in X^{**}$ which are the weak*-limit of some weakly Cauchy sequence in X . Observe that $B_1(M) = B_1(X) \cap M^{\perp\perp}$ for every subspace M of X .

The space J is a hereditarily $\mathbf{WSC}$ -indecomposable space which is not weakly sequentially complete. The reason is that $\dim(B_1(J)/J) = 1$.

Example 4. Let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{M}$ be the spaces with the Mazur property [19], [11].

Recall that X is in $\mathbf{M}$ when $S_1(X) = X$, where $S_1(X)$ is the subspace of all weak*-sequentially continuous elements of X^{**} . Observe that $S_1(M) = S_1(X) \cap M^{\perp\perp}$ for every subspace M of X .

The space $C[0, \omega_1]$ is a hereditarily $\mathbf{M}$ -indecomposable space which has not the Mazur property. Again, the reason is that $\dim(S_1(C[0, \omega_1])/C[0, \omega_1]) = 1$. See [17] or [5, Proposition 3.6.b] for a direct proof.

Let $Q : X^{**} \longrightarrow X^{**}/X$ denote the quotient map. Given a closed subspace M of X , we can identify M^{**}/M with $Q(M^{\perp\perp})$. Thus,

$$\mathbf{A}^{co} := \{X : X^{**}/X \in \mathbf{A}\}$$

is a space ideal. For the properties of Q we refer to [20].

Observe that for every separable space Z there exists a separable space X so that X^{**}/X is isomorphic to Z [12].

Example 5. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be one of the space ideals $\mathbf{F}$, $\mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{WSC}$. Let X be a Banach space such that X^{**}/X is isomorphic to X_{GM} , J or J , respectively.

The space X is a hereditarily $\mathbf{A}^{co}$ -indecomposable space which is not in $\mathbf{A}^{co}$.

Remark 3. The HI spaces contain no unconditional basic sequence [10].

In the case $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}$, the reflexive spaces, every unconditional basic sequence in a HRI space X generates a reflexive subspace; indeed, if an unconditional basic sequence in X generates a nonreflexive subspace, then

X contains an isomorphic copy of c_0 or ℓ_1 [13, 1.c.13]. Since c_0 and ℓ_1 are isomorphic copy of $c_0 \times c_0$ and $\ell_1 \times \ell_1$ respectively, X is not a HRI space.

Similarly, if X is hereditarily WSC-indecomposable, then every unconditional basic sequence in X generates a weakly sequentially complete subspace [13, 1.c.13].

In general, if $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an unconditional basic sequence of X which is HAI and $\mathbb{N} = I \cup J$ is a partition of $\mathbb{N}$ into two infinite subsets, then the subspace generated by $(x_n)_{n \in I}$ or the subspace generated by $(x_n)_{n \in J}$ belongs to $\mathbf{A}$.

The following characterizations of the hereditarily $\mathbf{A}$ -indecomposable spaces will be useful later.

Proposition 1. *Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a space ideal. For a Banach space X the following assertions are equivalent:*

1. X is HAI.
2. If $M, N \in S_{\mathbf{A}}(X)$, then $Q_M J_N$ is not an isomorphism.
3. If $M, N \in S_{\mathbf{A}}(X)$, then $\text{dist}(S_M, S_N) = 0$.

PROOF. Since the kernel of $Q_M J_N$ is $M \cap N$ and its range is $(M + N)/M$, (1) and (2) are clearly equivalent. The equivalence between (1) and (3) for infinite dimensional subspaces M and N is well known [6, Exercise 5.15]. $\square$

3. Operators on HAI spaces

Recall that the injection modulus of an operator $T \in L(X, Y)$ is defined by

$$j(T) := \inf\{\|Tx\| : x \in X, \|x\| = 1\}.$$

From the norm and from j we derive two operational quantities that allows us to define two classes of operators.

Definition 2. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a space ideal. Suppose that $S_{\mathbf{A}}(X) \neq \emptyset$ and let Y be a Banach space. For each operator $T \in L(X, Y)$ we define the following quantities:

$$sj_{\mathbf{A}}(T) := \sup\{j(TJ_M) : M \in S_{\mathbf{A}}(X)\},$$

$$in_A(T) := \inf\{\|TJ_M\| : M \in S_A(X)\}.$$

Definition 3. For $S_A(X) \neq \emptyset$ we define

1. $ASS(X, Y) := \{T \in L(X, Y) : sj_A(T) = 0\}$
2. $A\Phi_+(X, Y) := \{T \in L(X, Y) : in_A(T) > 0\}$

For $S_A(X) = \emptyset$ we define $ASS(X, Y) = A\Phi_+(X, Y) = L(X, Y)$.

In the case $A = F$, the finite dimensional spaces, the quantities in_F and sj_F were introduced in [16]. In this case $F\Phi_+ = \Phi_+$, the upper semi-Fredholm operators, and $FSS = SS$, the strictly singular operators.

In the general case the quantities in_A and sj_A and the associated classes of operators were introduced in [8], [9].

Theorem 1. *Let A be a space ideal. For a Banach space X the following assertions are equivalent:*

1. X is HAI
2. For every space Y and every $T \in L(X, Y)$, $sj_A(T) \leq in_A(T)$
3. For every space Y , $L(X, Y) = A\Phi_+(X, Y) \cup ASS(X, Y)$
4. For every $M \in S_A(X)$, the quotient map Q_M belongs to ASS

PROOF. (1) $\implies$ (2) Assume that X is HAI and let $M, N \in S_A(X)$. From Proposition 1 we obtain $\text{dist}(S_M, S_N) = 0$; that is, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $u \in S_M$ and $v \in S_N$ such that $\|u - v\| < \varepsilon$. Then

$$\|Tu\| - \|Tv\| \leq \|T(u - v)\| \leq \varepsilon\|T\|.$$

Consequently

$$j(TJ_M) \leq \|Tu\| \leq \|Tv\| + \varepsilon\|T\| \leq \|TJ_N\| + \varepsilon\|T\|.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary we obtain $j(TJ_M) \leq \|TJ_N\|$, for every $M, N \in S_A(X)$, hence $sj_A(T) \leq in_A(T)$.

(2) $\implies$ (3) If $T \notin A\Phi_+$, then $in_A(T) = 0$, hence $sj_A(T) = 0$ and we have $T \in ASS$.

(3) $\implies$ (4) Let $M \in S_A(X)$. As $Q_M \notin A\Phi_+$ we have $Q_M \in ASS$.

(4) $\implies$ (1) Let $M, N \in S_A(X)$. Since $Q_M \in ASS$, $Q_M J_N$ is not an isomorphism. By Proposition 1 we have that X is HAI. $\square$

We say that two Banach spaces X and Y are *totally incomparable* [15] if no infinite dimensional subspace of X is isomorphic to a subspace of Y . Given a class $\mathcal{C}$ of Banach spaces, the class of incomparability $\mathcal{C}_i$ was defined in [3] as follows:

$$\mathcal{C}_i := \{X : X \text{ is totally incomparable with every } Y \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

The class $\mathcal{C}_i$ is a space ideal. Moreover it is not difficult to see that $X \in \mathcal{C}_{ii}$ if and only if X has no infinite dimensional subspace in $\mathcal{C}_i$, and that $\mathcal{C}_{iii} = \mathcal{C}_i$.

In the case $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_{ii}$ the class $\mathbf{A}\Phi_+$ was studied in [8] and the class $\mathbf{ASS}$ was studied in [4], [9], [2]; see also [1, Section 4.2].

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_{ii}$ be a space ideal. Suppose that $S_{\mathbf{A}}(X) \neq \emptyset$. Then*

$$\mathbf{A}\Phi_+(X, Y) \cap \mathbf{ASS}(X, Y) = \emptyset$$

for every Banach space Y . Moreover, if X is a HAI space, then the union

$$L(X, Y) = \mathbf{A}\Phi_+(X, Y) \cup \mathbf{ASS}(X, Y)$$

is disjoint.

PROOF. Let $M \in S_{\mathbf{A}}(X)$. Since $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_{ii}$, there exists an infinite dimensional subspace N of M such that $N \in \mathbf{A}_i$. Since $S_{\mathbf{A}}(N) = S_{\mathbf{F}}(N)$, $sj_{\mathbf{A}}(TJ_N) = sj_{\mathbf{F}}(TJ_N)$.

Let $T \in L(X, Y)$. Suppose that $T \in \mathbf{ASS}$; i.e., $sj_{\mathbf{A}}(T) = 0$. For every $M \in S_{\mathbf{A}}(X)$, we take the subspace N introduced in the previous paragraph. Then $sj_{\mathbf{A}}(TJ_N) = sj_{\mathbf{F}}(TJ_N) = 0$, so TJ_N is a strictly singular operator. Thus, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an infinite dimensional subspace P of N such that $\|TJ_P\| < \varepsilon$ [13, 2.c.4]. Since $P \notin \mathbf{A}$, we have $in_{\mathbf{A}}(T) = 0$, hence $T \notin \mathbf{A}\Phi_+$. $\square$

Proposition 2. *Let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_{ii}$ be a space ideal. Suppose that X is a HAI space and $S_{\mathbf{A}}(X) \neq \emptyset$. Let $T \in L(X, Y)$. Then for every $M \in S_{\mathbf{A}}(X)$,*

$$in_{\mathbf{A}}(TJ_M) = in_{\mathbf{A}}(T) \quad \text{and} \quad sj_{\mathbf{A}}(TJ_M) = sj_{\mathbf{A}}(T).$$

Thus $in_{\mathbf{A}}(TJ_M)$ and $sj_{\mathbf{A}}(TJ_M)$ are constant for $M \in S_{\mathbf{A}}(X)$.

PROOF. Let $M \in S_A(X)$. Basically we follow the proof of [7, Lemma 3]. As in [7, Lemma 1] we can prove that for each $P \in S_A(X)$, there exist $U \in S_A(P)$ and a strictly singular operator $S : U \rightarrow X$ such that

$$J_U + S : x \in U \rightarrow x + Sx \in M$$

defines an isomorphism onto $N := (J_U + S)U \in S_A(M)$. Note that the hypothesis $A = A_{ii}$ allows us to choose $U \in A_i$.

Obviously, $in_A(TJ_M) \geq in_A(T)$ and $sj_A(TJ_M) \leq sj_A(T)$. Thus it is enough to prove that for each $P \in S_A(X)$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$

$$in_A(TJ_M) \leq \|TJ_P\| + \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad j(TJ_P) - \varepsilon \leq sj_A(TJ_M).$$

In order to show the first inequality, note that, for any $\varepsilon' > 0$, we can choose U so that $\|S\| < \varepsilon'$ and $\|(J_U + S)^{-1}\| < 1 + \varepsilon'$. Since $TJ_N = T(J_U + S)(J_U + S)^{-1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} in_A(TJ_M) &\leq \|TJ_N\| \leq \|TJ_U + TS\| \|(J_U + S)^{-1}\| \\ &\leq (\|TJ_U\| + \varepsilon'\|T\|)(1 + \varepsilon') \leq \|TJ_P\| + \varepsilon'(2 + \varepsilon')\|T\|. \end{aligned}$$

For the second inequality, we choose U verifying $\|S\| < \varepsilon'$ and $\|J_U + S\|^{-1} = j((J_U + S)^{-1}) \geq 1 - \varepsilon'$. As $TJ_N = T(J_U + S)(J_U + S)^{-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} sj_A(TJ_M) &\geq j(TJ_N) \geq j(TJ_U + TS) j((J_U + S)^{-1}) \\ &\geq (j(TJ_U) - \|TS\|)(1 - \varepsilon') \geq j(TJ_P) - \varepsilon'(2 - \varepsilon')\|T\|. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4. In the case $A = A_{ii}$, the components $A\Phi_+(X, Y)$ are open. Moreover, the class $A\Phi_+$ is stable under taking products: $T \in A\Phi_+(X, Y)$ and $S \in A\Phi_+(Y, Z)$ imply $ST \in A\Phi_+(X, Z)$. Analogously, in this case, the class ASS has closed components and it is an operator ideal [8], [9]

Proposition 3. *Let $A = A_{ii}$ be a space ideal and let X be a HAI space. For every subspace M of X , either $M \in A$ or M contains a subspace $N \notin A$ which is a HI space.*

PROOF. Let X be a HAI space and let M be a subspace of X . Suppose that $M \notin A$. Then M contains an infinite dimensional subspace $N \in A_i$. Let us see that N is HI. If $N = N_1 \oplus N_2$, then $N_1 \in A$ or $N_2 \in A$. Since $N_1, N_2 \in A_i$, we obtain that N_1 or N_2 is finite dimensional. Thus N is HI. $\square$

References

- [1] P. AIENA, M. GONZÁLEZ and A. MARTÍNEZ-ABEJÓN, Operators Semigroups in Banach Space Theory, *Boll. U. M. I.* **4-B** (2001), 157–205.
- [2] P. AIENA, M. GONZÁLEZ and A. MARTÍNEZ-ABEJÓN, Incomparable Banach spaces and operator semigroups, *Arch. Math.* **79** (2002), 372–378.
- [3] T. ÁLVAREZ, M. GONZÁLEZ and V. M. ONIEVA, Totally incomparable Banach spaces and three-space ideals, *Math. Nachr.* **131** (1987), 83–88.
- [4] T. ÁLVAREZ, M. GONZÁLEZ and V. M. ONIEVA, Characterizing two classes of operator ideals, In: *Contribuciones matemáticas, Homenaje Prof. Antonio Plans*, 7–21, *Univ. Zaragoza*, 1990.
- [5] J. M. F. CASTILLO and M. GONZÁLEZ, Three-space problems in Banach space theory, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 1667, *Springer-Verlag, Berlin*, 1997.
- [6] M. FABIAN, P. HABALA, P. HÁJEK, V. MONTESINOS, J. PELANT and V. ZIZLER, *Functional analysis and infinite-dimensional Banach spaces*, *Springer, New York*, 2001.
- [7] V. FERENCZI, Operators on subspaces of hereditarily indecomposable Banach spaces, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **29** (1997), 338–344.
- [8] M. GONZÁLEZ and A. MARTINÓN, Operational quantities derived from the norm and generalized Fredholm theory, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae* **32** (1991), 645–657.
- [9] M. GONZÁLEZ and A. MARTINÓN, Fredholm Theory and Space Ideals, *Bol. U. M. I.* (7) **7-B** (1993), 473–488.
- [10] W. T. GOWERS and B. MAUREY, The unconditional basic sequence problem, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6** (1993), 851–874.
- [11] D. H. LEUNG, On Banach spaces with the Mazur’s property, *Glasgow Math. J.* **33** (1991), 51–54.
- [12] J. LINDENSTRAUSS, On James’s paper “Separable conjugate spaces”, *Israel J. Math.* **9** (1971), 279–284.
- [13] J. LINDENSTRAUSS and L. TZAFRIRI, *Classical Banach Spaces, I*, *Springer, Berlin*, 1977.
- [14] A. PIETSCH, *Operator Ideals*, *North-Holland, Amsterdam*, 1980.
- [15] H. P. ROSENTHAL, On totally incomparable Banach spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **4** (1969), 167–175.
- [16] M. SCHECHTER, Quantities related to strictly singular operators, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **21** (1972), 1061–1071.
- [17] Z. SEMADENI, Banach spaces non-isomorphic to their cartesian squares, II, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astr. Phys.* **8** (1960), 81–84.
- [18] L. WEIS, Perturbation Classes of Semi-Fredholm Operators, *Math. Z.* **178** (1981), 429–442.
- [19] A. WILANSKY, Mazur spaces, *Internat. J. Math. Sci.* **4** (1981), 39–53.

- [20] K.-W. YANG, The generalized Fredholm Operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **216** (1976), 313–326.

MANUEL GONZÁLEZ
DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS
FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS
UNIVERSIDAD DE CANTABRIA
E-39071 SANTANDER
SPAIN

E-mail: gonzalem@unican.es

ANTONIO MARTÍN
DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO
UNIVERSIDAD DE LA LAGUNA
E-38271 LA LAGUNA (TENERIFE)
SPAIN

E-mail: anmarce@ull.es

(Received November 8, 2002; revised August 5, 2003)